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INTRODUCTION

Rarely is it disputed that the Bible is the most influential book that mankind has encountered. However it must be honestly confessed that, at least in much of Western society, which arguably was born through the agency of the Bible, increased secularity has been paralleled by a decline in understanding and interest in this incomparable volume.

The aim of this course is to start with the proposition that belief, or indeed unbelief, cannot be exercised unless there is comprehension of the truth of the Bible. Faith, as is so wrongly understood, is not the response to abstract thought, to sentiment and tradition; it is not a leap in the dark. Rather faith is a leap in the light; it is the embrace of truth. Granted that faith does not necessarily grasp the totality of truth, yet it lays hold of sufficient truth that is regarded as being worthy of commitment to its claims.

So here we start with learning the truth about the Bible in a summary manner. We must learn its anatomy as well as something of the flesh that adheres to this form. However we must also grasp that for the human authors employed, the truth contained in Scripture has supernatural vitality that far transcends mere literary structure. If we simply stop at the arrangement of the Bible, we are in danger of dying of thirst when a soul quenching spring is before our very eyes.

So we take seriously the prescription of Jesus Christ: “You will know the truth, and the truth will make you free” (John 8:32).
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I INTRODUCTION

A. The book that we are about to study is the most influential volume that the human race has ever encountered, in spite of many feverish attempts to burn, ban, and blaspheme it.

1. On this fact alone the Bible demands the most serious study and investigation, whatever our presuppositions or bias about it may be.

2. When the present Queen of England was crowned, the Archbishop of Canterbury presented her with a copy of the Authorized Version of the Bible and the accompanying exhortation:

   Our gracious Queen: to keep your Majesty ever mindful of the Law and the Gospel of God as the rule for the whole life and government of Christian Princes, we present you with this Book, the most valuable thing this world affords. Here is wisdom; This is the royal Law; These are the lively Oracles of God.

3. Today the current president of the United States, George W. Bush, tells us that:

   I read the Bible regularly. . . . I read through the Bible every other year. During the years in between, I pick different chapters to study at different times. I have also learned the power of prayer. I pray for guidance. I do not pray for earthly things, but for heavenly things, for wisdom and patience and understanding.

4. Hence, only a fool or bigot would ignore such a legacy, yet we live in an appallingly illiterate age insofar as the Bible is concerned. Bible and study aids abound, but biblical ignorance yet more abounds!

5. Whatever the student’s attitude may be toward the Bible, the presupposition of this study is that it is the very Word of the only living God. There will be repeated references to evidence, both from within and without this volume, that this conclusion is true.

B. The various names given to the Bible.


   a. It is holy, sacred, or set apart from that which is common, and set apart unto that which is especially to be revered. It is not like any other book, since it has come from the mouth of a holy God (II Tim. 3:15-16; I Pet. 1:15-16), and for this reason it is to be treated reverently.

---

1 F. F. Bruce. The English Bible, p. 224.
b. It is a *book*, “Bible” being derived from the Greek, βιβλίον, biblion, having the root meaning of a type of reed from which papyrus was made. In the time of Jesus Christ it referred to either a parchment scroll or a collection of papyrus sheets.

2. The Holy “Scriptures.”

a. This is common terminology in the New Testament division of the Bible. Paul writes concerning “the gospel of God . . . in the sacred/holy Scriptures/writings [*γράμματα*, gramatta]” (Rom. 1:1-2). These are “the sacred/holy Scriptures/writings which are able to give you the wisdom that leads to salvation through faith which is in Christ Jesus,” and thus are “Scripture [*γραφή*, graphē],” expired by God (II Tim. 3:15-16).

b. Hence, it is truth inscripturated, that is written down through the use of words taught by the Holy Spirit (I Cor. 2:12-13; I Peter 1:20-21). It is a concrete, not fluid, objective, not subjective, propositional revelation, that is a tangible record as distinct from subjective dreams, visions, opinions, or “truth” by consensus (Jer. 23:28-29).


Other names include “the oracles of God” (Acts 7:38), “the Word of God” (Eph. 6:17; Heb. 4:12; II Pet. 3:5), and “the word of the Lord” as repetitively used in Jeremiah (cf. 1:2, 4, 11, 13).

4. A fundamental presupposition.

In view of the preceding references concerning the Bible’s consistent claims to be the Word of the only true and living God, the presupposition of this study is that this claim is authentic. Other evidence in this regard will be supplied later in this manual. Further, it is believed, according to the Apostle Peter, “that no prophecy of Scripture is a matter of one’s own interpretation, for no prophecy was ever made by an act of human will, but men moved by the Holy Spirit spoke from God” (II Pet. 1:20-21). Here authority is preserved in confluence. In other words, while God has used human agency in the writing of the books of the Bible, as was the case with Mary being the human agent and mother of Jesus Christ, yet the Spirit of God so inspired these writings that they consequently were preserved from error, in the same way that Jesus Christ was preserved from sin at birth and throughout his life (Luke 1:35; II Cor. 5:21; Heb. 4:15; 7:26; I John 3:5). Hence the wise student of the Bible will pray to God that He, through the illumination of His Spirit, will grant a true understanding of His Word (Ps. 119:18). In other words, this course will regard the Bible as more than religious literature.

5. Homework exercises.

a. What various terms describe the Scriptures or Word of God in Psalm 119?

b. What does Jesus Christ say about Scripture or Word of God in John 10:35; 17:17?

c. What does the Apostle Paul say about Scripture in II Timothy 3:16-17?
II SELECTING A BIBLE

A. Choose a Bible that is first of all accurate and precise, and not a paraphrase that nevertheless may have colloquial appeal.

1. Our first concern must be truth, God’s intended meaning, not linguistic trendiness, cultural novelty, or personal preference. Hence, accuracy of translation is of vital importance.

2. Bible language, especially in the New Testament, often makes a point that is based upon precise grammar (Gal. 3:16), and word meanings (Rom. 3:21-26).

B. Choose a Bible that was translated by a committeer of godly men, that is conservative evangelical scholars. None of the human authors of the Bible were liberals, that is skeptics regarding the Word of God to which they had access.

1. Hence, avoid primary reliance upon translations by one man since a panel is less prone to prejudice. In spite of J. B. Phillips’ mastery of English expression, yet in his individual paraphrase of the New Testament, Letters to Young Churches, he takes unwarranted liberty in reversing the meaning of I Corinthians 14:22.

2. In spite of the historic importance of the 1611 King James Version, it is not today the most accurate modern translation. This estimate is not intended to make light of the great reverence that this version has accumulated. However, as a challenging exercise, look up the following references in a KJV and ask yourself if you are clear as to the meaning of the quoted words or expressions. Then refer to the translation of these same verses in the NKJV, NASB, ESV, or NIV.

1. Genesis 29:17 “tender-eyed”
2. Genesis 37:22 “rid”
3. Joshua 9:5 “clouted”
4. Judges 1:23 “descry”
5. I Chronicles 18:4 “houghed”
6. II Kings 5:23 “be content”
7. Nehemiah 13:26 “outlandish”
8. Job 17:3 “strike hands”
9. Job 28:1 “fine”
11. Psalm 139:15 “curiously”
12. Isaiah 3:18 “tires”
13. Isaiah 43:13 “let”
14. Isaiah 57:5 “clift”
15. Jeremiah 18:11 “devise a device”
16. Ezekiel 39:11, 14, 15 “passengers”
17. Nahum 3:5 “discover”
18. Nahum 3:19 “bruit”
19. Mark 6:20 “observed”
20. Mark 6:25 “by and by”
24. John 2:3 “wanted”
25. John 10:24 “doubt”
26. Acts 17:3 “alleging”
27. Acts 28:13 “fetched a compass”
28. I Corinthians 10:24 “addicted”
29. I Corinthians 16:15 “addicted”
30. II Corinthians 4:2 “dishonesty”
31. Ephesians 6:4 “nurture”
32. Philippians 3:21 “vile”
Well, how did you fare? But further, consider how a person reading the KJV for the first time might understand these words, and many others besides.

3. **Recommended modern translations are as follows.**

While this author recommends the NASB and ESV as his primary choices, yet in close study of the text there have been times when he has preferred the translation of the KJV, NIV, and NKJV.

   a. **The New American Standard Bible (NASB).**

      First published in 1960, this complete revision of the American Standard Version of 1901 is renowned for its literal accuracy and sensitivity to grammatical tenses. The translators were conservative.

   b. **The New International Version (NIV).**

      First published in 1978 as a complete Bible, this wholly new version is more free flowing as a “thought for thought” translation. Though thoughts are meaningless apart from specific words. The translators were conservative.

   c. **The New King James Version (NKJV).**

      First published in 1982, this revision of the classic English version was intended to update the language with as little change as possible. The translators were conservative.

   d. **The English Standard Version (ESV).**

      First published in 2001, this revision of the Revised Standard Version by conservative scholars, which also resorts to the original Hebrew, Aramaic, and Greek, is described as “essentially literal” in contrast with the NIV.

4. **Modern translations not recommended are as follows.**

   a. **The New English Bible (NEB).** Above all other translations, this version has a definite liberal bias (Gen. 11:1), including blatant and irreverent “conjectural emendation” (F. F. Bruce, *The Books and the Parchments*, pp. 169-70). This involves alteration (John 19:29), and rearrangement of the text without manuscript warrant (Hos. 2:11-12; Joel 3:9-12; Amos 5:7-9; Nahum 1:2-14). Consider also the use of “expiation” rather than “propitiation” in Romans 3:25.  

   b. **The Good News Bible (GNB).** Claiming to be a translation, yet its paraphrase style avoids precise and intentional meaning, such as in Romans 3:25; 1 John 4:10, where “propitiation” is evasively described as, “the means by which people’s sins are forgiven.”

---

c. The Living Bible (LB). While honestly confessing to be a paraphrase with numerous clarifying footnotes, and in spite of the notorious accuracy of I Kings 18:27, yet it is often wrongly treated as a translation. The attempt to paraphrase Romans 3:21-26 is quite inadequate. Originally composed by one man, though also submitted to more scholarly examination, it will be best appreciated if placed on a commentary shelf rather than with Bibles. More recently a Roman Catholic version has been published, inclusive of the Apocrypha, which has the dubious qualification, “A Thought-For-Thought Translation.”

C. Choose a quality reference Bible.

1. A flexible leather cover with a strongly sewn binding will last the longest. It is worth the extra cost.

2. Choose a large type style with plenty of margin space so that not only will you avoid squinting, but also you will be able to write your own notes, even between lines. The paper quality is important in that it should be suitable for adding notes. Hence, in spite of the temptation to preserve the initial newness, do not hesitate to write notations with a fine pen. Keep in mind that after a number of years, you will nevertheless need to replace your present version, especially when it has been subject to heavy use.

3. It is highly desirable that your Bible be set in type that is comfortable for reading, that is has a good cross reference system, concordance, reference maps, and space for writing notes. Further explanation will be provided concerning these features.
III OPERATIONAL MECHANICS

A. Introduction.

While many people today will readily volunteer their opinions about the Bible, yet the truth is that vast multitudes of religious individuals are ignorant concerning the most elementary facts and features of the inscripturated Word of God.

1. You can pray as much as you like, but God will not instantly inject basic Bible knowledge into your soul. Rather, you have to exercise your responsibility before God in the area of faculties that have been given to all. God will not spoon feed you.

2. A medical student has to learn thoroughly the intricate details of human anatomy before he is allowed to practice medicine. Similarly a student who learns a new language has to first master the details of vocabulary and grammar before he is able to communicate in that language. In either case, that initial period of learning basic facts may not prove to be a thrilling experience. But such preparation is without question of crucial importance. In the instance of the faithful student, it is the hope of future competence and enlightenment that drives him to attain mastery of elementary details. So the effective Bible student will strive to know the basic anatomy of the Word of God to the end that he might be a competent servant of Christ for the glory of God.

B. The Divisions and Books of the Bible.

1. The two-fold division.

Here the Hebrew Scriptures and Christian Scriptures are most commonly distinguished. However, the truth of Hebrews 1:1-2 best indicates the diversity in unity that is reflected in these complementary revelations, with Jesus Christ being the uniting hinge.

a. The Old Testament, comprised of 39 books.

“Old Testament” here means “Old Covenant,” תֵּברָית, berith, or old agreement, specifically with regard to the covenant God made with the nation of Israel shortly after it had been redeemed out of Egypt, crossed the Red Sea, and arrived at Mt. Sinai (Exod. 20:1-26; 24:1-8). It is vital that this bilateral covenant should be distinguished from the unilateral Abrahamic Covenant (Gen. 12:1-3; 15:1-21; Gal. 3:17-18).

(1) The four major Christian Old Testament divisions.

(a) The Law, or Pentateuch (five-volumed), or Torah, (instruction) as the Jews call it. Genesis through to Deuteronomy.

(b) History, principally with regard to Israel. Joshua through to Esther.

(c) Poetry, that is especially devotional, relational, ethical. Job through to Song of Solomon (Canticles).
(d) *The Prophets*, both major, Isaiah through to Daniel, and minor, Hosea through to Malachi.

(2) The three major Jewish Old Testament divisions (Tanakh).

(a) **Torah (Law):** Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, Deuteronomy.

(b) **Neviim (Prophets):**

1) Former Prophets: Joshua, Judges, I & II Samuel, I & II Kings, Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel.

2) Latter Prophets: Hosea, Joel, Amos, Obadiah, Jonah, Micah, Nahum, Habakkuk, Zephaniah, Haggai, Zechariah, Malachi.

(c) **Kethuvim (Writings):**

1) Poetry & Wisdom: Psalms, Proverbs, Job.


3) Historical: Daniel, Ezra, Nehemiah, I & II Chronicles.

b. The New Testament, comprised of 27 books

“New Testament” here means “New Covenant” or new agreement, specifically which God promised to Israel through Jeremiah (31:31-37; cf. Heb. 8:7-13) and fulfilled through the sacrificial offering of Jesus Christ (I Cor. 11:25; Heb. 9:15; 12:24; cf. “eternal covenant,” 13:20). The five major divisions are as follows:


(2) **History**, principally concerning the early Church. Acts of the Apostles.

(3) **The Epistles of Paul**, concerning the interpretation of Jesus Christ and the function of local churches. Romans through to Philemon.

(4) **The General Epistles**, Hebrews through to Jude.

(5) **Prophecy**, or unveiling of the present and future. Revelation.

c. The Bible library, divisions, and book summaries.
### The Old Testament

#### Law
- **Genesis**: The beginning of man and the Hebrew people.
- **Exodus**: The redemption of Israel out of Egypt.
- **Leviticus**: Laws for the priesthood and the people of Israel.
- **Numbers**: The nation of Israel in the wilderness.
- **Deuteronomy**: A review of the law given to Moses.

#### History
- **Joshua**: Israel takes possession of the promised land.
- **Judges**: The reign of the Judges of Israel.
- **Ruth**: A godly romance during the reign of the Judges.
- **I Samuel**: Israel’s monarchy from Samuel to Saul.
- **II Samuel**: Israel’s monarchy under David.
- **I Kings**: Israel’s monarchy from Solomon to Elijah.
- **II Kings**: Israel’s monarchy from Elijah to captivity.
- **I Chronicles**: Israel’s history from Adam to David.
- **II Chronicles**: Israel’s history from Solomon to captivity.
- **Ezra**: Return from captivity to Jerusalem Temple restoration.
- **Nehemiah**: Return from captivity to Jerusalem wall restoration.
- **Esther**: Israel’s deliverance while in captivity.

#### Poetry
- **Job**: God’s vindication through Job’s suffering.
- **Psalms**: The Hebrew hymnal of praise to God.
- **Proverbs**: The wisdom of Solomon and other authors.
- **Ecclesiastes**: The futility of life without God.
- **Song of Solomon**: A godly song of love for the betrothed.

#### Prophesy
- **Isaiah**: A princely prophecy of salvation by Israel’s holy Jehovah.
- **Jeremiah**: The doom and restoration of Jerusalem.
- **Lamentations**: Mourning in captivity and the cry for mercy.
- **Ezekiel**: The fall of Jerusalem and the rise of the New.
- **Daniel**: God’s sovereignty over Israel in captivity.
- **Hosea**: Unfaithful Israel called to repentance.
- **Joel**: The day of the Lord and the promise of the Spirit.
- **Amos**: Punishment of Israel and the nations.
- **Obadiah**: Judgment upon Edom and Judah restored.
- **Jonah**: God’s salvation comes to repentant Nineveh.
- **Micah**: Punishment followed by salvation for God’s people.
- **Nahum**: The destruction of Nineveh in judgment.
- **Habakkuk**: The vindication of God in history.
- **Zephaniah**: God’s certain judgment upon Judah and the nations.
- **Haggai**: Encouragement to rebuild the Temple.
- **Zechariah**: Prophecies of the glory of Messiah’s kingdom.
- **Malachi**: Warning and encouragement about Messiah’s day.
2. The chapter and verse divisions

The earliest manuscripts, Hebrew for the Old Testament and Greek for the New Testament, did not contain chapter or verse divisions. Indeed they also lacked spacing between words and sentences. The present chapter divisions in our Bibles were invented in 1205 by Stephen Langton, a professor in Paris who subsequently became Archbishop of Canterbury and incorporated his system into a Latin Vulgate edition of the Bible. It was Robert Stephanus, a Parisian book printer, whose published versification of the Bible in 1571 has prevailed to the present. Chapter summaries used in the KJV were first introduced into Coverdale’s English Bible of 1535, based upon the Latin Vulgate.
3. Memorization.

Learn, by memory and in right order, all of the sixty-six books of the Bible, even if as a Christian you have been ignorant of these facts for many years. Embarrassment at this point is not only a shame, but also a frequent cause of not studying the Bible, especially during church services. After all, who wants to seem ignorant to the person we are sitting next to? Keep in mind that memorization is a matter of cultivation. Make a tape cassette of your own recitation of the books of the Bible, then listen to it over and over again. Alternatively, write out the sixty-six books on cards, either individually or in groups, for periodic review. Here is a poem that may be helpful

In **Genesis** the world was made by God’s creative hand.
In **Exodus** the Hebrews marched to gain the Promised Land.
**Leviticus** contains the Law: holy, just and good.
**Numbers** records the tribes enrolled, all sons of Abr’ams blood.

Moses in **Deuteronomy** recounts God’s mighty deeds.
In **Joshua**, into Canaan’s land, the host of Israel speeds.
In **Judges** their rebellion oft provokes the Lord to smite;
But **Ruth** records the faith of one well-pleasing in His sight.

In **First and Second Samuel**, of Jesse’s son we read:
Ten tribes, in **First and Second Kings**, revolted from his seed.
Then **First and Second Chronicles** see Judah captive made;
But **Ezra** leads a remnant back by princely Cyrus’ aid.

The city walls on Zion’s hill **Nehemiah** builds again;
While **Esther** saves her people from the plots of wicked men.
In **Job** we read how faith will live beneath affliction’s rod:
And David’s **Psalms** are precious songs to every child of God.

The **Proverbs** like a goodly string of choicest pearls appear.
**Ecclesiastes** teaches men how vain are all things here.
The **Song of Solomon** exalts sweet Sharon’s lovely rose:
Whilst Christ the Savior and the King the rapt **Isaiah** shows.

Then **Jeremiah**’s solemn voice apostate Israel warns:
In plaintive **Lamentations** he their awful downfall mourns.
**Ezekiel** tells in wondrous words the Temple’s mysteries:
Whilst God’s great Kingdom yet to come **Daniel** in vision sees.

Of judgment stern, and mercy mild, **Hosea** loves to tell.
Then **Joel** describes the happy days when God with man shall dwell.
Among Tekoa’s herdsmen next, **Amos** receives his call:
While **Obadiah** prophesies of Edom’s final fall.
JONAH enshrines a wondrous type of Christ our risen Lord.
MICAH pronounces Judah lost—lost, but to be restored.
NAHUM declares, “On Nineveh just judgment shall descend,
When God’s consuming wrath like fire is poured out to the end.”

Chaldea’s fast approaching doom HABAKKUK’s visions give,
Next, ZEPHANIAH warns the Jews to turn, repent and live.
Stern HAGGAI spoke to those who saw the Temple built again;
And ZECHARIAH prophesied of Christ’s triumphant reign.

MALACHI was the last who touched the high prophetic chord;
Its final notes sublimely show the coming of the Lord.

MATTHEW and MARK and LUKE and JOHN the Gospel story give,
Describing how the Savior came, and died that we might live.
ACTS tells how the apostles preached with signs in every place:
And Paul in ROMANS shows how men are saved through faith by grace.

The Apostle in CORINTHIANS exhorts, instructs, reproves:
GALATIANS proves that faith in Christ alone the Father moves.
EPHESIANS and PHILLIPANS tell what Christians ought to be.
COLOSSIANS bids us live for God, and from all sin be free.

In THESALONIANS we are taught the Lord will come from heaven.
In TIMOTHY, and TITUS too, a shepherd’s rule is given.
PHILEMON marks a brother’s love, which only brethren know.
HEBREWS reveals Christ’s priestly work, prefigured long ago.

JAMES says that without holiness, faith is but vain and dead.
And PETER points the narrow way in which the saints are led.
JOHN, in his epistles three, on love delights to dwell:
While JUDE gives warning terrible of angels once who fell.

Last, REVELATION prophesies of that tremendous Day,
When all the kingdoms of the world with noise shall pass away!

4. The hands-on use of the Bible.

a. There is no substitute for physically making use of the Bible, that is burrowing around. Learn to approximate where a book might be; for instance, Psalms is found to be in the middle of the Bible. The following exercise is designed to have you actually become acquainted with the books, chapters, and verses of the Bible.

b. Complete the following exercise that is designed to familiarize you with the Bible.

(1) Turn to and read II Chronicles 34:21.

(2) Turn to and read Psalm 34:21.
(3) Turn to and read Proverbs 4:19.

(4) Turn to and read Joel 3:2.

(5) Turn to and read John 5:24.

(6) Turn to and read Romans 8:1.

(7) Turn to and read Colossians 1:13.

(8) Turn to and read I Thessalonians 5:9.

(9) Using the NASB, what are the contrasting features in all of these references?

C. Translation and study features.

On page 4, four modern translations were recommended for serious Bible study, namely the New American Standard Bible (NASB), the New International Version (NIV), the New King James Version (NKJV), and the English Standard Version (ESV). Any of these versions may be purchased with few or many translation and study helps. The following explanations assume the use of a reasonably comprehensive reference or study edition.

1. Translation features that explain the text.
   a. Words in italics.

   These indicate additional words that clarify the English sense. They give a smoother meaning, though they are not directly part of the original text, as is indicated in Ruth 2:16; Psalm 146:8; Colossians 2:2; I Timothy 5:9 (NASB, NKJV only). The NIV and ESV do not include italics. However consider II Corinthians 2:12 where only the NKJV includes “preach” in italics while the NASB does not.

   b. Textual explanations.

      (1) Literal renderings.

         (a) NASB, margin (John 21:5).

         (b) NIV, footnote (Gal. 1:15).

         (c) NKJV, margin (Ps. 110:5).

         (d) ESV, footnote (Rom. 5:1).

      (2) Factual details.

         (a) NASB, margin (Acts 19:31).

         (b) NIV, footnote (John 19:39).
(c) NKJV, margin (Matt. 17:27).

(d) ESV, footnote (Luke 10:35).

(3) Old Testament manuscript variations.

(a) The Massoretic Text (M.T.), compiled by the Massoretes or Jewish grammarians of the 9th century A.D., is still the most trusted O.T. Hebrew text of today.

1) NASB, margin (Zeph. 1:5).

2) NIV, footnote (Job 7:20).

3) NKJV, margin (Mal. 1:12).

4) ESV, footnote (Isa. 21:8).

(b) The Septuagint (LXX), or Greek version of the O.T. translated c. 250 B.C., is the most important of its type.

1) NASB, margin, (Hos. 7:14).

2) NIV, footnote (Isa. 27:8).

3) NKJV, margin (Lam. 3:53).

4) ESV, footnote (Eccles. 9:2).

(c) The Dead Sea Scrolls (DSS), are an important collection of O.T. manuscripts dating back to c. 200 B.C. They were discovered in high caves beside the Dead Sea in 1947, the most significant find being an almost complete scroll of Isaiah.

1) NASB, margin (Isa. 18:7).

2) NIV, footnote (Isa. 37:20).

3) NKJV, margin (Isa. 21:8).

4) ESV, footnote (Isa. 28:16).

(4) New Testament manuscript variations.

(a) Rom. 14:19; 16:24 (NASB). Both this version, the NIV and the ESV, as distinct from the NKJV, rely more upon the older manuscripts, Vaticanus and Sinaiticus, of the fourth and fifth centuries respectively.

(b) John 13:32; Acts 8:3 (NIV).
(c) Rom. 11:6; Heb. 12:28 (NKJV). This version, as distinct from the NASB and NIV, gives precedence to the Majority Text family of N.T. manuscripts, dating no earlier than the fifth century. Refer to the preface of the NKJV for further explanation.

(d) Mark 2:16, 22; Acts 28:29 (ESV)

c. God’s personal covenant name.

In the O.T., there is frequent reference to “God” (Elohim, Gen. 1:1) and “Lord” (Adonai, Gen. 18:27) in Hebrew, which titles address the God of Israel in generic terms that infrequently also refer to heathen gods. However there is also frequent reference to “GOD” and “LORD” with the use of capital letters, this being a translation of “Yahweh” of “Jehovah,” in Hebrew יְהֹוָה, or pointed as יְהֹוָה, being God’s own personal name. This was exclusively revealed by God, through Moses, only to His people Israel (Exod. 3:13-15).

2. Study features that explain the text.

a. Chapter, paragraph, and verse divisions are helpful, as are subject headings, though they are not found in the original and inspired text. Being arbitrary, they may vary from version to version, such as with the paragraph divisions in the Psalms. Consider the NASB marginal comment on Jonah 1:17 which indicates that, in the Hebrew Bible, this verse is Jonah 2:1.

b. Cross references are usually listed in the center or outer margin, and even sometimes within the text itself. Sometimes they are linked together in a chain system that enables a student to cover a whole biblical subject. They are especially helpful regarding parallel accounts in the four Gospels. Turn to Matthew 14:13 and note how all four gospel accounts of “The Feeding of the Five Thousand” are listed (NASB; NIV; NKJV; ESV).

c. Reference maps, with a suitable index, ought to be frequently consulted with regard to historical narratives. Especially consider desert and mountainous regions, national and tribal borders, journey directions of Israel, Jesus Christ’s earthly ministry, and Paul as a missionary. Using a map index, distinguish between the several places that are called “Antioch” and “Caesarea.”

d. A reasonably complete concordance bound into a reference or study Bible is a must for the serious study of the Word of God. It enables the student to quickly locate a Bible passage while at the same time revealing other related references. It should be supplemented by a more complete concordance of the version of the Bible that is being used.
IV READING THE BIBLE WITH PROFIT

A. The Bible presupposes man’s responsibility for reading, just the same as he is responsible for the obtaining of material food, its preparation, and even its placement in the mouth! The high level of literacy today only makes man all the more responsible for giving precedence to the most important book in human history.

1. Man is responsible for his ignorance of the Word of God (Matt. 22:28-29)


3. Man is responsible for his mishandling of the Word of God (II Cor. 2:17; 4:2).

4. Man is responsible for his knowledge of the Word of God (II Tim. 2:15; I Pet. 2:2).


The Bible was meant to be understood, and it benefits us in proportion as we get at the meaning of it. The mere words of Scripture passing over the ear or before the eye, can do us little good. I heard a person say once, concerning a great doctrine which I hold to be very plainly taught in Scripture, that he had read the Bible through—I think he said six times—on his knees, but he could not find that doctrine. I replied, “Brother, that is an awkward position in which to read the Bible. I should have sat upon a chair, and studied the page in a natural and easy posture. Moreover, I should not have galloped through it at the rate at which you must have raced over the chapters. I should rather have read a little at a time, and tried to understand it.” “Understandest thou what thou readest?” (Acts 8:30), that is the question. “I read a chapter every morning,” says one. Quite right; keep that up, but “Understandest thou what thou readest?” “Well, I learn the daily text.” Yes, but “Understandest thou what thou readest?” That is the main point. The butterflies flit over the garden, and nothing comes of their flitting; but look at the bees, how they dive into the bells of the flowers, and come forth with their thighs laden with pollen, and their stomachs filled with sweetest honey for their hives. This is the way to read the Bible: get into the flowers of Scripture, plunge into the inward meaning, and suck out that sacred sweetness which the Lord has put there for your spiritual nourishment. A thoughtful book needs and deserves thoughtful reading. If it has taken its author a long time to write it, and he has written it with much consideration, it is due to him that you give his work a careful perusal.4

1. The Spirit of God gave Philip the evangelist great wisdom when he asked the Ethiopian eunuch, “Do you understand what you are reading?” It was a question perceptive of human nature with regard to the Bible.

2. It is possible to have a very comprehensive knowledge of the Bible, and complementary learning, as did Nicodemus, “the teacher of Israel,” and yet be blind to the most important of truths (John 3:3, 9-10).

3. It is possible to be intensely curious about the Bible, even like the “noble-minded Jews” Paul met at the synagogue in Berea, Macedonia (Acts 17:10-11), and yet still be blind to the truth.

4. But what can be said for the Ethiopian eunuch, Nicodemus, and the Bereans, even in their unbelief, is that they all, though well educated, were teachable and aware of their need of understanding!

C. As Spurgeon recommends, read the Bible daily (Ps. 88:9; Acts 17:10-11). If you have not read all sixty-six books, then plan to cover the whole Bible over a set period using one of several methods.

1. Establish the time each day that best suits your schedule and metabolism. Though it may be suitable for many, it is not mandatory that the Bible be read first in the morning according to Psalm 5:3; 59:16. Other Scripture passages equally describe the saint seeking fellowship with God during the day (Ps. 25:5; 102:2) as well as the night (Ps. 16:7; 17:3). Pick the time when you will be least likely to rush. Remember also that some people by their very constitution will be able to better study the Bible later in the day rather than early. The reverse may also be the case.

2. Plan 1. Refer to the “Bible Reading Schedule” of Robert Murray M’Cheyne that is widely published and enables the reading through of the whole Bible in one year. As a variation, it also allows for the reading of the Old Testament once and the New Testament twice in a year.†

3. Plan 2. Obtain *The One Year Bible*, NIV, KJV, and *The One Year Chronological Bible*, NIV, published by Tyndale Press.

4. Plan 3. Having read the whole Bible through, then consider reading major sections and chapters that relate to a particular doctrine or character or period. But always return to reading consecutively right through the whole Bible.

   a. Using a one volume Bible dictionary, or a topical concordance such as Nave’s Topical Bible, discover the major passages that relate to a doctrine, character, or historic period.

   b. Read certain Old Testament and New Testament books consecutively because of their close relationship with each other, for example, Genesis and the Gospel of John, Leviticus and Hebrews, Joshua and Acts, Isaiah and Romans, Daniel and Revelation, Zechariah and Matthew.

D. Additional helps to profitable Bible reading are as follows.

1. Avoid humdrum, legalistic, rapid, superficial reading. Rather, first pray, “Blessed are You, O Lord; teach me Your statutes. . . . Open my eyes, that I may behold wonderful things from Your law [instruction]” (Ps. 119:12, 18).

a. Read it through using mental application. Seek advice concerning the use of suitable commentaries after studying the text; avoid unrelated devotional sentiment, but do desire that God’s truth will effect and move the heart.

b. Pray it in, that is through meditation and extended reflection. Ruminate over the truth during daily activities.

c. Write it down, using outlining, cross references, illustrations, quotes, as you mark your Bible.

d. Work it out, that is consider personal applications that need to be put into practice, as the Word of God provides stimulation.

e. Pass it on, or share the truth with winsome enthusiasm. Actively participate in group Bible study under qualified leadership and neighborhood witnessing.

2. What about dullness? Perhaps at times you don’t feel like reading the Bible, though at other times you very much desire the Word (Ps. 1:1-2; 19:10). Sometimes the Bible passages you are reading do not come alive.

a. Admit that, whatever dullness or lack of enthusiasm you feel, the problem rests with yourself and not the Bible (Heb. 4:12).

b. Be honest! Would you feel just as dull if you were reading a newspaper or magazine? If so, then your problem may be physical. But if not, then your problem is possibly the result of carnal indulgence and conflict. In that case you need to confess your poor spiritual eating habits that produce sluggishness and upgrade your spiritual diet (Ps. 73:1-17).

c. Remember that in a condition of spiritual dullness you need the Bible more than ever. In such a case, read God’s Word because it is right to do so, quite apart from how you feel. You will be surprised how quickly the toxins of carnality are replaced with a newfound vitality.

d. Sometimes our familiarity with certain well-known passages of the Bible produces presumption concerning our understanding of the truth. Hence, when we come to these portions, we need to acknowledge such a possibility and read the Word of God with even greater care and deliberation.

e. Because a period of spiritual dryness may possibly lead to neglect of reading the Bible, mark every chapter you read with a tick. In this way you will easily be able to recommence your study program.

3. Consider reading the Bible to yourself aloud. Philip the evangelist found the Ethiopian eunuch reading Isaiah 53 aloud (Acts 8:26-30). The Jews have always considered reading the Scriptures aloud to be a superior way of improving retention.

4. Read the Bible both alone and with others, such as in a family gathering.
E. C. H. Spurgeon provides us with further good advice in a sermon titled, “How to Read the Bible” based upon the words of Jesus Christ, “Have you not read?” (Matthew 12:3-7). The outline is as follows.6

1. Introduction.
   a. The Scribes and Pharisees were studious readers of the Bible.
   b. The Scribes and Pharisees were blind readers of the Bible.

2. Understand what you read.
   a. With alert minds.
   b. With meditation.
   c. With prayer.
   d. With means and helps.

3. Discern the spirit of what you read.
   a. In historical narratives.
   b. In ceremonial precepts.
   c. In doctrinal statements.
   d. In Jesus Christ.

4. Profit from what you read.
   a. In spiritual life.
   b. In comfort.
   c. In nourishment.
   d. In guidance.

---

V THE CANON OF THE BIBLE

A. Introduction.

We need to recollect here that the Bible is comprised of sixty-six books written by approximately 40 authors over a period of 1600 years.

1. The term “canon,” comes from the Greek word κανον, kanon, meaning a rule, a standard, hence a measure of authoritative teaching. Compare the use of this same Greek word in Galatians 6:16.

2. The “canon of Scripture” is that recognized and accepted collection of sixty-six books of the Bible which conforms to a standard, especially that of being God-breathed or inspired (II Tim. 3:16), and consequently is infallible, that is truthful and without error.

3. To say that the Scriptures are “canonical” is to declare that they have been recognized as the Word of God written, and thus have accepted authority which is unique, that is distinct from all other books.

4. When the Old Testament and New Testament canons were recognized as complete, it was not man determining the parameters of the Word of God, but rather man identifying the Word of God. J. I. Packer illustrates this point well when he writes:

The Church no more gave us the New Testament canon than Sir Isaac Newton gave us the force of gravity. God gave us gravity, by His work of creation, and similarly He gave us the New Testament canon, by inspiring the individual books that make it up.

B. The Old Testament Canon of Scripture.

1. After the Babylonian exile concluded during the fifth century B.C. under the leadership of Zerubbabel, Ezra, and Nehemiah, an even greater regard for Scripture resulted, especially on account of the fulfillment of prophecy, such as with Jeremiah 25:11.

2. Malachi, the last book of the Old Testament, was written about 450 B.C. when Ezra, a father of the Scribes and one of the most learned men of the Hebrews, was alive.

3. Ezra was probably involved in the identification of the completed Old Testament canon, which was certainly recognized no later than 300 B.C. Following the writing of Malachi, it was the conviction of the Jews, as expressed by intertestamental writers, that God had ceased to speak directly through trustworthy prophets.

4. The Hebrew Old Testament, or Tanakh, is comprised of twenty-four books. (Refer to pages 6-7 for the Christian classification of the Old Testament.) The traditional three-fold classification, as inferred in Luke 24:44, is as follows:

a. The Law, or Torah (instruction): Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, Deuteronomy, (5).

---

7 J. I. Packer, God Speaks Man, p. 81.
b. The **Prophets**, or Neviim, (8).


   (2) Latter: Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, the Twelve (Minor Prophets), (4).

c. The **Writings**, or Kethuvim, (11).

   (1) Poetical: Psalms, Proverbs, Job, (3).

   (2) Five Scrolls: Ruth, Lamentations, Ecclesiastes, Song of Solomon, Esther, (5).

   (3) Historical: Daniel, Ezra & Nehemiah, I & II Chronicles, (3).

C. The extracanonical books of Judaism.

1. The lost books of the Old Testament.

   a. The Old Testament mentions numerous books that are no longer available, such as, the Book of the Wars of the Lord (Num. 21:14); the Book of Jasher (Josh. 10:13); the Book of the Acts of Solomon (I Kings 11:41); the Visions of Iddo the Seer (II Chron. 9:29); the Record of Shemaiah the Prophet (II Chron. 12:15).

   b. However, should any of these books be discovered today, they would not be considered as inspired any more than a work of the Cretan philosopher, Epimenedes, which Paul presumably quotes in Titus 1:12. Because Peter, John, and Paul were moved by the Spirit of God to write books that were recognized as canonical, it does not follow that newly discovered writings by these same authors would be similarly recognized.

2. The **Apocrypha**.

   a. The term “apocryphal” means “hidden, legendary, less than true, of doubtful authenticity.”

   b. This particular collection of 15 books includes history, poetry, prophecy, romance, and bizarre legend.

   c. The Roman Catholic Church accepts the full Apocrypha as canonical, particularly on account of the support which II Maccabees 12:39-45 gives to the doctrine of prayers for the dead. However, along with the uncertainty of the Early Church and Martin Luther’s rejection of the Apocrypha as inspired, Protestantism has unanimously agreed that this body of interesting literature is certainly not canonical, for the following reasons:

      (1) The Jews have never accepted the Apocrypha as canonical, even though it so substantially concerns them.

d. The Church of England accepts the Apocrypha for instruction, but not as inspired of God along with Scripture. For this reason the original King James Version of the Bible included the Apocrypha, though most editions omitted it following 1630.

3. The Pseudepigrapha.
   a. This collection of Jewish literature written between 200 B.C. and 200 A.D. was never seriously considered for recognition as being canonical. Although the standard collection is comprised of eighteen titles, yet since the discovery of the Dead Sea Scrolls, others have come to light.
   b. Their imitative, apocalyptic style draws upon the Hebrew canon so that comfort might be obtained by a persecuted people. Titles include, The Book of Adam and Eve; The Martyrdom of Isaiah; The Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs; The Assumption of Moses; The Psalms of Solomon; Psalm 151.


1. The twenty-seven books of the New Testament. These were formally acknowledged at the Council of Hippo in North Africa, 393 A.D., and the Council of Carthage in North Africa, 419 A.D. Both gatherings were under the influence of Augustine. While this may appear to suggest an extended delay, certain facts should be born in mind.
   a. Athanasius, the great defender of Jesus Christ as the “God-man,” declared all 27 books of the New Testament to be canonical, c. 367 A.D.
   b. Concerning II Peter, probably the most disputed book in the New Testament, B. B. Warfield declares that there is more evidence for its authenticity than the writings of the Greek historians, Herodotus and Thucydides.

2. The antilegomena, or disputed books. While the accepted books of the early church numbered approximately twenty during those formative centuries, being called the homologoumena, yet the remaining seven books were, for various reasons, disputed.
   a. Hebrews. The chief reason was its anonymity of authorship.
   b. James. There was a supposed conflict with Paul’s writings.
   c. II Peter. Its style was considered quite different from I Peter.
   d. II & III John. These were too personal, without apostolic claims.
   e. Jude. In vs. 9, 14-15, reference is possibly made to Pseudepigraphical writings.
   f. Revelation. Its apostolicity and millennialism were questioned.

---

8 B. B. Warfield, Syllabus on the Special Introduction to the Catholic Epistles, pp. 116-117.
E. The extracanonical books of Christianity.

   a. From Luke 1:1-4 it would seem that gospels other than the recognized four were in circulation. We do not have access to an earlier letter to Corinth (I Cor. 5:9), or Paul's letter to the Laodiceans (Col. 4:16). Again, what are the sources of Jude 9, 14-15?
   b. However, we repeat that should some of these writings be discovered, they would not be recognized as inspired of God, for there is good reason to believe that the Canon of Scripture is closed (Jude 3).

   a. This collection is not fixed. But it does include some writings that were seriously regarded in terms of canonicity as well as containing probable elements of truth.
   b. The best known of these writings are, The Epistle of Barnabas; The First and Second Epistles of Clement to Corinth; The Shepherd of Hermas; The Didache, or Teaching of the Twelve; The Apocalypse of Peter; The Acts of Paul and Thecla; The Epistle to the Laodiceans; The Gospel According to the Hebrews; The Epistle of Polycarp to the Philippians; The Seven Epistles of Ignatius.

   a. Eusebius, Bishop of Caesarea during early fourth century, described these writings as, “totally absurd and impious.” Geisler and Nix write of them:

   Virtually no orthodox Father, canon, or council considered these books to be canonical and, so far as the church is concerned, they are primarily of historical value. These books indicate the heretical teaching of gnostic, docetic, and ascetic groups, as well as the exaggerated fancy of religious lore in the early church.  

   b. The Gospel of Thomas is a far more famous example of hundreds of such works. It tells of the infant Jesus making clay sparrows that fly away, of his withering curse of an ungodly lad.

F. The divine ordination and human recognition of the Canon of Scripture.

1. The human recognition of the Canon of Scripture involved rules.
   a. Apostolic authority and verification.
   b. The rule of faith, that is judgment by known truth.
   c. Catholicity, or universal acceptance.

---
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d. Contemporary witness, or proximity to the early church.

e. Internal witness of the Spirit of God.

f. Acceptance in early church worship, such as in lectionaries.

2. The divine ordination of the Canon of Scripture involved God’s sovereign oversight.

a. In II Peter 1:20-21 we are told, “that no prophecy of Scripture is a matter of one’s own interpretation, for no prophecy was ever made by an act of human will, but men moved [borne along] by the Holy Spirit spoke from God.” If God so superintended the writing of the individual books of the Bible, then it is to be expected that He would likewise superintend the gathering together of those books.

b. In both Matthew 26:6-13 and Mark 14:3-9, Jesus indicates his awareness that these Gospels would be part of the Canon of Scripture. Surely this suggests that he knew of the other books as well. Why would He have such knowledge? Because His Father has sovereignly determined such a Canon.
VI The Languages of the Bible

A. Hebrew, the language of most of the Old Testament.

1. Hebrew is a Semitic (Shemitic, cf. Gen. 9:18-19) and oriental language that is believed to be rooted in the arrival of Abraham in Canaan. It was well developed by the time that Israel was redeemed out of Egypt.

   a. Other Semitic languages are Arabic, Syriac, Canaanite, Phoenician, Ethiopic, Moabite, Aramaic.

   b. The word “Hebrew” may be derived from the Hebrew verb, יבר, abar, meaning “to cross/pass over,” cf. Gen. 10:21 re Heber, just as Abraham, the father of the Hebrew race, crossed over the Euphrates River to enter the land of promise (Josh. 24:2-3).

2. Hebrew is a highly picturesque, poetic language, yet less grammatically precise than New Testament Greek.

   a. It is very physical, even visceral, such as when:

      (1) “My bones are dismayed” (Ps. 6:2).

      (2) “My inmost being (kidneys) will rejoice” (Prov. 23:16).

      (3) “My feelings (bowels) were aroused for him” (S. of S. 5:4).

      (4) “My heart (liver) is poured out on the earth” (Lam. 2:11).

   b. It is rich in human representations of God.

      (1) Anthropomorphisms, that attribute human physical features to God (Isa. 59:1; Zech. 4:10).

      (2) Anthropopathisms, that attribute human emotions to God (Gen. 6:6; Zeph. 3:17).

   c. It has poetic structure called “parallelism” that is distinct from regular rhyme or meter. It usually involves two line couplets that reflect a variety of relationships.

      (1) Antithetical parallelism (Ps. 1:6).

      (2) Synonymous parallelism (Ps. 2:1).

      (3) Climactic parallelism (Ps. 96:7).

      (4) Synthetic parallelism (Ps. 95:3, 6).
(5) Emblematic parallelism (Ps. 42:1).

3. The Hebrew alphabet consists of 22 consonants, א (‘Aleph), ב (Beth), ג (Gimel), ד (Daleth), ה (He), ו (Vav), י (Zayin), ק (Heth), ל (Teth), מ (Yodh), נ (Kaph), ג (Lamedh), ד (Mem), ה (Nun), ו (Samech), י (‘Ayin), ק (Rah), ר (Tsahe), ת (Qoph), י (Resh), ש (Sin/Shin), ת (Tav). Vowels were originally passed on orally, but later vowel points were added, mainly below each letter. This pointing system was finalized about 900 A.D. by the Massoretes.

a. The Hebrew letters were originally pictographic, as in Chinese. A basic word has three consonants, with stress being placed upon the last syllable. However, sometimes the stem or root of a word is reduced to one consonant.

b. Note the alphabetic acrostic construction of Psalm 119. Each one of the 22 divisions, comprised of eight verses, represents a Hebrew letter in correct order. That Hebrew letter is first in the text of that division.

4. The Hebrew of Genesis 1:1 is as follows. Note that it is read from right to left.

בראשית ברא אלהים את השמים ואת הארץ
zteraah tev miyamahsah te mihole arab tihsareb

B. Aramaic, the language of the remainder of the Old Testament.

1. Aramaic is likewise a semitic or oriental language that is used mainly in Daniel 2:4b-7:28; Ezra 4:8-6:18; 7:12-26. It was the language of Syria, Assyria, Persia, and so acquired an international and diplomatic significance.

2. Specifically, Aramaic was commonly known as Chaldean, the language of Babylon. Hence it is significant that the main Aramaic passages are in Daniel and Ezra, the authors of which spent much time in Babylon.

3. Upon the return of Israel from its Babylonian captivity, the new inhabitants of Judea spoke Aramaic more commonly than Hebrew. Hence Aramaic translations of the Hebrew Scriptures became necessary and are known as Targums.

C. Greek, the language of the New Testament.

1. Greek, that is the language of the New Testament, is western or occidental, being part of the Indo-European family of languages. It is a language of precision and great beauty that has illustrious and historic roots.

a. Ancient or Attic Greek was the high dialect of the philosophers, Socrates, Plato, and Aristotle, as well as Athens during its time of cultural supremacy, c. 500-300 B.C.

b. Koine of Common Greek was the more cosmopolitan dialect that overwhelmed the western world from 300 B.C. to 500 A.D. including such notable cities as Rome, Alexandria, Jerusalem, Antioch, Ephesus, as well as Athens.
(1) It was spread abroad through the conquests and culture of the armies of Alexander the Great. In spite of later conquest of the divided Greek Empire by Rome, yet this language retained its dominant place amongst common society.

(2) Alexander was a Macedonian who was tutored by Aristotle, no doubt in the high Attic style. But his soldiers and merchants spoke in the more common social and economic terms.

(3) The Greek of the New Testament is, in the main, that common, everyday language, that common world language of the time of Christ. How providential it was that such a universal language was available for the rapid and accurate proclamation of the Gospel.

c. Modern Greek is similar to Koine Greek, though a broader vocabulary is involved, as well as modification of grammar and developed meaning. For instance, in Ephesians 1:14, ἀρραβών, arrabōn means “downpayment” or “pledge,” but in Modern Greek it means “engagement ring.”

d. The Koine Greek alphabet consists of 24 letters that were originally written as capitals, without spacing between words, as a saving on costly papyrus and parchment. These manuscripts are called “Uncials.” The later scripted alphabet, used in manuscripts called “Minuscules” after the ninth century, is as follows: α (Alpha), β (Beta), γ (Gamma), δ (Delta), ε (Epison), ζ (Zeta), η (Eta), θ (Theta), ι (Iota), κ (Kappa), λ (Lambda), μ (Mu), ν (Nu), ξ (Xi), ο (Omicron), π (Pi), ρ (Rho), σ (Sigma), τ (Tau), υ (Upsilon), φ (Phi), χ (Chi), ψ (Psi), ω (Omega).

The New Testament Greek of John 1:1-5 is as follows.

1 Ἐν ἀρχῇ ἦν ὁ λόγος, καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν, καὶ θεός ἦν ὁ ἐν αρχῇ ἐν ο λόγος, καὶ θεος ἦν ὁ πρὸς τὸν θεόν. ἐν αρχῇ ἦν ὁ λόγος. ἦν ἐν ἀρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἐν αρχῇ ἦν ὁ λόγος. ἦν ἐν ἀρχῇ ἐγένετο καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν ἀρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν ἀρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν ἀρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν ἀρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο, καὶ ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγένετο. ἦν ἐν αρχῇ ἐγέ


a. A word of caution concerning over-reacting to the meaning of Greek words.

(1) Commonly ἐκκλησία, ekklēsia, meaning “church,” is explained as a compound of ἐκ, ek, meaning “out of,” plus καλέω, kaleō, meaning “I call.” The supposed meaning is, “a called out body of believers.”
(2) But during the time of Christ, not prior, this word simply meant “an assembly/gathering,” whether sacred or secular. In Acts 19:32, ekklêsia describes a screaming heathen mob of people who are unhappy with the effect of Christianity upon their idol manufacturing enterprises, specifically concerning Diana (Latin) or Artemis (Greek) of the Ephesians.

(3) Hence, “assembly/gathering” is the correct interpretation, while “a called out body” is at best only an illustration. Certainly a church is “a called out body,” but this truth is primarily taught by the interpretation of I Peter 2:9.

b. How Greek tenses portray graphic pictures.

(1) John 4:13-14. “Drinks” in v. 13 is in the present continuous tense. “Drinks” in v. 14 is in the aorist, point action tense. Men wander from well to well in this world without being satisfied. But on drinking of Christ, through faith, they are eternally satisfied and wander no more.

(2) John 4:29-30. The imperfect tense, continuous action in the past, of v. 30, “and were coming to Him,” is accurate in the NASB. At the woman’s testimony, people were streaming out of Sychar towards Christ. In v. 35 Jesus beholds this crowd coming towards him.

(3) John 4:49-50. The imperfect tense of v. 50, “and he was going,” suggests immediate response, as the NASB translates, “and he started off.”

(4) Luke 7:47-48. The perfect tense of v. 48, that is a present state resulting from past action, “Your sins have been forgiven,” suggests that the woman had earlier encountered Christ’s forgiveness.

c. How Greek conditional sentences illuminate the truth.

(1) In English, a conditional sentence normally indicates a “maybe yes” or a “maybe no” situation. E.g., “If this man is telling the truth. . . .” But this is not always so in Greek.

(2) Luke 19:8. A first class conditional sense assumes a premise to be true. Hence, Zaccheus had indeed defrauded people.

(3) Luke 7:39. A second class conditional sentence assumes a premise to be false. Hence, the Pharisee does not really believe that Jesus is a prophet.

d. How Greek negatives shed light.

(1) John 4:14. The strongest negative in a double form, oû µη, “ou mè,” emphasizes that Jesus does indeed satisfy the thirsty heart.

(2) Matthew 13:54-55. A question with the negative, oû “ou,” expects a positive answer. Yes, Jesus is the carpenter’s son. Also John 7:25.
(3) Matthew 26:25. A question with the negative, μὴ, “mé,” expects a negative answer. Judas is denying that he will betray the Son of Man. Also John 7:26.

e. How the meaning of Greek words expounds the Bible.

(1) Galatians 2:13. The NKJV, NIV, and ESV use “hypocrisy” in place of the more antiquated “dissimulation” found in the KJV. The Greek word here is ὑποκρίσις, hupokrisis, hence “hypocrisy.” The actor on an ancient Greek stage was a ὑποκρίτης, hupokritēs, because he wore different masks to portray various characters. He was not in reality what he appeared to be.

(2) Galatians 6:17. The KJV, NKJV, NIV, and ESV, use “marks” to describe Paul’s physical evidence for boasting in Jesus Christ. But the NASB more graphically translates “brandmarks” since the Greek word here is στίγματα, stigmata.

(3) I Thessalonians 1:6. The KJV and NKJV translate “followers,” which in the NASB, NIV, and ESV, is better translated as “imitators.” The Greek word here is μιμηταί, mimētaî, from which the English word “mimics” is derived.

(4) II Peter 2:3. The KJV uses “feigned words,” which is better translated “made up stories” NIV, or “false words” NASB and ESV. The Greek is πλαστοῖς λόγοις, plastois logos, or literally “plastic words,” which is a good contemporary representation.

(5) II Peter 3:18. The KJV, NKJV, NIV, NASB, and ESV, all use the word “grow,” which is quite accurate. However the Greek word is αὐξάνο, auxanō, from which is derived the English word “auxins,” these being plant hormones that stimulate vertical growth. Hence in context here, the Christian’s spiritual growth hormones are, “the grace and knowledge of our Lord and Savior Jesus Christ.”

(6) Jude 3. The KJV reads “earnestly contend,” the NKJV and NASB “contend earnestly,” and the NIV and ESV “urge you to contend.” The Greek is ἐπαγωνίζομαι, epagōnizomai, which literally means to “intently agonize” or “fight hard upon.”

(a) The present tense stresses a protracted, continuous fight.

(b) The root ἀγών, agón, originally described a stadium, a place for contesting and agonizing.

(c) The preposition ἐπί, epi, is added to the front of the verb which it intensifies, the resultant meaning being, “to contend by standing upon the truth in its defense.”
f. How Greek compounds are instructive.

(1) Acts 2:38. The KJV, NKJV, NASB, NIV, and ESV all use the word “repent.” The Greek word here is μετανοέω, metanoeō, being a compound of μετά, meta, meaning “after,” and νοέω, noeō, meaning “I think.” Hence, to repent is “to think after,” that is to have a change of mind.

(2) Galatians 4:4-5. The KJV has the expression, “the adoption of sons,” the NKJV, NASB, and ESV, “the adoption as sons,” and the NIV, “the full rights as sons.” The Greek word here is υιοθεσία, huiothesia, a compound of υἱός, huios, meaning a “son,” and the verb τίθημι, tithēmi, meaning “I place.” Hence, adoption is “son placement” from bondage and servitude as a slave into family privilege.

(3) Ephesians 2:21-22. The KJV describes the church as “an habitation of God through the Spirit,” the NKJV, “a habitation of God in the Spirit,” the NIV, “a dwelling in which God lives by His Spirit,” the NASB, “a dwelling of God in the Spirit,” and the ESV, “a dwelling place for God by the Spirit.” The Greek word here is κατοικητήριον, katoikēterion, being a compound of κατά, kata, as an intensifier meaning “down,” and οἰκήτηριον, oikēterion, meaning “a dwelling.” Hence, the New Testament church is “a more permanent dwelling place” of God in/through the Spirit, that is more permanent when compared with the Old Testament temple as referenced by way of application in v. 21.
VII  INTERPRETING THE BIBLE

A. Basic qualifications.

1. Natural ability.

   a. The Bible presupposes human responsibility for reading, studying, wrestling with, and applying the written truth of God. God’s revelation does not fall out of heaven into our passive souls. Rather, active digging and toiling in the Bible is necessary for commensurate spiritual reward. Insofar as we are able, this will involve the pursuit of subsidiary disciplines such as linguistics, history, geography, philosophy (with caution), etc. This does not mean that everyone must be a scholar, but it does mean that the Christian will eagerly, diligently study according to his distinctive ability (II Tim. 2:15; 4:13).

   b. By way of illustration, gold does not fall into the gold-miner’s pocket. Rather, he must sweat and dig deep for the rich veins of the precious metal. Should he take his task casually and merely search at only a surface level, he may find himself deluded with the discovery of fool’s gold, or iron pyrites.

2. Spiritual ability.

   a. If your citizenship is in heaven (Phil. 3:20), and you desire to know the meaning of God’s Word from heaven, then you must be equipped with a heavenly frame of mind (Rom. 12:2). This will include:

      1) Regeneration. You must be born again by God through His Spirit so that you may be enabled to perceive the truth of God’s Word which was previously unknown on account of the blinding nature of sin (John 3:2; I Cor. 2:14; II Cor. 4:3-4; Tit. 3:5-6). This life of God comes when we believe in the Lord Jesus Christ as our Savior from sin. Thus we receive:

          (a) A new nature (II Cor. 5:17; Gal. 6:15).

          (b) A new life (Rom. 6:4; I Pet. 3:18).

          (c) A new mind (Rom. 12:2; II Tim. 1:7).

      2) Spirituality. When the child of God grieves the Spirit of God (Eph. 4:30), or quenches the Spirit of God (I Thess. 5:19), static is introduced into the line of communication. His vision becomes hazy while his hearing is impaired. However, when he walks by the Spirit (Gal. 5:16), in obedient submission, and is actively filled with the Spirit (Eph. 5:18), then his mind is illuminated (Matt. 6:22; I Cor. 2:15; 3:1-3). Thus there results:
(a) A teachable spirit.

(b) A discerning attitude.

(c) A disciplined style lifestyle.

(3) Hunger and thirst. A baby that does not desire even milk is sick; its natural inclination is to crave for simple food and gradually progress toward a more mature diet. The normal expectation for a new Christian is that of thirsting after God’s Word; such a desire for good spiritual nutrition aids his digestion and growth (I Pet. 2:1-3; II Pet. 3:18). Thus there results:

(a) Hunger pangs.

(b) Determined digestion.

(c) Persistent feasting.

(4) Reverence. According to our view of the God of the Word, so will follow our veneration of the Word of God, and contrariwise. When we clearly see that this Word is that of the holy and sovereign God of heaven, then and only then will we approach this Word with right fear rather than flippant familiarity. Irreverence towards God’s Word results in blindness regarding profound truth; reverence results in illumination (Heb. 12:28-29; I Pet. 1:17), with resultant:

(a) Submissive humility.

(b) Ready obedience.

(c) Profound understanding.

3. By way of application, now let us be honest with ourselves. Are our qualifications valid or counterfeit, evident or nonexistent?

a. Do we show birthmarks that indicate our regenerate state and godly parentage?

b. Could it be said of us by those Christians we most often fellowship with that we are spiritual? Do we desire for spiritual graces as personified in the Lord Jesus Christ?

c. Do we have an insatiable appetite for God’s Word? What does our soul most frequently feed upon?

d. Is our regard for the Word of God one of awe or easy familiarity? Do we esteem the Bible as “more desirable than gold, . . . sweeter also than honey” (Ps. 19:10)?
B. Basic presuppositions.

1. Any one who knows something about the Bible has presuppositions concerning the Bible. These presuppositions are a grid, or a definite basis for judgment through which we pass the Bible and thus arrive at certain conclusions.

   a. Presuppositions which are antagonistic towards the claims that the Bible makes for itself inevitably lead to a destructive attitude towards Holy Scripture. Presuppositions which are in harmony with the claims that the Bible makes for itself frequently lead to a reverent regard for the totality of Scripture.

   b. By way of illustration, a learned scholar rejects the sixth century B.C. date for the writing of Daniel, chiefly because he is predisposed towards a view which rejects the possibility of precise predictive prophecy. Thus his rationalistic and humanistic methodology leads him to suggest a second century B.C. date of authorship.

   c. Hence, according to the grid of our understanding and world-view when we approach the Bible, so go our conclusions.

      (1) The evolutionist, having a grid of gradualism, randomness to order, human development and progress by natural selection, views man as attaining personal divinity by a process of moral improvement. The Bible itself has evolved alongside of man.

      (2) The humanist, having a grid of rational man as being supremely autonomous, views depraved, fallen man in the Bible to be an unworthy perspective that modern and advanced society ought to reject.

      (3) The naturalist, having a grid that is pantheistic where all is God, views the Bible abstractly and as merely a lesser form of revelation. The Bible is good for looking inward rather than outward.

      (4) The creationist, having a grid of fiat origination by God derived from the Bible, views the Bible harmoniously and as originating from God. He, unlike the other above mentioned schools of thought, makes the Bible his presupposition rather than subjecting it to prior, entrenched presuppositions.

2. Hence this study of the proper interpretation of the Bible declares those presuppositions upon which it is based. They will prove to be a constructive base for personal study.

   a. All of the Bible is the Word of God, that is God-breathed, God-expired, God-exhaled, verbal, propositional truth (II Tim. 3:16). Refer to Chapter XI where fifteen evidences uphold the authority of the Bible as the Word of God.

   b. Consequently, because God cannot lie (Heb. 6:18), all of the Bible with regard to the original manuscripts is infallible, that is truthful and inerrant in whatever field of knowledge it touches upon (Matt. 5:18; John 10:35; 17:17).
c. The Bible is perspicuous, that is essentially clear with regard to its basic message. Not all of the Bible is easy to understand, but its central and pervasive gospel message is unclouded (Ps. 19:7; Hab. 2:2; Matt. 22:9-10; Luke 16:29).

d. The Bible assumes a certain standard of literacy which the man in the street is responsible for obtaining.

C. Basic principles for interpreting the Bible.

1. By way of definition: “Hermeneutics is the science and art of biblical interpretation. It is a science because it is guided by rules within a system; and it is an art because the application of the rules is by skill, and not mechanical imitation.”

2. The principle of one interpretation of the Bible.

   a. When one is witnessing to unbelievers concerning the Bible, it is common to hear the reply, “Well, that is merely your interpretation. Who is to tell if you are right since there are so many interpretations of the Bible. You can make the Bible say anything that suits.” How then shall we reply? Simply by pointing out that there is only one interpretation of any given passage in the Bible. The intent of the Holy Spirit in conveying truth was singular, not multifarious, when He moved the human authors of Scripture to write what they did.

   b. By way of illustration, when the last will and testament of a wealthy man is declared, it is not open to a multitude of interpretations. It is assumed that the deceased had a singular meaning in mind when he expressed his desires, even though others, out of selfish motives, may have attempted to read into that document numerous interpretations. The appointed attorney will employ certain rules of interpretation whereby he arrives at the one, true interpretation.

   c. By way of illustration, Earl D. Radmacher relates an interesting experience.

      I am reminded of an encounter I had on a university campus after speaking in a class on comparative religions. A student approached me asking for further opportunity to “rap” with me. Obligingly, I set up a time and place for that same afternoon. Upon meeting, we got right into the discussion and had not proceeded very far until I appealed to Scripture for support of a position. At that point my challenger protested, “There are many different interpretations of that statement of Paul.” Somewhat irritated, I responded, “Wait just a moment! Earlier today you asked for more time to “rap” with me. Now I have come to “wrap” but I don’t see any presents to wrap or any wrapping paper. Now I don’t know how we are going to wrap without presents or wrapping paper.” He looked at me as though I had lost my mind and responded, “But that isn’t what I meant!” “Oh, I’m sorry,” I said, “But after all, there are many interpretations to what you said. Now, lets wrap! Despairing he said, “We can’t even communicate.” “Precisely!” I responded. “We can’t continue an intelligent conversation unless I am willing to understand what you mean by what you say. Now, how about allowing Paul the same privilege?”

---

d. When we declare that there is only one interpretation of any given passage in the Bible, we are stating that our sole desire regarding true interpretation is that of accurately gaining the full mind of the author on any issue he wished to communicate.

(1) In this regard two extremes must be avoided.

(a) *Under interpretation.* Only a limited understanding of the author’s intended meaning is obtained. Such an approach tends to treat the Bible lightly, superficially, and arrive at generalities rather than specifics.

(b) *Over interpretation.* More is obtained from a passage than was ever divinely intended. Such embellishment or imposition tends toward the spiritualizing of Scripture.

(2) In this regard, a passage of Scripture may have a fuller sense, *sensus plenior*, that involves two subjects. In Psalm 2, God’s sole authorial intent was that a primary reference to David should merge with a supreme reference to Jesus Christ, as Acts 4:25-28 indicates.

d. Careful distinction should be made between the interpretation and application of the Bible. For while there is only one interpretation of any given passage, yet there may be many resultant applications.

(1) While interpretation involves singular authorial intent, application leads to the relating of that intent to a meaningful, contemporary life situation. In simple terms, “what the Bible means,” is interpretation, while, “what the Bible means to me,” involves application.

(2) Interpretation must precede application, and in this area there is much neglect today. Because of overly pragmatic desires, as well as a common antipathy to the preeminence and discovery of the truth, it is common for people to merely glance at a passage of Scripture, yet spend much time in subjective speculation about what they believe they should do. Remember, that before a doctor can do something for you at a most practical level, he has to spend years studying the truth about medicine as preparation for practical ministry.

(3) By way of illustration, application must have explicit support from the correctly interpreted Word of God. A man once suggested that because David continued as King of Israel after he had committed the sins of murder and adultery, therefore a Christian today could continue to hold a position of spiritual leadership in a local church if he had committed similar sins and was truly repentant. Is this a correct application from the interpretation of II Samuel 11-12? Obviously not since the standards of Christian leadership are obtained from a right interpretation of I Timothy 3:1-13; Titus 1:5-9.

(a) The interpretation of David’s situation is correct. As a repentant sinner and adulterer, yet he continued as a King of Israel.
(b) The application is unsatisfactory in that it is presented as a direct but unsupported admonition for the Christian.

(c) The application is unsatisfactory in that the New Testament presents different standards for Christian leaders, namely being “above reproach” (I Tim. 3:2, 10).

(d) The application is unsatisfactory in that it ignores important dispensational distinctives, especially between theocratic Israel and the Church as the Body of Christ. David was polygamous. Would it therefore be in order for Christian leaders to be like David in this matter?

3. The principal of literal interpretation.

a. Antipathy and misunderstanding concerning literal interpretation.

   (1) It is common to hear the modern pagan of our day, as well as the liberal student of Scripture, state concerning the Bible, “Now you obviously can’t take all of the Bible literally.” But, and at this point we must pay careful attention, it is indeed of fundamental importance that we do interpret the whole of the Word of God literally. But someone immediately objects:

      (a) Does God have eyes and eyelids (Ps. 11:4)? Does God have wings (Ps. 17:8)? Does God have hands and ears (Isa. 59:1)?

      (b) The answer is emphatically “No” to all of these questions, which are based upon letterism rather than literal interpretation.

   (2) It is also common for conservative evangelical Christians to believe that they should take the Bible literally as far as possible, that is as far as reason and common sense will allow, but beyond that point they are at perfect liberty to spiritualize passages that would otherwise suggest absurd meanings. Nevertheless we would maintain that it is of fundamental importance that we take the whole of the Word of God literally.

      (a) However this dual hermeneutic involves a serious problem in that it approaches the text of the Bible with two distinctive possible approaches that may be employed.

      (b) Furthermore, an additional weakness of this hermeneutic is that of its subjective rather than its objective emphasis. In other words, it remains up to the individual as to whether one should interpret literally or spiritually.
b. Literal interpretation defined.

(1) According to Bernard Ramm:

The literal meaning of a word is the basic, customary, social designation of that word. . . . The major hermeneutical issue is not between a narrow, unimaginative, wooden literalism or a fanciful, imaginative allegorical system. The basic issue is whether the Biblical documents are to be approached in the normal, customary, usual way in which men talk, write, and think. . . . Of course the literal interpretation of Scripture does not blindly rule out figures of speech, symbols, allegories, and types. The literal meaning of a figure of speech is its proper meaning.12

(2) In other words, there is only ever one sense intended for a passage of Scripture, and that one sense, however figurative it may be, is yet the literal sense.

(a) Hence, the literal sense of any passage may be subdivided into two main categories.

1) The plain-literal meaning is used by David in Psalm 55:6 when he declares, “O that I had wings [italics added] like a dove! I would fly away and be at rest” NASB.

2) The figurative-literal meaning is used by David in Psalm 17:8 when he declares concerning God, “Hide me in the shadow of Thy wings [italics added]” NASB.

(b) What guidelines shall I employ that will indicate whether the plain-literal or figurative-literal meaning is intended?

1) The plain-literal is to be expected before the figurative-literal, especially when there is some doubt in mind.

2) Whichever meaning obviously contradicts known facts is to be rejected.

3) The immediate context will frequently indicate which meaning is intended.

4) The frequency of such a statement and its accepted meaning in other parts of the Bible, especially with regard to a particular human author, will often be determinative.

c. The fundamental emphasis then of literal interpretation is that of objectivity rather than subjectivity, or standard meaning rather than imported meaning.

(1) We desire to discover the singular mind of God without imposing our own views upon this sacred truth.

12 Ramm, Protestant Biblical Interpretation, pp. 90, 93, 95.
(2) We are concerned, not about an interpretation, but the interpretation, not about the mind of man so much as the mind of God, not so much truth for me as truth as it is sourced in God.

(3) We desire, not the opinions of men, however innumerable they may be, or plausible they may appear, but the glorious, singular, revealed mind of God.

(4) By way of illustration, consider the following citation of William Tyndale by J. I. Packer.

Thou shalt understand, therefore, that the Scripture hath but one sense, which is the literal sense. And that literal sense is the root and ground of all, and the anchor that never faileth, whereunto if thou cleave thou canst never err nor go out of the way. And if thou leave the literal sense, thou canst not but go out of the way. Nevertheless, the Scripture uses proverbs, similitudes, riddles, or allegories, as all other speeches do; but that which the proverb, similitude, riddle or allegory signifieth, is ever the literal sense, which thou must seek out diligently.13

4. The principle of grammatical interpretation.

a. The problem of antipathy towards grammar in general.

(1) Today, the average Christian is both lazy with regard to the precise analysis of the text of the Bible and ignorant concerning basis English grammar, let alone Hebrew or Greek grammar.

(2) By way of illustration, sixteenth and seventeenth century English Puritans were often called “precisians” because of their moral scrupulousness and disciplined lifestyle. One such preacher recounted how a member of his congregation declared that his messages were very precise, to which he replied that this observation was correct since his God was very precise.

(3) By way of illustration, grammar is the skeleton or framework of language that is designed to be clothed with the flesh or siding of truth. He who operates on the body of language without being aware of the structure of that body is not a surgeon, but a butcher.

(4) Consider how the following examples of how precise grammar exactly determines the meaning of God’s Word.

(a) Luke 24:33-34, NASB. When the two disciples who had been with Jesus on the Emmaus road returned to Jerusalem, grammar determines that the declaration of v. 34 was made, not by the two who had just rejoined the eleven disciples, but by the larger number already gathered in that room.

13 J. I. Packer, ‘Fundamentalism’ and the Word of God, pp. 103.
(b) John 1:1. With this verse the Jehovah’s Witness declares that here is proof of the apostle describing Jesus Christ as only “a god.” However grammar declares that the reason for the absence of the definite article is not on account of Jesus being less than God, but because John is careful to avoid the implications of the rendering, “God was the Word.”

(c) Galatians 3:16. Paul argues that Jesus Christ is the promised singular seed of Abraham by means of appealing to the singular of “seed” in Genesis 22:18.

(5) By way of illustration, it has been well said that the life of the Christian is governed by the nature of Greek prepositions. Consider the significance of “in,” “out of,” “with,” “by,” “from,” “to,” in the New Testament with regard to sanctification.

b. Know the basics of English grammar.

(1) Morphology (word meanings).

(a) Precision in the meaning of key Bible words.

(b) Knowledge of Greek and Latin roots.

(c) Knowledge of synonyms and antonyms.

(2) Syntax (word relations).

(a) A clear understanding of the differences between nouns, adjectives, pronouns, adverbs, verbs, participles, infinitives, prepositions, conjunctions, particles, etc.

(b) A clear understanding of the differences between cases, persons, numbers, tenses, moods, voices, etc.

c. Know some basics concerning the Hebrew and Greek languages.

(1) Old Testament Hebrew is essentially an oriental language used in a semitic setting (refer to pp. 21-22).

(2) New Testament Greek is essentially a western language used in a western setting (refer to pp. 23-26).

5. The principle of historical interpretation.

a. Since Christianity is basically a historic faith that is grounded upon Jesus Christ as an historic person who lived, died and rose again at a point of time in history, and is recorded in a Book that is a collation from different historic settings, it is of fundamental importance that we discover the levels and eras of history in which God’s Word was written.
(1) God’s selective use of specific segments of history is part of His revelatory process; it is not that which we can presently discard on the pretext that the setting of modern history is different.

(2) Hence, to discover the true historic setting of a passage of Scripture is to discover part of God’s Word revelation.

b. Culture goes hand-in-hand with historical development. It is the geographic lifestyle, the distinctive social way of life that distinguishes one community from another, especially with regard to the distinction between Jew and Gentile.

(1) Thus a knowledge of Bible geography is important. “To try to interpret the Bible without a basic geographical understanding of Bible lands is like trying to watch a drama with no scenery.”

(2) Thus a knowledge of local agriculture, commerce, dress, marriage customs, sporting activities, methods of warfare, etc., is of great interpretive importance.

c. Progress of revelation must be understood in terms of historical movement.

(1) We are not declaring that God reveals progressively from error to truth, from fable to historic narrative, as liberal theology does.

(2) We are declaring that God reveals progressively, and always truthfully, from civilization to civilization, from shadow to substance, from type to reality, as evangelical theology teaches.

6. The principle of theological interpretation.

a. The analogy of faith. The passage of God’s word that we study is, in accordance with the consistency of God’s holy and truthful nature, in full agreement and harmony with the totality of the Bible. Hence, our interpretation of such a passage will anticipate such unity in the truth. There may be distinctive characteristics in the writings of Peter, John, and Paul, yet not so as to result in contradictory doctrine. However, we must be careful that overall agreement is real and that disagreement is not due to human limitation.

(1) It is true that exegesis of the text leads to a systematic body of doctrine and not vice versa.

(2) Yet our edifice of doctrine ought to be a check upon our exegesis.

b. With caution it is suggested that the doctrinal opinions of other reliable men of God be consulted, while acknowledging they are good servants and bad masters. However, never forget that good doctrine is a result of good exegesis. But beware of imposing our doctrine upon our exegesis.

---

(1) Consider the Systematic Theologies of Calvin, Hodge, Shedd, Strong, Berkhof, etc., especially their Scripture indices.

(2) Consider the logical relationship of truth being studied with the logical consistency of truth already known.

(3) Consider the respect opinions of mature local church saints (Heb. 13:7).

7. The principle of unitary interpretation.

   a. By way of introduction, the Bible is to be interpreted literally, grammatically, historically, and theologically. However, it also needs to be studied analytically and synthetically.

      (1) It is important to understand that analysis, that is dissection into parts, also requires synthesis, that is reassembly into a whole, or else our study remains fragmentary.

      (2) Hence, we first study the smallest units, that is words, and then progress to increasingly larger units, clauses, sentences, paragraphs, chapters, etc., until we have finally comprehended the context of the whole Bible. We diagram this process as follows.

b. Word studies.

      (1) Read the passage to be studied several times so as to select the most important words and clauses.

      (2) Determine the form of each word selected, that is whether a noun, adjective, or verb, and then parse it.
Discover the root meaning of each word in its classical setting, but do not necessarily use this information to over-interpret its biblical setting. Consider the word “church,” p. 24.

Establish the Old or New Testament meaning in general, while paying special attention to cross references, synonyms, antonyms, and marginal notations.

Determine the specific meaning of each word in the passage in question by drawing upon the preceding and following context.

Where appropriate, make application of the truth of each word in context to one’s own contemporary situation.

c. Word relationships.

Diagram the sentence, establishing the main verb, as well as major and subordinate clauses.

Pay particular attention to connectives such as, “Therefore,” “But,” “And.”

(a) What is the importance of “Therefore” in Romans 12:1?
(b) What is the importance of “Therefore” in Philippians 3:15; 4:1?
(c) What is the importance of “in this” in I Peter 1:6?

Discover exactly what a certain preposition means, such as “by” KJV or “through” NASB in Romans 3:22.

When pronouns are used, carefully identify those people or things that are being referenced.

(a) Who are the “us” in II Peter 3:9?
(b) What are the “These things” of I John 5:13?

What type of verbal action is indicated? Is there any intended contrast between verb tenses? If so, why?

Is there a certain word order that indicates a particular emphasis?

Does the presence or absence of the definite article “the” shed light?

Do case relationships exclude a certain meaning?

Are compound or intensive word forms being used?
d. Contextual considerations.

(1) In simple terms, to look seriously at the context of the passage we are studying means that we don’t look at the Bible with doctrinal/cultural blinders on. Of course this is not an easy matter to accomplish. Rather, we consider the panorama of meaning that is being conveyed.

(2) The preceding context.

(a) Consider conjunctions, or parenthetic elements.

(b) Consider the development of the argument and seek to enter the flow of the author’s thought.

(3) The following context.

(a) Consider conjunctions and conclusions.

(b) Consider the subsequent development of the argument and seek to enter into the flow and climax of the author’s thought.

(4) The overall context.

(a) Consider the biblical covenants, their conditional or unconditional nature, as well as their relevance for the Christian.

(b) Consider the biblical dispensations, or historic economies in which God’s unchanging saving grace is displayed through differing agents and agencies.

(c) Consider the overall argument of the book being studied, especially the big idea being propounded.

(d) Consider the culture which permeates the author’s thoughts.

e. Figures of speech, and other literary modes of expression.

(1) Metaphor. One object is identified as another that is basically different yet illustrative. Hence, “You are my rock and my fortress” (Ps. 31:3 NASB).

(2) Simile. While this expression is similar to the metaphor, it differs in that one object is introduced with “as” or “like” with regard to another that has some parallel qualities. Hence, “And he will be like a tree firmly planted by streams of water” (Ps. 1:3 NASB).

(3) Symbol. A symbol is an object that is frequently used to represent something else. Hence, the human body is a symbol of the church of Jesus Christ (I Cor. 12:12-28 NASB).
(4) Metonymy. One term is used for a related term. Hence, “For as often as you eat this bread and drink the cup” (I Cor. 11:26 NASB).

(5) Anthropomorphism. A human physical characteristic is ascribed to God. Hence, “For the eyes of the LORD move to and from throughout the earth” (II Chron. 16:9 NASB).

(6) Anthropopathism. A human personality characteristic is ascribed to God. Hence, “and the Lord will change His mind about the misfortune which He promised against you” (Jer. 26:13 NASB).

(7) Personification. A non-personal object is attributed with personal characteristics. Hence, “Let the rivers clap their hands; let the mountains sing together for joy” (Ps. 98:8 NASB).

(8) Hyperbole. A deliberate exaggeration is made for emphasis. Hence, “And if I have the gift of prophecy, and know all mysteries and all knowledge” (I Cor. 13:2 NASB).

(9) Typology. A type is a divinely purposed, Old Testament foreshadowing of a New Testament spiritual reality. Hence, “For Christ our Passover also has been sacrificed” (I Cor. 5:7 NASB).

(10) Parables and allegories. A parable is a true to life story that has abiding and spiritual significance. It is an extended simile that is intended to both conceal and reveal (Matt. 13:11-13). An allegory is an extended metaphor (John 10). In their interpretation, consider the following.

(a) Discover as much as is possible concerning the cultural, geographic, and historic setting of the story.

(b) Establish the main truth of the earthly story as well as the secondary matters that pertain to it.

(c) Consider the context which makes necessary the telling of this story, especially the spiritual problem.

(d) Be concerned with discovering the one central truth that the parable is attempting to teach, and apply with caution when fitting together the smaller details. Consider Matthew 13:18-23, and how our Savior interpreted the Parable of the Sower, the Seed, and the Soils.

(e) Note that all of the details do not have to mesh perfectly (John 10:7, 11 NASB).
D. J. I. Packer and “The Interpretation of Scripture.”

This is part of a book written by J. I. Packer titled ‘Fundamentalism and the Word of God’, originally published in 1958. It includes a succinct explanation concerning a proper approach to interpreting the Bible that will help the reader avoid those dangerous shoals and reefs of subjectivism and spiritualization that lead to misunderstanding and ultimately doctrinal error. Having considered “The Divine Origin of Scripture” and “The Nature of Scripture,” we are now led to consider “The Interpretation of Scripture.”

Scripture, as we have seen, is a many-sided interpretive record of an intricate cross-section of world history. The Word of God is an exceedingly complex unity. The different items and the various kinds of material which make it up—laws, promises, liturgies, genealogies, arguments, narratives, meditations, visions, aphorisms, homilies, parables and the rest—do not stand in Scripture as isolated fragments, but as parts of a whole. The exposition of them, therefore, involves exhibiting them in right relation both to the whole and to each other. God’s Word is not presented in Scripture in the form of a theological system, but it admits of being stated in that form, and, indeed, requires to be so stated before we can properly grasp it—grasp it, that is, as a whole. Every text has its immediate context in the passage from which it comes, its broader context in the book to which it belongs, and its ultimate context in the Bible as a whole; and it needs to be rightly related to each of these contexts if its character, scope and significance is to be adequately understood.

An analogy may help here. A versatile writer with didactic intent, like Charles Williams or G. K. Chesterton, may express his thought in a variety of literary forms—poems, plays, novels, essays, critical and historical studies, as well as formal topical treatises. In such a case, it would be absurd to think any random sentence from one of his works could safely be taken as expressing his whole mind on a subject with which it deals. The point of each sentence can be grasped only when one sees it in the context, both of the particular piece of work from which it comes, and of the writer’s whole output. If we would understand the parts, our wisest course is to get to know the whole—or, at any rate, those parts of the whole which tell us in plain prose the writer’s central ideas. These give us the key to all his work. Once we can see the main outlines of his thought and have grasped his general point of view, we are able to see the meaning of everything else—the point of his poems and the moral of his stories, and how the puzzling passages fit in with the rest. We may find that his message has a consistency hitherto unsuspected, and that elements in his thought which seemed contradictory are not really so at all. The task of interpreting the mind of God as expressed in His written Word is of the same order as this, and must be tackled in the same way. The beginner in Bible study often feels lost; he cannot at first grasp the Bible’s over-all point of view, and so does not see the wood for the trees. As his understanding increases, however, he becomes more able to discern the unity of the biblical message, and to see the place of each part in the whole.

a. Interpreting Scripture Literally

Scripture yields two basic principles for its own interpretation. The first is that the proper, natural sense of each passage (i.e., the intended sense of the writer) is to be taken as fundamental; the meaning of the texts in their own contexts, and for their original readers, is the necessary starting-point for enquiry into their wider significance. In other words, Scripture statements must be interpreted in the light of the rules of grammar and discourse on the one hand, and of their own place in history on the other. This is what we should expect in the nature of the case, seeing that the biblical books originated as occasional documents addressed to contemporary audiences; and it is exemplified in the New Testament exposition of the Old, from which the fanciful allegorizing practiced by Philo and the Rabbis is strikingly absent. This is the much-misunderstood principle of
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interpreting Scripture *literally*. A glance at its history will be the quickest way of clearing up the confusion.

The Mediæval exegetes, following Origen, regarded the ‘literal’ sense of Scripture as unimportant and unedifying. They attributed to each biblical statement three further senses, or levels of meaning, each of which was in a broad sense allegorical: the ‘moral’ or ‘tropological’ (from which one learned rules of conduct), the ‘allegorical’ (from which one learned articles of faith), and the ‘anagogical’ (from which one learned of the invisible realities of heaven). Thus, it was held that the term ‘Jerusalem’ in Scripture, while denoting ‘literally’ a city in Palestine, also referred ‘morally’ to civil society, ‘allegorically’ to the Church, and ‘anagogically’ to heaven, every time that it occurred. Only the three allegorical senses, the Mediævals held, were worth a theologian’s study; the literal record had no value save as a vehicle of figurative meaning. Mediæval exegesis was thus exclusively mystical, not historical at all; biblical facts were made simply a jumping-off ground for theological fancies, and thus spiritualized away. Against this the Reformers protested, insisting that the literal, or intended, sense of Scripture was the sole guide to God’s meaning. They were at pains to point out, however, that ‘literalism’ of this sort, so far from precluding the recognition of figures of speech where Scripture employs them, actually demands it. William Tyndale’s statement of their position may be quoted as typical:

> Thou shalt understand, therefore, that the scripture hath but one sense, which is but the literal sense. And that literal sense is the root and ground of all, and the anchor that never faileth, whereunto if thou cleave, thou canst never err or go out of the way. Nevertheless, the scripture useth proverbs, similitudes, riddles, or allegories, as all other speeches do; but that which the proverb, similitude, riddle or allegory signifieth, is ever the literal sense, which thou must seek out diligently.

Tyndale castigates the Scholastics for misapplying II Corinthians 3:6 to support their thesis that “the literal sense . . . is hurtful, and noisome, and killeth the soul”, and only spiritualizing does any good; and he replaces their distinction between the literal and spiritual senses by an equation which replaces John 6:63, “God is a Spirit, and all his words are spiritual. His literal sense is spiritual . . . if thou have eyes of God to see the right meaning of the text, and whereunto the Scripture pertaineth, and the final end and cause thereof.”

> Fanciful spiritualizing, so far from yielding God’s meaning, actually obscured it. The literal sense is itself the spiritual sense, coming from God and leading to Him.

This ‘literalism’ is founded on respect for the biblical forms of speech; it is essentially a protest against the arbitrary imposition of inapplicable literary categories on scriptural statements. It is this ‘literalism’ that present-day Evangelicals profess. But to read all Scripture narratives as if they were eye-witness reports in a modern newspaper, and to ignore the poetic and imaginative form in which they are sometimes couched, would be no less a violation of the canons of evangelical ‘literalism’ than the allegorizing of the Scholastics was; and this sort of ‘literalism’ Evangelicals repudiate. It would be better to call such exegesis ‘literalistic’ rather than ‘literal’, so as to avoid confusing two very different things.

The modern outcry against evangelical ‘literalism’ seems to come from those who want leave to sit loose to biblical categories and treat the biblical records of certain events as myths, or parables—non-factual symbols of spiritual states and experiences. Many would view the story of the fall, for instance, merely as a picture of the present sinful condition of each man, and that of the virgin birth as merely expressing the thoughts of Christ’s superhuman character. Such ideas are attempts to cut the knot tied by the modern critical denial that these events really happened, and to find a way of saying that, though the stories are ‘literally’ false, yet they remain ‘spiritually’
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16 Tyndale, *Works* (Parker Society), I. 404 ff. The judicious Richard Hooker was making the same point when he wrote: “I hold it for a most infallible rule in the exposition of Scripture, that when a literal construction will stand, the furthest from the literal is commonly the worst” (*Laws of Ecclesiastical Polity*, V. lxix. 2).

17 Or, “to the letter.” B.E.H.

18 For a good short review of some of the narrative and didactic forms of Scripture, see J. Stafford Wright, *Interpreting the Bible* (Inter-Varsity Fellowship, 1955).
true and valuable. Those who take this line upbraid Evangelicals for being insensitive to the presence of symbolism in Scripture. But this is not the issue. There is a world of difference between recognizing that a real event (the fall, say) may be symbolically portrayed, as Evangelicals do, and arguing, as these persons do, that because the fall is symbolically portrayed, it need not be regarded as a real event at all, but is merely a picture of something else. In opposing such inferences, Evangelicals are contending, not for a literalistic view, but for the very principles of biblical literalism which we have already stated—that we must respect the literary categories of Scripture, and take seriously the historical character of the Bible story. We may not turn narratives which clearly purport to record actual events into mere symbols of human experience at our will; still less may we do so (as has been done) in the name of biblical theology! We must allow Scripture to tell us its own literary character, and be willing to receive it as what it claims to be.

It may be thought that the historic Protestant use of the word ‘literal’ which we have here been concerned to explain is so unnatural on modern lips, and that such a weight of misleading association now attaches to the term, that it would be wisest to drop it altogether. We argued earlier that the word ‘fundamentalist’ should be dropped, as having become a barrier to mutual understanding, and the case may well be the same here. We do not contend for words. We are not bound to cling to ‘literal’ as part of our theological vocabulary; it is not itself a biblical term, and we can state evangelical principles of interpretation without recourse to it (as indeed, we did in the opening sentences of this section); and perhaps it is better that we should. If we do abandon the word, however, we must not abandon the principle which it enshrines; namely, that Scripture is to be interpreted in its natural intended sense, and theological predilections must not be allowed to divert us from loyalty to what the text actually asserts.

b. Interpreting Scripture by Scripture

The second basic principle of interpretation is that Scripture must interpret Scripture; the scope and significance of one passage is to be brought out by relating it to others. Our Lord gave an example of this when he used Genesis 2:24 to show that Moses’ law of divorce was no more than a temporary concession to human hard-heartedness. The Reformers termed this principle the analogy of Scripture; the Westminster Confession states it thus: “The infallible rule of interpretation of scripture is the scripture itself; and therefore, when there is a question about the true and full sense of any scripture, it must be searched and known by other places that speak more clearly.” This is so in the nature of the case, since the various inspired books are dealing with complementary aspects of the same subject. The rule means that we must give ourselves in Bible study to following out the unities, cross-references and topical links which Scripture provides. Kings and Chronicles throw light on each other; so do the prophets and history books of the Old Testament; so do the Synoptic Gospels and John; so do the four Gospels and the Epistles; so, indeed, do the Old Testament as a whole and the New. And there is one book in the New Testament which links up with almost everything that the Bible contains: that is the Epistle to the Romans, of which Calvin justly wrote in the Epistle prefacing his commentary on it: “If a man understands it, he has a sure road opened for him to the understanding of the whole Scripture.” In Romans, Paul brings together and sets out in systematic relation all the great themes of the Bible—sin, law, judgment, faith, works, grace, justification, sanctification, election, the plan of salvation, the work of Christ, the work of the Spirit, the Christian hope, the nature and life of the Church, the place of Jew and Gentile in the purposes of God, the philosophy of Church and of world history, the meaning and message of the Old Testament, the duties of Christian citizenship, the principles of personal piety and ethics. From the vantage-point given by Romans, the whole
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19 P. 28.
21 I. ix.
landscape of the Bible is open to view, and the broad relation of the parts to the whole becomes plain. The study of Romans is the fittest starting-point for biblical interpretation and theology.

c. Problems and Difficulties

The scientific study of Scripture is a complicated and exacting task. The biblical languages have their own distinctive idioms and thought-forms. Each writer has his own habits of mind, vocabulary, outlook and interests. Each book has its own character, and is written according to stylistic conventions which it is not always easy to see. Each book has its own historical and theological background, and must be interpreted against that background; thus, we should not look in the Old Testament for clear statements about the Trinity, or the believer’s hope of a future life, for these things were not fully revealed till Christ came. All these factors must be borne in mind, or we shall misinterpret Scripture.

This does not mean that only trained scholars can study the Bible to any profit. Its central message is so plainly stated in the text that the most unlearned of those who have ears to hear and eyes to see can understand it. “The unfolding of thy words gives light; it imparts understanding to the simple.” 22 The technicalities of scholarship may be out of the ordinary Bible-reader’s reach, but none the less he can, with God’s blessing, grasp all the main truths of God’s message.

Those things which are necessary to be known, believed, and observed, for salvation, are so clearly propounded and opened in some place of scripture or other, that not only the learned, but the unlearned, in a due use of the ordinary means, may attain unto a sufficient under-standing of them. 23

It is only over secondary matters that problems arise. Here, however, ignorance of the background of biblical statements and allusions, coupled (no doubt) with failure to enter adequately into the writers’ minds, 24 leave us on occasion in doubt as to what the texts mean, and how they fit in with other texts and with the rest of the Word of God. But these uncertainties affect only the outer fringes of the biblical revelation. And in fact, this class of problem steadily yields to patient study as our knowledge grows. As in all scientific enquiry, however, the solution of one problem raises another and we have no reason to expect that all the problems that crop up in biblical exposition will ever be completely solved in this world.

An idea that persistently haunts some people is that the presence in Scripture of passages which are hard to harmonize is an argument against regarding it as God’s Word written in the sense we have explained, and that one is not entitled so to regard it until one has first reconciled all the seeming discrepancies to one’s own satisfaction. If this were right, every apparent contradiction would be a valid reason for doubting the truth of the biblical doctrine of Scripture. But the idea rests on a confusion. Christian’s are bound to receive the Bible as God’s Word written on the authority of Christ, not because they can prove it such by independent enquiry, but because as disciples they trust their divine Teacher. We have pointed out already that no article of Christian faith admits of full rational demonstration as, say, geometrical theorems do; all the great biblical doctrines—the Trinity, the incarnation, the atonement, the work of the Spirit in man, the resurrection of the body and the renewal of the creation—are partly mysterious, and raise problems for our minds that are at present insoluble. The doctrine of Scripture is no exception to this rule. But that should not daunt, nor even surprise us; for it is the very nature of Christian faith to believe, on the authority of God, truths which may neither be rationally demonstrated nor exhaustively understood. We must remember that God does not tell us everything about His acts and purposes, nor put us in a position to work them all out for ourselves. We shall not reach right views about the things of God by backing our independent judgment, but only by taking His word. We are wholly dependent on Him for our knowledge of His ways.

22 Ps. 119:130, RSV.
24 Cf. II Pet. 3:16.
God, then, does not profess to answer in Scripture all the questions that we, in our boundless curiosity, would like to ask about Scripture. He tells us merely as much as He sees we need to know as a basis for our life of faith. And He leaves unresolved some of the problems raised by what He tells us, in order to teach us a humble trust in His veracity. The question, therefore, that we must ask ourselves when faced with these puzzles is not, is it reasonable to imagine that this is so? But, is it reasonable to accept God’s assurance that this is so? Is it reasonable to take God’s word and believe that He has spoken the truth, even though I cannot fully comprehend what He has said? The question carries its own answer. We should not abandon faith in anything that God has taught us merely because we cannot solve all the problems which it raises. Our own intellectual competence is not the test and measure of divine truth. It is not for us to stop believing because we lack understanding, or to postpone believing till we can get understanding, but to believe in order that we may understand; as Augustine said, “unless you believe, you will not understand.” Faith first, sight afterwards, is God’s order, not vice versa; and the proof of the sincerity of our faith is our willingness to have it so. Therefore, just as we should not hesitate to commit ourselves to faith in the Trinity although we do not know how one God can be three persons, nor to faith in the incarnation, although we do not know how the divine and human natures combined in the person of Christ, so we should not hesitate to commit ourselves to faith in Scripture as the infallible Word of the infallible God, even though we cannot solve all the puzzles, nor reconcile all the apparent contradictions, with which in our present state of knowledge it confronts us. On all these articles of faith we have God’s positive assurance; and that should be enough.

Accordingly, our methods of interpreting Scripture must be such as express faith in its truth and consistency as God’s Word. Our approach must be harmonistic; for we know at the outset that God’s utterance is not self-contradictory. Article XX of the Church of England lays down that it is not lawful for the Church so to “expound one place of Scripture, that it may be repugnant to another”; no more is it lawful for any individual exegete. Not that we should adopt strained and artificial expedients for harmonizing; this will neither glorify God nor edify us. What we cannot harmonize by a natural and plausible hypothesis is best left unharmonized, with a frank admission that in our present state of knowledge we do not see how these apparent discrepancies should be resolved. We may not, with the heretic Marcion and some modern Liberals, “criticize the Bible by the Bible”, singling out some parts of Scripture as the authentic Word of God and denying the divine character of the rest because it seems to say something different from the parts approved; instead, we should confess the divine origin of all the Scriptures, and be guided in interpreting them by Augustine’s axiom: “I do not doubt that their authors therein made no mistake and set forth nothing that might mislead. If in one of these books I stumble across something which seems opposed to the truth, I have no hesitation in saying that either my copy is faulty, or the translator has not fully grasped what was said” (Augustine read Scripture in Latin), “or else I myself have not fully understood.” We must base our study of Scripture on the assumption that governed the New Testament men in their study of the Old—that God’s revealed truth is a consistent unity, and any disharmony between part and part is only apparent, not real.

4. The Holy Spirit as Interpreter

One final point concerning interpretation remains to be made. Scripture tells us that if we are to understand Scripture we need, over and above right rules, personal insight into spiritual things. Scripture sets before us spiritual truths—truths, that is, about God, and about created things in relation to God; and to grasp spiritual truths requires spiritual receptiveness. But no man has this by nature. “The natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” The habit of mind which enslaves the natural man, Paul tells us, is to set up his own “wisdom” and make it ultimate,
and so he is compelled to dismiss as foolishness all that does not accord with it. Without spiritual
enlightenment, he will never be able to see the foolishness of his own wisdom, nor the wisdom of
the “foolishness of God” proclaimed in the gospel; hence he will never forsake the one for the other.
Our Lord confirms this view of man. His repeated diagnosis of the unbelieving Pharisees was that they were blind, lacking the capacity to perceive spiritual realities; and He regarded spiritual perception, where He found it, as a supernatural gift from God.

Now, the Holy Spirit has been sent to the Church as its Teacher, to guide Christians into truth, to
make them wise unto salvation, to testify to them of Christ and to glorify Him thereby. To the
apostles, He came to remind them of Christ’s teaching, to show them its meaning, to add further
revelation to it, and so to equip them to witness to all about their Lord. To other men, He came
to make them partakers of the apostolic faith through the apostolic word. Paul indicates the
permanent relation between the Spirit, the apostles’ word and the rest of the Church in I Corinthians 2:10-16. The Spirit, he says, gave the apostles understanding of the gospel: “we have re-
ceived, not the spirit of the whole world, but the spirit which is of God; that we might know the
things that are freely given to us of God”; “God hath revealed them unto us by his Spirit.” Now the Spirit inspires and empowers their proclamation of these things to other men: “which things we speak, not in the words which man’s wisdom teacheth, but which the Holy Ghost teacheth”; Paul preaches, and knows that he preaches, “in demonstration of the Spirit and of power”. And “he that is spiritual”—he in whom the Spirit abides to give understanding—discerns the meaning of the message and receives it as the testimony of God. This applies no less to the apostolic word written than to the apostolic word preached; and no more to the apostolic writings than to the rest of the written Word of God. The Spirit, who was its author, is also its interpreter, and such understanding of it as men gain is His gift.

Not that the Spirit’s presence in men’s hearts makes patient study of the text unnecessary. The
Spirit is not given to make Bible study needless, but to make it effective. Nor can anything in
Scripture mean anything when the Spirit interprets. The Spirit is not the prompter of fanciful
spiritualizing, or of applications of texts out of their contexts on the basis of accidental
associations of words. The only meaning to which He bears witness is that which each text
actually has in the organism of Scripture; such witness as is borne to other meanings is borne by
other spirits. But without the Spirit’s help there can be no grasp of the message of Scripture, no
conviction of the truth of Scripture, and no faith in the God of Scripture. Without the Spirit,
nothing is possible but spiritual blindness and unbelief.

It follows that the Christian must approach the study of Scripture in humble dependence on the
Holy Spirit, sure that he can learn from it nothing of spiritual significance unless he is taught of
God. Confidence in one’s own powers of discernment is an effective barrier to spiritual
understanding. The self-confidence of nineteenth-century critical scholarship was reflected in its
slogan that the Bible must be read like any other book; but the Bible is more than a merely human
book, and understanding it involves more than appreciating its merely human characteristics.
God’s book does not yield up its secrets to those who will not be taught of the Spirit. Our God-
given textbook is a closed book till our God-given Teacher opens it to us.

A century of criticism has certainly thrown some light on the human side of the Bible—its style,
language, composition, history and culture; but whether it has brought the Church a better
understanding of its divine message than Evangelicals of two, three and four hundred years ago
possessed is more than doubtful. It is not at all clear that we today comprehend the plan of

27 I Cor, 1:25; see the whole passage, 1:18 ff.
32 I Cor. 2:4.
salvation, the doctrines of sin, election, atonement, justification, new birth and sanctification, the life of faith, the duties of churchmanship and the meaning of Church history, more clearly than did the Reformers, or the Puritans, or the leaders of the eighteenth-century revival. When it is claimed that modern criticism has greatly advanced our understanding of the Bible, the reply must be that it depends upon what is meant by the Bible; criticism has thrown much light on the human features of Scripture, but it has not greatly furthered our knowledge of the Word of God. Indeed, it seems truer to say that its effect to date has been rather to foster ignorance of the Word of God; for by concentrating on the human side of Scripture it has blurred the Church’s awareness of the divine character of scriptural teaching, and by questioning biblical statements in the name of scholarship it has shaken confidence in the value of personal Bible study. Hence, just as the Mediævals tended to equate Church tradition with the Word of God, so modern Protestants tend to equate the words of scholars with the Word of God. We have fallen into the habit of accepting their pronouncements at second hand without invoking the Spirit’s help to search Scripture and see, not merely whether what they say is so (in so far as the lay Bible student is qualified to judge this), but also—often more important—whether God’s Word does not deal with more than the limited number of topics with which scholars at any one time are concerned. The result of this negligence is widespread ignorance among Churchmen as to what Scripture actually says. So it always is when the Church forgets how to search the Scriptures acknowledging its own blindness and looking to God’s Spirit to teach it God’s truth. There is no more urgent need today than that the Church should humble itself to learn this lesson once more.

We have now presented in positive outline the biblical approach to Scripture. Its text is word for word God-given; its message is an organic unity, the infallible Word of an infallible God, a web of revealed truth centered upon Christ; it must be interpreted in its natural sense, on the assumption of its inner harmony; and its meaning can be grasped only by those who humbly seek and gladly receive the help of the Holy Spirit.
A. The major events of the Bible.

1. The creation (Genesis 1-2).
   a. Establishes God as the Eternal, His sovereign dominion, and man’s consequent accountability.
   b. Eliminates atheism, humanism, agnosticism, polytheism, pantheism, fatalism, materialism, evolution.
   c. Explains the origin of man. Whereas Genesis 1:1-27 describes creation in general, Genesis 2:4-9, 18-25, focus attention upon the creation of Adam and Eve in much greater detail.

2. The fall (Genesis 3).
   a. Innocence or uncontested holiness gives way to the original human sin. The consequence is pervasive racial depravity, spiritual impotency, and pollution of the soul (Rom. 5:12).
   b. Both the promise of the victorious seed of the woman (3:15) and man’s expulsion from Paradise (3:22-24) indicate God’s sovereign control over this affront to His goodness.
   c. An understanding of this human calamity is basic to an appreciation of subsequent behavior and the provision of the gospel. This historic event concerning the first original man makes void any claims to inherent human goodness (Rom. 1:18-3:20).

3. The flood (Genesis 6-9).
   a. It was universal, declaring God’s wrath and judgement in the face of spurned grace. If the Flood was merely local, then God’s covenant promise (Gen. 11:11-17) has subsequently been broken on many occasions.
   b. It was typical of God’s future dealings with this present unbelieving age which rejects grace and invites judgment (Matt. 24:37-39; I Pet. 3:18-22; II Pet. 3:3-7).
   c. It was transforming in that new geographic and climactic conditions came as a result, including seasons, polar regions, and consequent reduced longevity (2:6; 5:1-32; 7:11; 7:11, 11:10-32).
   d. It was covenantal in that it drew forth God’s promise of regular seasons, abundant food (8:21-22), and peace (9:8-17).
4. The confusion at Babel (Genesis 11).
   a. A unity of language results in human arrogance and the prospect of undreamt of heights of human wickedness (11:4-6).
   b. A diversity of language brought about sovereign intervention that resulted in the restraint of man’s ambitious designs (11:7-8).
   c. A dispersal of mankind due to sin implies that man’s efforts to accomplish international unity are doomed to fail (11:9).

5. The call of Abraham (Genesis 12-15).
   a. The Gentile father of the Hebrew race is called in grace from paganism in Ur of the Chaldees to blessing in the land of Canaan.
   b. God establishes a unilateral covenant that ensures a land inheritance, a national heritage, and international significance (14:14-17; 15:7-21; Gal. 3:29).
   c. Abraham becomes the father of those who are justified by grace through faith (Gen. 15:6; Rom. 4:1-5, 9-25; Heb. 11:8-10).

6. The sojourn of Israel in Egypt (Genesis 37-50).
   a. Through a famine and Jacob’s favoritism directed towards Joseph, Egypt becomes a womb in which a family nucleus of seventy (Gen. 46:27) is implanted that gives birth to a nation of two million (Num. 1:44-46) following a gestation period of four hundred and thirty years (Ex. 12:40-41).
   b. Egypt also represents bondage and captivity that necessitates redemption by means of God’s sovereign, atoning intervention (Exod. 2:23-25).
   c. The humiliation and exaltation of Joseph pictures both Jesus Christ and the Father’s sovereign resolve to save His people (Gen. 50:20).

7. The exodus of Israel from Egypt (Exodus 1-15).
   a. Here is redemption from captivity through the Passover lamb (Exod. 12:23; I Cor. 5:7).
   b. Here is redemption unto liberty through the Red Sea under the leadership of Moses (I Cor. 10:1-4).
   c. Here is redemption with spoils from a vanquished enemy (Exod. 3:20-22; 12:35-36; Eph. 4:8).
8. The giving of the Law to Israel (Exodus 19-Leviticus 27).
   a. It is given to a redeemed people, who yet sin, as a means of reconciliation, as a
      standard for holiness and rule for duty and worship (Exod. 19:3-8; cf. Rom. 5:20; 
      Gal. 3:19).
   b. It is given as a bilateral, blood covenant (Exod. 24:1-8) and summary of God’s
      righteous demands (Exod. 20:1-7; Deut. 5:6-21) that have the consequences of
      either blessing or cursing (Deut. 30:15-20). It does not nullify or replace the
      unilateral Abrahamic covenant of promise (Gal. 3:16-19).
   c. It is given in its civil, social, and religious fullness in Exodus 21-Leviticus 27, and
      overall review in Deuteronomy 1-36.

9. The wilderness sojourn of Israel (Numbers 10-36).
   a. From Mt. Sinai to Kadesh-Barnea, Israel’s murmuring, rebellion, and unbelief
      result in a 38 year wilderness exile (Num. 10-14).
   b. From Kadesh-Barnea via the wilderness back to Kadesh-Barnea, the old
      generation, except for Caleb and Joshua, is gradually replaced over 38 years
      (Num. 15-20). Both the rebellion of Korah and the disobedience of Moses
      intersperse this period.
   c. From Kadesh-Barnea to the Jordan River opposite Jericho, the new generation
      experience victory, judgment by fiery serpents, and a plague that results from the
      idolatrous seduction of Balaam (Num. 21-25).

10. The conquest of Canaan by Israel (Joshua 1-12).
    a. Under divine direction, Joshua and Israel cross the Jordan before the Ark of the
       Covenant (Josh. 3:7-17).
    b. The cities of Canaan were grossly idolatrous and immoral. Hence, God uses Israel
       as an instrument of severe judgment (Lev. 18:19-30; Deut. 12:29-31).
    c. The initial central, southern, and northern campaigns took approximately seven
       years. Essential to victory in these battles was the “obedience of faith” (Josh

11. The reign of the judges of Israel (Judges 1-Ruth 4).
    a. Following the death of Joshua, gradual apostasy is paralleled with military failures
       and incomplete conquest (Judg. 1:1-3:7).
    b. Six great invasions by foreign enemies were repulsed by the appointed judges,
       Othniel, Ehud, Deborah and Barak, Gideon, Jephthah, and Samson (Judg. 2:16). 
       These leaders also settled disputes and maintained justice.
c. Yet Israel spurned the judges, so that “everyone did what was right in his own eyes” (Judg. 2:17; 21:25). Even so, in the midst of this period of declension, there remained a righteous remnant such as Ruth describes.

12. The reign of King Saul (I Samuel 8-31).
   a. Following the evil judgeships of Samuel’s sons, Israel’s desire for a king, as other worldly nations, is satisfied in Saul, despite Samuel’s warning (I Sam. 8:5-7, 10-22). Israel desired a good thing in a bad way (Deut. 17:14-20).
   b. He commenced with humility, military attainment, and promising leadership (I Sam. 11:5-15), as David sadly acknowledged (II Sam. 1:1-27). He shunned revenge (I Sam. 11:12-13), and endeavored to keep the Mosaic law (I Sam. 14:31-34).
   c. Nevertheless, Saul was a failure as a king in that he acted presumptuously as a priest (I Sam. 13:8-14), established a foolish vow (I Sam. 14:24-30), disobeyed the Lord (I Sam. 15:1-35), became jealous of David (I Sam. 18:5-9), and consulted with a witch (I Sam. 28:6-7).

13. The reign of King David (I Samuel 16-I Kings 2).
   a. As a man after God’s own heart (I Sam. 13:14; Acts 13:22), David was raised from a shepherd to a courtier under King Saul and to the throne of Israel as the nations greatest king (II Sam. 7:8-9).
   b. He was a righteous man who yet sinned and found grace through faith (I Sam. 11; Ps. 32; 52; Rom. 4:6-8). Consequently, David had numerous enemies, even at close quarters (Ps. 41).
   c. As a skilled commander, David unified Israel, extended the kingdom, and defeated numerous enemies (I Sam. 17-18; II Sam. 5:12; I Chron. 28:2-4). In addition to his political acumen, he was a man of culture who evidenced great musical and poetic talent (I Sam. 16:14-23; II Sam. 23:1).
   d. Above all, David was a man anointed by God to foreshadow the promised Messiah (II Sam. 7:11-16; Luke 1:31-33; Rom. 1:1-5; Rev. 5:5).

14. The reign of King Solomon (I Kings 1-11).
   a. Solomon’s kingdom manifested wisdom with regard to the government of his people (I Kings 4:29-34), peace in relation to surrounding nations (I Kings 4:24-25), and glory with respect to wealth, architecture, and military might (I Kings 10:14-29).
   b. His preeminent construction was the temple which took seven years and involved 180,000 laborers. The sanctuary was covered with gold costing $700,000,000. Of similar magnificence was Solomon’s palace, which took 13 years to complete.
c. However, Solomon’s later apostasy resulted from his neglect of the commands of Deuteronomy 17:14-17. His harem was comprised of 700 wives and 300 concubines! His personal spiritual declension led to pagan worship and despotism. It is not surprising that the kingdom was divided at his death (I Kings 12).

15. The Assyrian captivity (II Kings 18).

a. Following the death of Solomon in 931 B.C., Israel was divided into the Northern Kingdom (Israel) under King Jeroboam and the Southern Kingdom (Judah) under King Rehoboam. Without exception, there followed for the Northern Kingdom, with its headquarters in Samaria, a succession of evil dynasties that inevitably led to God’s judgment and deportation to Assyria in 722 B.C. The North was repeopled with Chaldeans.

b. During this 200 year period, notable prophetic voices went unheeded including those of Elijah, Elisha, Amos, Hosea, and Micah. But Jonah gave Israel hope of success and prosperity (II Kings 14:25).

c. Jeroboam introduced a foreign priesthood, calf worship at Bethel and Dan so that the people might be kept from returning to Jerusalem. After Omri built Samaria, Ahab and Jezebel introduced Baal worship. Political, military, and agricultural prosperity, including friendship with the South, eventually ended in chaos and oblivion.

16. The Babylonian captivity (Jeremiah 1-29, 34-39; Ezekiel 4-24).

a. From the commencement of King Rehoboam’s reign over Judah in 931 B.C. until the Babylonian Captivity in 586 B.C., the Southern Kingdom has eight good kings, notably Jehoshephat, Azariah, Hezekiah, Josiah, and twelve bad kings, especially Ahaz and Manasseh.

b. During the era of the divided kingdom, prolonged periods of spiritual revival under Asa, Jehoshephat, and Azariah, kept the principal blight of idolatry in check. However, during Judah’s solitary kingdom period after the exile of Israel and following Hezekiah’s reformation, the intense wickedness of Manasseh provoked God to declare His captivity judgment of the nation (II Kings 21:10-15), in spite of the prophetic warnings of Isaiah, Habakkuk, Jeremiah, and Ezekiel.

c. In 606 B.C., Nebuchadnezzar first captured Jerusalem and extracted tribute. His initial deportation at this time included Daniel. However, Zedekiah revolted in 588 B.C. and sought aid from Egypt against Jeremiah’s advice. Nebuchadnezzar then besieged Jerusalem for 2½ years, after which he leveled the city with its temple and blinded Zedekiah. The temple vessels and all but the poor were deported to Babylon in 586 B.C.

17. The restoration period (Ezra; Nehemiah; Haggai; Zechariah).

a. The first return from Babylon to Jerusalem involved a contingent of 50,000 exiles under Zerubbabel according to the decree of Cyrus in 537 B.C. (Ezra 1-6). This was in agreement with the prophetic record (Isa. 44:28; Jer. 25:11; 29:10; cf. Dan.
The purpose of this return was to rebuild the temple, though the work was halted for near fourteen years due to Samaritan opposition and selfish interests. However, the prophecies of Haggai (520 B.C.) and Zechariah (520 B.C.) inspired the people to recommence building until completion in 516 B.C.

b. The second return from Babylon to Jerusalem involved a contingent of 2,000 exiles under Ezra according to the decree of Artaxerxes in 457 B.C. (Ezra 7-10; Neh. 8-12). The purpose of this return was to establish covenant renewal, temple worship, and feast celebrations in the face of mixed marriages and neglect of the law.

c. The third return from Babylon to Jerusalem involved a small contingent under Nehemiah according to the decree of Artaxerxes in 445 B.C. (Neh. 1-7; 13). The purpose of this return was the rebuilding of the city wall, as well as the instituting of temple, Sabbath, and social reforms. In spite of great opposition the wall was completed in 52 days. The later reforms were contemporary with the prophecy of Malachi (c. 430 B.C.).


a. From the writing of Malachi at about 430 B.C. to the public ministry of Jesus Christ which commenced around 30 A.D., there is a 400 year silent period of revelation. After Malachi had prophesied regarding God’s covenant love for a proud and dishonorable people and His call for repentance (Mal. 3:6-7), the reigning Persian world empire began to weaken as succeeding leaders were poisoned and various regions fragmented.

b. Alexander the Great came from the west like a swift he-goat (Dan. 8:5-8) with his small but highly skilled army of 40,000 men. At the Battle of Issus in 332 B.C. he conquered the much larger army of Darius III and so established the succeeding Greek world empire. His subsequent conquest of Palestine, Egypt, and the Persian capitals of Babylon and Susa, consolidated his realm before his death at the age of 32. His legacy of pervasive Greek culture continued through his partitioned kingdom under four of his succeeding generals, Ptolemy, Seleucus, Cassander, and Lysimachus. Palestine suffered under recurring conflict between the empires of Ptolemy and Seleucus until Antiochus Eponimenes, the evil Assyrian Seleucid leader, assaulted Judaism with the most fiendish anti-semitism. Refer to I Maccabees 1 in the Apocrypha.

c. In 167 B.C. Mattathias, a Judean priest of Modein with his five sons, led a notable Jewish revolt against Antiochus Epiphanes. Refer to I Maccabees 2 in the Apocrypha. Against overwhelming odds under the leadership of Judas at the death of his father, the Hasmonean kingdom was established. On December 25, 165 B.C., the temple was cleansed and rededicated for the worship of Jehovah. Recovering more of Palestine, the dynasty saw prosperity and religious reform. Rivalry between the sects of the Pharisees and the Sadducees weakened the unity of the kingdom until civil war was followed by subjugation to Rome.

d. In 63 B.C. the Roman general Pompey captured Jerusalem and outraged the Jews by entering the Holy of Holies in the temple. He appointed Antipater, an
Idumean, over the affairs of Palestine, whose son Herod commenced his infamous reign in 37 B.C. His rule was one of terror, architectural glory, and a horrible death of a foul disease.

19. The life and atonement of Jesus Christ (Matthew-John).

a. His birth and early childhood.

Preexisting in eternity past (John 17:5), the Son of God was born of a virgin (Matt. 1:23) as absolute deity and true humanity (Phil. 1:5-8). As the son of Joseph and Mary, Jesus “kept increasing in wisdom and stature, and in favor with God and men” (Luke 2:52).

b. His public ministry.

(1) The early Judean ministry.


(2) The great Galilean ministry.

Rejected at Nazareth (Luke 4:16-30), hostility mounts in spite of numerous miraculous signs. Calling more disciples, controversy arises concerning the Sabbath (Matt. 12:9-14). Following the Sermon on the Mount (Matt. 5-7), the Twelve are taught by parable, miracle, and personal assignment (Matt. 8-13). After the death of John the Baptist (Matt. 14:1-12), Jesus rejects the offer of kingship (John 6:14-15). Further unbelief in the face of signs such as the feeding of the 5,000 (John 6:1-71) leads to wider ministry in the outlying areas of Phoenicia and Decapolis (Mark 7:24-37). Returning to Jerusalem for two feasts, further antagonism to Jesus leads to an attempted seizure (John 10:22-39).

(3) The final year ministry.

c. His atonement ministry.

(1) Passion week.

(a) Friday – arrival at Bethany (Luke 19:28).

(b) Saturday – Sabbath supper and Jesus’ anointing at the home of Simon the leper (Mark 14:3-9).

(c) Sunday – Triumphal Entry as Messiah (Matt. 21:1-11).

(d) Monday – Returning to Jerusalem, the fig tree is cursed and the temple again cleansed (Mark 11:12-18).

(e) Tuesday – The fig tree has withered. The challenge by the Sanhedrin is solemnly denounced. Greeks seek Jesus (Mark 11:19-12:40; John 12:20-36).


(g) Thursday – Eating the Passover, Jesus washes the disciples’ feet. Judas withdraws as the betrayer. After the Lord’s Supper is instituted, Jesus discourses before retiring to Gethsemane (Luke 22:7-46; John 13:1-18:1).

(2) Death and resurrection.

(a) The Friday religious trials before the Jewish rulers (Luke 22:47-71).

(b) The Friday civil trials before the Roman rulers (Luke 23:1-25).

(c) The crucifixion and burial. The first three hours on the cross are in the light; the second three hours are in darkness, 9:00 am – 3:00 pm (Mark 15:24-37). Following supernatural phenomena, Jesus is buried in a rich man’s tomb (Matt. 27: 51-61).

(d) The Saturday interval of desperation (Matt. 27:62-66).

(3) Appearance and ascension.

(a) The first appearances are to the women, Peter and John, the Emmaus disciples, and the upper room gathering (Luke 24:1-43).

(b) The later appearances are again to the upper room gathering, the 500 brethren, the disciples in Galilee, and finally the apostles on Mt. Olivet (John 20:2621:25; I Cor. 15:6; Luke 24:44-53; Acts 1:9-12).
20. The Church founded at Pentecost (Acts 2).

a. During the ten days after Jesus’ ascension up till the day of Pentecost, the apostles prayerfully waited for “what the Father had promised” (Acts 1:4). During that period, a gathering of 120 believers witnessed the appointment of Matthias as the necessary replacement for Judas (Acts 1:12-26).

b. The outpoured Holy Spirit inaugurates God’s new agency in the world, the Church of Jesus Christ.

   (1) This outpouring is now possible since Jesus Christ has gone to the Father having made atonement for sin (Matt. 16:16-18; John 7:38-39; 16:7-14).

   (2) This outpouring signifies, by means of tongues of fire and the gift of unlearned languages, the charter members of the Church, namely the twelve apostles (Acts 2:3-4; Eph. 2:19-20).

   (3) This outpouring of the Holy Spirit upon “all flesh” ushers in the age of the universal gospel for Jew and Gentile (Matt. 24:14; Acts 1:8; 2:17, 39).


a. The conversion of Paul (Acts 9).

At Paul’s conversion, Ananias is advised by the Lord Jesus, “Go [to Paul], for he is a chosen instrument of Mine, to bear My name before the Gentiles and kings and the sons of Israel; for I will show him how much he must suffer for My name’s sake” (Acts 9:15-16; cf. Rom. 1:5; 11:13; 15:15-16; Gal. 1:15-16; Eph. 3:1-8; I Tim. 2:7; II Tim. 4:17). His ministry never neglected witness to the Jews.

b. Peter at Caesarea (Acts 10).

While Peter remains as a pillar of the Jewish church in Jerusalem and an apostle to the Jews (Gal. 2:9), yet it was necessary that his eyes be opened to God’s saving purpose for the Gentiles. This required a graphic vision in triplicate (Acts 10:10-16) at Joppa resulting in encounter with the centurion Cornelius at Caesarea, his conversion, and heaven’s blessing of the outpoured Holy Spirit (Acts 10:24-49).

c. The Church at Antioch (Acts 11).

Persecution leads to the expansion of the Gentile church at Syrian Antioch to which Barnabas, “a good man, and full of the Holy Spirit and of faith” (Acts 11:24), is sent by the leaders at Jerusalem. Here he recruits Paul from Tarsus, the result being, “for an entire year they met with the church and taught considerable numbers; and the disciples were first called Christians in Antioch” (Acts 11:26).


Paul and Barnabas minister in southern Asia Minor. John Mark defects. Refer to subsequent greater detail concerning this journey.
e. The Council at Jerusalem (Acts 15).

Jewish Christians from Jerusalem assert in Antioch that “unless you are circumcised [convert to Judaism and observe the Law] according to the custom of Moses, you cannot be saved” (Acts 15:1, 5). Paul and Barnabas head a delegation to Jerusalem where they confer with the other apostles. Peter testifies that, “we believe that we [Jews] are saved through the grace of the Lord Jesus, in the same way as they [Gentiles] also are” (Acts 15:11). Consequently the delegation returns to Antioch with a letter essentially granting liberty to the Gentiles (Acts 15:22-29).


Having sharply separated from Barnabas, Paul recruits John Mark. Returning to Asia Minor, they are directed to Macedonia and Greece.


Again returning to Asia Minor, Paul has an extensive ministry at Ephesus. He also returns to Macedonia and Greece.


Assailed by the Jews in Jerusalem and tried in Caesarea, Paul appeals to Caesar. His captive voyage ends at Rome where he is eventually martyred.

22. The second coming of Jesus Christ Acts 1; II Thessalonians 1).

a. According to the promise of the Son of God given to His disciples (John 14:3; II Pet. 3:3-4) and the announcement of the angelic witnesses (Acts 1:9-11), Jesus Christ shall return personally, bodily, visibly, gloriously, at the end of this age (Matt. 24:3, 29-31; Rev. 1:7).

b. He shall return as a bridegroom for His bride, the Church (Rev. 19:6-7), as the gatherer of his elect (Matt. 24:31), as the reaper of wheat for his barn (Matt. 13:30).

c. He shall return as the judge of the world (Rev. 19:11-16), as the banisher of the reprobate (Matt. 7:22-23), as the harvester of the tares for destruction by fire (Matt. 13:30).

B. The major characters of the Bible.

1. Adam (Genesis 1-3; I Corinthians 15; Romans 5). The root meaning of his name is “ground,” so that Adam is “the earthy one” (Gen. 2:7).

a. He is the original human being created in innocence (I Cor. 15:45).

b. He is the representative head of the human race (Rom. 5:12, 17-19).
c. He is made in the image of God (Gen. 1:27).

d. He is the progenitor of the whole sinful human race (Gen. 5:12, 17-19).

e. He is the first Adam eclipsed by the last Adam (I Cor. 15:21-22, 45-47).

2. Noah (Genesis 6-9; Matthew 24; Hebrews 11; II Peter 2-3). His name possibly means “to rest.” He is the transitional patriarch who spans the antediluvian and postdiluvian dispensations.

a. He represents God’s righteous remnant saved from judgment by grace through faith (Heb. 11:7).

b. He is the first person in the Bible to enter into an explicitly stated covenant with God (Gen. 6:18; 9:8-17).

c. He is the father of the three main racial groups that comprise the population of the world, namely Caucasian, Negroid, and Mongolian (Gen. 9:18-27).

3. Abraham (Genesis 11-25; John 8; Romans 4; Galatians 3; Hebrews 11). His original name Abram, meaning “exalted father,” was changed by God as a covenant sign to Abraham, meaning “father of many nations” (Gen. 17:4-8).

a. He is the father of the Hebrew people who, having been called by God out of pagan Ur and Haran in Chaldea, enters the promised land of Canaan on behalf of Isaac, Jacob and his twelve sons (Gen. 12:1-3; Exod. 2:24-25).

b. He is the recipient of an immutable, unilateral covenant of promise (Gen. 15:1-21), that finds its ultimate fulfillment in the everlasting or new covenant established through the blood of Jesus Christ (Matt. 26:28; Gal. 3:7-9, 17-19; Heb. 2:24).

c. He is the father of all, whether Jew or Gentile, who have true saving faith in Jesus Christ (Matt. 1:1; Gal. 3:16, 29).

d. He is the father of those who are justified through faith apart from circumcision and the Law since, before being circumcised, “he believed in the Lord; and He reckoned it to him as righteousness” (Gen. 15:6; Rom. 4:1-5, 9-13, 18-25; Gal. 3:17-19).

4. Jacob (Genesis 25-50). His original name meant “he clutches” (Gen. 25:26). However he was given the new name of “Israel” after he had wrestled with God at Peniel (Gen. 32:28).

a. He was a man of few and evil days when compared with Abraham and Isaac (Gen. 47:9). Yet in spite of his deceitful and crafty ways (Gen. 25:27-34; 27: 1-45; 30:25-43), he finds covenant grace as his only hope (Gen. 48:15-16).
b. He is the immediate father of the twelve tribes of Israel (Gen. 29:31-30:24; 35:16-18). However, because Jacob receives a double blessing from Jacob (Gen. 48:8-22), his two sons born in Egypt, Ephraim and Manasseh, substitute for his name in the territorial tribal list. But this would then require 13 territories! However, because Levi established the priesthood and did not receive a territory, his name is not reckoned with the “twelve tribes” as commonly understood (Num. 1:1-16; Josh 21:1-45).

5. Joseph (Genesis 37-50). The eleventh and favorite son of Jacob, he was instrumental under God’s sovereignty in Israel’s gestation period of 430 years in the womb of Egypt before birth at the Exodus (Gen. 50:20; Exod. 12:40-41).

a. Despised by his brethren because he was given the role of tribal headship in the place of immoral Reuben (Gen. 37:3-4; I Chron. 5:1), Joseph is sold into Egypt as a slave with the result that his elevation to second ruler under Pharaoh leads to reconciliation with his family and the enlargement of the seed of Abraham.

b. As the savior of his people and Egypt, Joseph prefigures the saving ministry of the Lord Jesus Christ. The following outline of Genesis 37-50 reflects this parallel.

   (1) Joseph rejected (Gen. 37:1-36).
   (2) Joseph refined (Gen. 38:1-40:23).
   (3) Joseph resurrected (Gen. 41:1-45).
   (4) Joseph reigning (Gen. 41:46-57).
   (7) Joseph returning (Gen. 50:1-26).

6. Moses (Exodus; Leviticus; Numbers; Deuteronomy; Psalm 90; Hebrews 11). As the friend of God (Exod. 33:11), whose life spanned three 40 year periods (Acts 7:23, 30, 36), he was divinely ordained to lead Israel out of bondage in Egypt through the Sinai wilderness to Canaan’s border at Jordan.

a. In the Old Testament, Moses fulfills many roles and offices.

   (1) He was, along with his brother Aaron and sister Miriam, born of the priestly tribe of Levi.
   (2) He was the leader and deliverer of Israel (Exod. 3:9-12).
(3) He was a prophet and lawgiver to Israel (Exod. 19-20; Acts 3:22; 7:37).

(4) He was a mediator, interceding for Israel before God (Num. 14:11-24).

(5) He was the author of the Pentateuch and Psalm 90.

b. In the New Testament, Moses is the personification and author of the old Law in contrast with Jesus Christ who is the transcendent author of the new law of the Gospel (John 1:17; Acts 5:21; 13:39; Rom. 7:1-4; 10:5-10; II Cor. 3:12-18; I John 2:8).

7. Joshua (Exodus 17, 24, 32-33; Numbers 13-14, 27, 31; Deuteronomy 34; Joshua). In Hebrew, his name means “Jehovah saves,” while in the N.T. Greek the equivalent name is translated “Jesus” (Matt. 1:21; Heb. 4:8-14).

a. Early during the Exodus, Joshua distinguished himself as an officer who was victorious over the Amalekites (Exod. 17:8-16). He also assisted Moses in the giving of the Law (Exod. 24:12-18).

b. As the representative of the tribe of Ephraim, Joshua was one of twelve spies who brought back a report of the promised land of Canaan. Only he and Caleb believed that God would deliver Israel from enemies such as Nephilim (giants) who dwelt there (Num. 13:1-33).

(1) Spreading unbelief and rebellion within Israel resulted in God’s judgment of wandering in the wilderness for 40 years (Num. 14:1-25).

(2) Only Caleb and Joshua were promised entrance into the land because of their faithfulness (Num. 14:22-38).

c. Near the end of the 40 years of wandering in judgment, Moses commissioned Joshua as his successor (Num. 27:12-23). At the death of Moses, Joshua obeyed God’s command to lead the nation of Israel across the Jordan (Deut. 34:1-Josh. 1:9).

(1) The initial whirlwind military campaign led by Joshua lasting seven years saw much of degenerate Canaan conquered (Josh. 1-12).

(2) The resultant dispersal of land, possessed and unpossessed, to the twelve tribes, including refuge and Levitical cities, concluded with the death of Joshua at the age of 110 (Josh. 13-24).

8. Samuel (I Samuel 1-25). The last of the judges (Acts 13:20), and first of the prophets (Acts 3:24), he superintended the inauguration of Israel’s monarchy. Notwithstanding the corruption of his sons, Samuel proved to be an intensely pious and patriotic leader.
a. As a child, his promised birth to Hannah, dedication as a Nazirite, and dutiful service at the tabernacle, culminate in his obedience to God's call as a prophet (I Sam. 1-3).

b. After the return of the ark of God from capture at Ashdod, Samuel also acts as a judge of Israel so that the Philistines are suppressed throughout his reign (I Sam. 4-7).

c. Reluctantly anointing, then removing and disqualifying Saul as king, he anoints David and restrains his enemies (I Sam. 8-19).

9. King Saul (Refer to page 50; I Samuel 8-31). Large in physical stature and handsome in appearance (I Sam. 9:2), yet he was a pigmy in character when compared with King David, since he cowered away from Goliath and allowed the shepherd lad to do what he himself ought to have done (I Sam. 17:11-37). This incident reveals how Saul feared on account of being faithless, while David being faithful was fearless.

10. King David (Refer to page 50; I Samuel 16-I Kings 2). The quality of David's character, as well as his hymnic ability, is best reflected in the 73 psalms that are attributed to his authorship. Overall they reveal a great spectrum of godly graces that endure in the face of blessings and countless buffetings. Consider the following categories.

a. Psalms that deal with conditions of the soul.
   (1) Extreme distress (Ps. 6; 69).
   (2) Confession of sin (Ps. 6; 32; 38; 51; 143).
   (3) Joy of the righteous (Ps. 16; 24; 40; 103).
   (4) Thirsting for God (Ps. 63).
   (5) Trust and resting in God (Ps. 23; 27; 37; 63).

b. Psalms that focus upon Jehovah as God.
   (1) Adoration (Ps. 34).
   (2) Praise (Hallelujah) (Ps. 9; 30; 63).
   (3) Thanksgiving (Ps. 30; 103).
   (4) God as a refuge (Ps. 18; 62).
   (5) God and the atheist (Ps. 14; 53).
   (6) Petitions for help (Ps. 3; 4; 12).
(7) God as a Shepherd (Ps. 22; 23; 24).

   c. Psalms that emphasize God’s Word (Ps. 19; 119; 138).
   d. Psalms emphasizing the sanctuary (Ps. 27; 122).
   e. Psalms that focus on God’s creation (Ps. 8; 19; 124).
   f. Psalms that seek judgment on the wicked (Ps. 35; 58; 59; 69).
   g. Psalms on rage and pride in the wicked (Ps. 2; 25; 34; 37; 145).

11. King Solomon (Refer to pages 50-51; I Kings 1-11). This enigmatic child of God reflects godly wisdom, carnal indulgence, and the beauty of pure marital love.

   a. In proverbs 1:2-4, Solomon declares that the purpose of his teaching is, “to know wisdom and instruction, to discern the sayings of understanding, to receive instruction in wise behavior, righteousness, justice and equity; to give prudence to the naïve, to the youth knowledge and discretion.”

   b. In Ecclesiastes 1:1-3, Solomon declares that life “under the sun,” or life in all of its worldly variety that disregards God, is “vanity of vanities.” Certainly life must be lived with all of its diversity (3:1-8), but to give allegiance to the pursuit of secular wisdom (1:13-18), pleasure and wealth (2:1-11), and work (4:4-9), is to lead to weariness, futility and despair (2:20). Life can only be lived to the fullest when man properly fears God (3:16-17; 5:7; 12:1, 13-14).

   c. In Song of Songs, Solomon’s fidelity in loving marital union is reflected in his passionately expressed affection both in courtship and betrothal (1:9-11, 15), which his bride fervently returns (2:8-17).

12. Elijah (I Kings 17-II Kings 2). His name means “Jehovah is God.” He appears during the 9th century B.C. at the commencement of the reign of Ahab, ruler of the northern kingdom of Israel. He appears suddenly at the incursion of expansive state Baal worship.

   a. God raises up Elijah, like Noah, as a preacher of righteousness who decisively calls men to serve Jehovah or face severe judgment (I Kgs. 18:21; II Kgs. 1:1-3).

   (1) He lived a life of prophetic conflict. As the “troubler of Israel” (I Kgs. 18:17; 21:20), he was frequently opposing King Ahab and Jezebel, along with the prophets of Baal, and finally King Ahaziah.

   (2) He lives a life of prophetic zeal (I Kg. 19:9-10, 14). In spite of his lapse in fleeing from Jezebel (I Kgs. 18:15-16); 19:1-3), yet his courage and uncompromising spirit revive under exhortation (I Kgs. 19:4-18). Hence, he subsequently condemns both Ahab and his evil wife I Kgs. 21:17-24), as well as King Ahaziah.
(3) He lived a life of supernatural manifestations through the Spirit and power of God (Luke 1:17).

(a) The prophesied drought and its relief, with Elijah fed by the ravens (I Kgs. 17:1-7; 18:1).

(b) The Zarephath widow’s flour is increased, and her sob raised from the dead (I Kgs. 17:8-24).

(c) The defeat of the prophets of Baal, and resultant end of the drought (I Kgs. 18:20-46).

(d) The feeding of Elijah under a juniper tree in the wilderness (I Kgs. 19:4-8).

(e) The translation of Elijah by a whirlwind up into heaven (II Kgs. 2:1-14).

b. In the New Testament, Elijah is portrayed as the representative head of that part of Scripture called the Prophets, while Moses is the representative head of the Law (Matt. 17:1-3). However both are transcended by the glory of Christ (Matt. 17:4-5).

(1) Elijah has already come, prefigured by John the Baptist (Matt. 11:13-14; Luke 1:17).

(2) Elijah will yet come to restore all things (Matt. 17:10-12).

13. John the Baptist (Matthew 3; Mark 6; Luke 1, 3, 7; John 1, 3). Born of the priestly family of Zacharias six months before Jesus Christ about B.C. 5, John was raised in the wilderness of Judea prior to his public ministry (Luke 1:80).

a. As the forerunner of Jesus Christ prophesied about in Isaiah 40:3; Malachi 3:1, his distinctive greatness as the last of the Old Testament prophets is evident even from his promised birth (Luke 1:5-17; 7:24-28).

(1) He is filled with the Holy Spirit in His mother’s womb and responds accordingly (Luke 1:15, 41, 44).

(2) Since Mary and Elizabeth, as close relatives, visited one another (Luke 1:36-56), it is probable that Jesus and John were friends during their youth.

b. The ministry of John the Baptist was to Israel (Luke 1:16, 80), calling the nation to repentance and preparation for the imminent appearance of Messiah (Matt. 3:1-3).
(1) He declared by personal abstinence and sobriety (Matt. 3:4; Luke 1:15), the seriousness of his mission.

(2) He sought evidential repentance from all (Luke 3:3-14; Mark 6:17-20).

(3) He warned of impending wrath and judgment upon Israel (Luke 3:7, 9).

(4) He announced the coming of Jesus as the Christ and God’s Lamb, by preaching (John 1:29), and baptism (Luke 3:21-22; John 1:31-34).


(6) The ministry of John the Baptist was to Jesus Christ as the friend of the bridegroom (John 3:28-30).

(a) He specifically directed his own disciples to Christ as the bridegroom (John 1:35-37).

(b) He rejoiced that he was losing his following to Christ (John 3:25-30).

14. Jesus Christ (Refer to pages 57-58; Matthew-John).

a. His eternal pre-existence.

(1) Jesus Christ has pre-existed eternally, gloriously, before his incarnation and the creation of all things (John 1:1-3, 14; 3:13, 31; 8:23, 58-59; 17:5, 18; Phil. 2:5-8).

(2) Jesus Christ was in an eternal, intimate, face-to-face relationship with God the Father before his incarnation and the creation of all things (John 1:1; 18).


b. His eternal post-existence (Isa. 9:6-7; Dan. 7:13-14; Luke 1:30-33; Rev. 11:15).

c. His impeccable humanity.

(1) Jesus Christ was really human, being born of a woman (Gal. 4:4), subject to growth (Luke 2:52), seen and handled by men (I John 1:1; Matt. 26:12), sinless (Heb. 4:15). He was also subject to human limitations in being thirsty
(John 19:28), tired (John 4:6), overcome with grief (John 11:35), and tested (Heb. 4:15).

(2) Jesus Christ was perfectly human, as “the last Adam” (I Cor. 15:45), he was fully tested (Matt. 4:1-11), yet maintained and proved to be true humanity (I Pet. 2:21-22). His specific but representative temptations, as a type of acid test, proclaimed who he was rather than what he was able or not able to do.

(3) Jesus Christ, as deity, embraced humanity. That is, he became the unique theanthropic Person, or God-man (John 1:14; Phil. 2:5-8).

d. His essential deity.

(1) It is full deity. Jesus Christ is God with His essential nature and attributes (John 1:1; 20:28; Col. 2:9), evidenced by His names (Matt. 1:23; 22:43-45; John 5:18, 23; 10:33; 19:7; Heb. 1:8; Rev. 19:11-16), His works John 1:3; 5:27; 11:43-44; Luke 7:48; Col. 1:17), His words (John 5:16-17; 8:58; 10:28-30; 14:9-10), and His worship by men (Matt. 14:33; John 9:38; Heb. 1:6; Phil. 2:10).

(2) It is temporarily veiled deity (Matt. 17:1-8; John 17:5; Phil. 2:5-8).

(3) It is deity equal to that of the Father and the Spirit (Matt. 28:19; John 10:30; 14:23; II Cor. 13:14).

15. Peter (Matthew-John; Acts 1-15; Galatian2; I & II Peter).

a. His life before Pentecost.

(1) Originating from Bethsaida, he married and lived in Capernaum, along with his mother-in-law. A son of Jonas (John), he fished in partnership with his brother Andrew, as well as with James and John, the Sons of Zebedee.

(2) Having become a disciple of John the Baptist, he is directed by Andrew to Jesus as the Lamb of God, who changes his name from Simon to Peter (John 1:35-42). Formally called to be a disciple (Luke 5:2-11), he then becomes the leader of the twelve, eleven of which are destined to become apostles (Luke 6:13-16).


(4) Having been told by the risen Christ to, “tend My sheep” (John 21:15-17), and anticipate further martyrdom (John 21:18-20), Peter initiated a
replacement for Judas in the upper room while awaiting the promised Spirit from the Father (Acts 1:1-26).

b. His life following Pentecost.

(1) Peter’s initial sermon at Pentecost (Acts 2:14-40), and first use of the keys of the kingdom, ushered in the universal age of the Church as the spiritual body of Christ (Eph. 2:19-22). His first apostolic miracle of healing and second sermon (Acts 3:1-26), generated opposition that resulted in imprisonment with the other apostles and supernatural release (Acts 5:17-32).

(2) Awakening at Samaria through Philip leads to Peter’s apostolic bestowal of the Spirit on that region (Acts 8:14-17), and then evangelistic ministry to Joppa and Caesarea (Acts 9:32-10:48). Peter’s report at Jerusalem of the Gentile awakening at Caesarea leads to further imprisonment and supernatural release (Acts 11:1-12:19).

(3) At the Council of Jerusalem, Peter speaks in support of Paul and Barnabas for the liberty of the Gentiles (Acts 16:6-11; cf. Gal. 2:1-9). However, a subsequent hypocritical lapse at Antioch necessitates his rebuke by Paul (Gal. 2:11-16). Peter accepts this rebuke and continues to regard Paul with deep affection (II Pet. 3:15-16).


a. A son of Zebedee, brother of James, Galilean fisherman nicknamed by Christ as one of the “sons of thunder” (Mark 3:17), John became a disciple of John the Baptist until directed to Jesus as “God’s Lamb” (John 1:35-39). Her was also a man of influence (John 18:15-16), substance (John 19:27), while retaining a self-effacing spirit (John 13:23; 19:26; 20:2; 21:7, 20).

b. As a disciple of Christ with a devoted mother (Matt. 20:20-21), John ranked as a leader after Peter and James, being chosen with them to behold Christ’s glory at the Transfiguration (Matt. 17:1-8). Impetuous like Peter (Luke 9:51-55), he maintained a close friendship with Simon even though the darkest hours of Messiah’s humiliation (John 18:15-16; 20:1-7; 21:1-7, 20-21).

c. Following Pentecost, Peter and John remain close friends in apostolic ministry both in Jerusalem (Acts 1:13; 3:1; 4:1-22), and in Samaria (Acts 8:14-17). Attending the Council at Jerusalem, he also supported Paul’s Gentile ministry (Gal. 2:9). Following the death of Mary, John is believed to have been driven,
through persecution, to Patmos (Rev. 1:9), after which he ministered till old age at Ephesus.

17. Paul (Acts 7-28; Romans-Philemon).

a. Saul was a native of Tarsus in Cilicia, a university center of stoic philosophy and Roman government. A Pharisee, the son of a Pharisee, and a tent-maker, he was also a Roman citizen later educated in Jerusalem by Rabbi Gamaliel (Acts 22:3), the grandson of Rabbi Hillel.

(1) “Advancing in Judaism beyond many of my contemporaries among my countrymen, being more extremely zealous for my ancestral traditions” (Gal. 1:14), Saul participated in Stephen’s martyrdom, and ravaged the Christian Church, “breathing threats and murder against the disciples of the Lord” (Acts 7:58-8:3; 9:1).

(2) On his way to Damascus, Saul was abruptly confronted with the risen Christ, being thrown to the ground, temporarily blinded, and spiritually ministered to by Ananias (Acts 9:1-19). There are four other accounts of Paul’s conversion (Gal. 1:13-17; Acts 22:3-16; 26:9-20; I Tim. 1:12-17).

b. Remaining in Damascus for several months, Paul retires to Arabia (Gal. 1:15-18). After three years, having returned to Damascus, opposition directs him to Jerusalem, where Barnabas helps in gaining acceptance (Acts 9:23-28). Further opposition leads Paul to Caesarea, and then Tarsus for about four years (Acts 9:29-30).

c. When Barnabas is sent from Jerusalem to superintend a new Gentile church at Antioch in Syria, he recruits Paul from Tarsus for a year’s joint ministry, during which time the title “Christian” is initiated (Acts 11:19-26). From this local church base Paul commenced all three of his missionary journeys.

(1) Paul’s first missionary journey (Acts 13-14). In apostolic company with Barnabas, traveling via Seleucia to Salamis and Paphos in Cyprus, Paul’s first convert is Sergius Paulus. Sailing to Perga on the southern coast of Asia Minor, John Mark defects. At Pisidian Antioch, Paul preaches at the synagogue with initial interest, then rejection. Division at Iconium is followed by Paul’s first miracle at Lystra and resulting misplaced worship of the apostles. An upsurge of opposition leads to Paul being stoned and left for dead. Yet the apostle arises, preaches, and then moves on to Derbe. Returning via Lystra, Iconium, Antioch, Perga, Attalia, the apostles report back to the Syrian Antioch church.

(2) Paul’s second missionary journey (Acts 15-18). Although the Council at Jerusalem declares gospel freedom for the Gentiles (Acts 15:22-29), yet Paul and Barnabas sharply divide. Hence, Paul and Silas travel north-west to Derbe, then Lystra where Timothy is recruited. Explaining the Jerusalem decrees along the way to other cities (Acts 16:4), they pass through Galatia
to Troas. By a vision, Paul is directed to Philippi in Macedonia where Lydia and the jailer are converted. At Thessalonica, a divided response and uproar from Jew and Gentile results in Paul’s departure for Berea where a similar response necessitates sailing for Athens. Here Paul preaches at the Areopagus, but the response is minimal. Moving to Corinth and residing with Aquila and Priscilla, he ministers amidst opposition for eighteen months, being assured in a vision of protection and certain fruit (Acts 18:10). Beaten by raging Jews, Paul sails with Priscilla and Aquila for Ephesus, where they remain, but he continues on to Caesarea, Jerusalem, and back to Antioch.

(3) Paul’s third missionary journey (Acts 18-21). Again heading north-west and itinerating through the regions of Galatia and Phrygia, Paul commences a three year ministry at Ephesus. Here he teaches the Spirit’s baptism in Jesus’ name, ministers in a synagogue, the school of Tyrannus, and from house to house (Acts 19:8-10; 20:20), so that both an awakening and riot result. Moving on to Macedonia and Greece for three months, Paul returns again to Philippi and sails for Troas, where Eutychus is raised from an accidental death (Acts 20:7-10). Sailing via Assos and Mitylene, renewed teaching fellowship is arranged at Miletus with the Assyrian elders (Acts 20:17-38). Onward by sea to Tyre, Paul resides with Philip at Caesarea, where Agabus prophetically warns him concerning his planned return to Jerusalem (Acts 21:8-14).

d. This final visit of Paul to Jerusalem, to his long awaited voyage to and imprisonment in Rome, marks the final ten years phase of his apostolic ministry.

(1) In Jerusalem, having been welcomed back by the church, Paul is seized by furious Jews, but under Roman protection proclaims to them his first defense (Acts 22:1-21). Being allowed a second defense by the wary Romans, a vision reveals to Paul his coming visit to Rome (Acts 23:1-12). A plot to assassinate Paul being foiled, the apostle is taken by a Roman contingent to Caesarea for trial before Felix the governor (Acts 23:1-30).


(3) The voyage to Rome of approximately six months encounters a fierce storm off Crete and eventual shipwreck at Malta (Acts 27:1-44). Safely ashore due to Paul’s guidance, the apostle is delivered from a viper after which he heals the father of Publius. Under guard, Paul sails for Puteoli in Italy, and after a week of Christian fellowship there, eventually arrives at Rome (Acts 28:1-15). Paul’s first captivity in Rome was for two years in his own hired house under guard, where he yet had considerable liberty (Acts 28:16-31). He is then thought to have been released for four years during which time he visited Macedonia, Ephesus, Colossae, Macedonia, and Spain. Returning to
Ephesus, he was arrested and taken to Rome for a second, more severe imprisonment and trial, with resulting martyrdom by decapitation.

**AN OUTLINE OF THE LIFE OF PAUL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36 A.D.</td>
<td><em>Conversion</em> (Near Damascus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 A.D.</td>
<td>Flees Damascus for Jerusalem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39-43 A.D.</td>
<td>Tarsus and Regions Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 A.D.</td>
<td>Antioch Ministry, and visit to Jerusalem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-47 A.D.</td>
<td><em>First Missionary Journey</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-49 A.D.</td>
<td>Antioch Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 A.D.</td>
<td>Visit to Jerusalem Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-54 A.D.</td>
<td><em>Second Missionary Journey</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54-58 A.D.</td>
<td><em>Third Missionary Journey</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58-60 A.D.</td>
<td>Jerusalem, and Caesarea Imprisonment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-61 A.D.</td>
<td><em>Journey to Rome</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-63 A.D.</td>
<td>First Captivity in Rome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63-67 A.D.</td>
<td>Captivity Interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68 A.D.</td>
<td>Second Captivity in Rome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68 A.D.</td>
<td><em>Paul’s Martyrdom</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IX THE MAJOR BIBLICAL COVENANTS

A. Introduction.

1. What is a “covenant” in the Bible?
   a. It is one of God's big, attested promises, a binding agreement, a formal contract, like a will or lease or international pact, that is either unilateral, bilateral, or multilateral.

   b. The Old Testament term for “covenant,” “berit,” means “to cut,” that is to cut animals in pieces so that the two parties could pass between than as laid out (Gen. 15:7-18). Essentially a covenant meant “to bind,” that is to make a solemn pact signified by a kiss, a handshake, salt (Num. 18:19), a shoe (Ruth 4:7).


2. The helpful contribution of archaeology.
   a. The more recent discovery of royal Hittite treaties of the 13th-14th centuries B.C. has added to our understanding of biblical covenants.

   b. At about this time when Joshua lived, contracts between a king and vassals or a captive kingdom involved duplicates, stipulations, penalties, signification, deposition, etc.

3. Hence the covenant principle is very much at the heart of the God of the Bible's dealings with His own people.
   a. From the first Adam to the last Adam, Jesus Christ, God has committed Himself to big, saving, keeping promises.

   b. Hence, back of God’s covenant ways is the foundation of His attributes, especially His grace, faithfulness, immutability, sovereignty, veracity.

4. A vital distinction regarding the biblical covenants is as to whether they are conditional (Exod. 19:3-8), or unconditional (Gen. 9:9-13).

5. The importance of the biblical covenants in human history.
   a. They contribute as a diverse principle (John 1:17; Heb. 9:1, 14-15).

   b. They contribute as a unifying principle (Eph. 2:12-16).

   c. They contribute as a progressive principle (Gal. 3:29).
6. A summary of the biblical covenants.
   a. The Adamic Covenant, of cursing and blessing (Gen. 3:14-19).
   b. The Noachic Covenant, or forbearance (Gen. 8:20-9:17).
   e. The Davidic Covenant, of kingship (II Sam. 7:1-17).

7. The practical implications.
   b. A covenant child of God maintains covenant relationships after the pattern of his heavenly Father (Ps. 25:10; 103:17-18).

   (1) He responds to covenant love from God.

          (a) With worship and praise of covenant faithfulness.
          (b) With obedience and faithfulness to His covenant God.

   (2) He responds with covenant love to man.

          (a) In marriage relationships. Vows are kept.
          (b) In business relationships, agreements are honored.
          (c) In fraternal/parental relationships, bonds are kept.
          (d) In neighborly relationships, promises are upheld.
          (e) In spiritual relationships, loyalty is maintained.

B. The Adamic Covenant (Gen. 3:14-19).

1. Its tripartite nature (God-Satan-Man).
   b. God addresses Satan and woman, v. 15.
c. God addresses woman, v. 16.

d. God addresses man, vs. 17-19.

2. Its unconditional nature. No conditional obedience is required of man.

3. Its foundation in the Fall. Every aspect is predicated upon the events, participants, and consequences of man’s original pollution.

4. Its incorporation of blessing and cursing.
   a. Promised blessing – the seed of Eve bruises Satan’s head.
   b. Promised cursing – upon Satan, man, woman, and creation.

5. Its relationship to fellowship with God.
   a. Fellowship is broken through the first Adam (I Cor. 15:22).
   b. Fellowship is restored through the last Adam (I Cor. 15:45).

6. Its relationship to other covenants (Rom. 5:12, 17).

7. Its practical implications.
   a. The inevitability of Satan’s defeat, vs. 14-15.
   b. The inevitability of Christ’s victory, v. 15.
   c. The appointment of man’s headship in struggle, v. 16.
   d. The inevitability of human toil, vs. 17-19.
   e. The inevitability of death, v. 19.

C. The Noachic Covenant (Gen. 8:20-9:17).

1. Its historic setting.
   a. The deliverance of Noah and his family from the flood (Gen. 8:13-15).
   b. The acceptable offerings of Noah (Gen. 8:20-21).

2. Its parties.
   a. Jehovah God (Gen. 8:21-22; 9:8-9).
   b. Noah and his seed (Gen. 8:21; 9:10-11).
   c. The animal remnant (Gen. 8:21; 9:10-11).
3. Its unconditional nature.
   a. The commitment is God’s alone quite apart from any human involvement (Gen. 8:21; 9:9, 11, 16).
   b. Consider the significance of unconditional seasons (Gen. 8:22).
   c. Consider the significance of the rainbow (Gen. 9:12-16).

4. Its forecast of coming blessing.
   a. The inherent blessing of grace and peace to be saved remnant and its seed.
   b. The guarantee of temporal/material/earthly blessing.
   c. The spiritual/redemptive blessing. Christ is a better Mediator of grace and peace than Noah (Isa. 52:13-53:12; 54:5-10).

5. Its practical implications.
   a. In considering the Adamic and Noachic covenants together, the promise of grace and peace in the midst of judgment is maintained.
   b. As an individual, Nah lays hold of the righteousness which is through faith, that is Christ’s unconditional, gracious covenant (Heb. 11:7).
   c. If God is careful to maintain earthly, seasonal blessings, how much more can He be relied upon to maintain His spiritual blessings.


1. The significance of Abraham.
   a. In the Old Testament, Abraham is the friend of God, the father of the faithful (Isa. 41:8; Rom. 4:16; Jas. 2:23).

2. The Abrahamic Covenant analyzed.
   a. The Covenant promised (Gen. 12:1-3, 6-7).
      (1) The land, vs. 1, 6-7.
      (2) The seed, v. 2.
      (3) The blessing, v. 3 (Cf. Gal. 3:8).
b. The Covenant visualized (Gen. 13:14-17).

c. The Covenant instituted (Gen. 15:1-21).

(1) The foundation of Abraham’s faith, vs. 1-6.

(2) The foundation of God’s faithfulness, v. 7-21.

(a) The Covenant is cut, vs. 7-11.

(b) The Covenant is unconditional, vs. 12-17.

d. The Covenant signified (Gen. 17:1-14).

(1) The significance of a new name, vs. 1-8.

(2) The significance of circumcision, vs. 9-14.

e. The Covenant ratified (Gen. 22:15-18).

f. The Covenant perpetuated.

(1) In Isaac (Gen. 26:1-5, 23-24).

(2) In Jacob (Gen. 28:10-17).

(3) In Joseph (Gen. 50:24).

g. The Covenant fulfilled (Matt. 1:1; Gal. 3:7-9, 16, 29).

3. The Abrahamic Covenant in perpetuity.

a. It complements the Adamic and Noahic covenants.

b. It is not to be confused with the Old (Mosaic) Covenant.

c. It is the Covenant of promise that anticipates fulfillment.

d. It is redemptive in purpose, magnifying grace (Rom. 4:1-5).

e. It is the hope of national, unbelieving Israel (Rom. 11:25-32).

f. Illustration. In Thomas Oliver’s famous hymn of twelve stanzas, “The God of Abraham Praise,” he writes:

He by Himself hath sworn, I on His oath depend:  
I shall, on eagles’ wings upborne, to heaven ascend;  
I shall behold His face, I shall His power adore,  
And sing the wonders of His grace for evermore.

1. The Mosaic Covenant, its parties.
   a. Jehovah, the redeeming God of Israel (Exod. 20:2-17).
   b. Israel, the redeemed people of God (Exod. 19:3-8).

2. The Mosaic Covenant analyzed.
   a. The Covenant established (Exod. 19:5-8; 20:1-17).
      (1) The tables of the Covenant, probably duplicates (Exod. 32:15-16).
      (2) The stipulations, of blessing and cursing (Deut. 30:15-20).
      (3) The acceptance (Exod. 19:7-8).
      (4) The deposition, in the ark of the covenant (Exod. 25:16, 22).
   b. The Covenant broken (Exod. 32:7-10).
   c. The Covenant renewed (Exod. 34:1, 27-28).
   d. The Covenant restated (Deut. 5:1-21).

3. The Mosaic Covenant as conditional (Gal. 3:16-19).

4. The Mosaic Covenant as temporal.
   a. It is done away with in Christ (Rom. 7:1-4; II Cor. 3:7-18).
   b. It is weak on account of the flesh (Rom. 8:3-4).
   c. It has abiding essential righteousness (Rom. 7:12).
   d. It is an administration of righteousness supplanted by the new administration of righteousness inaugurated by Jesus Christ (John 1:17; Heb. 8:1-9:28).
   e. It is an administration of death and condemnation (II Cor. 3:7, 9; Heb. 12:18-21).

5. The Mosaic Covenant and the prior covenants.
   a. The Adamic Covenant is not repealed.
   b. The Noahic Covenant is not repealed.
   c. The Abrahamic Covenant is not repealed.
F. The Davidic Covenant (II Sam. 7:1-17).

1. The Davidic Covenant, its parties.
   a. Jehovah, the God of Israel.
   b. David, the king of Israel.

2. The Davidic Covenant analyzed.
   a. David’s concern for God’s glory, vs. 1-3.
   b. God’s recall of His grace toward Israel and David, vs. 4-9a.
   c. God’s promise of greater grace to Israel and David, vs. 9b-17.
      (1) International renown for David’s name (Matt. 21:9; Rev. 5:5; 22:16), v. 9b.
      (2) A place of permanent rest for Israel, vs. 10-11.
      (3) A descendant King who will establish an everlasting house, throne, and
      (4) A Davidic kingdom that shall be perpetuated forever, v. 16-17.

3. The Abrahamic and Davidic covenants compared.
   b. Abraham is promised a great nation (Gen. 12:2), while David is promised a king

4. The Davidic Covenant anticipates the Everlasting/New Covenant.

5. The Davidic Covenant, practical implications.
   a. The confirmation of God’s earlier great promise to Abraham is reassuring.
   b. The confirmation of God’s specific Word established its overall truthfulness.
   c. The confirmation of God’s promise to Abraham establishes that He continues to
      be in full control.
   d. The confirmation of God’s steadfast love for David reassures us of His love for
      His elect (Rev. 5:9-10).

1. The Everlasting/New Covenant, the parties.
   a. The God of Adam, Noah, Abraham, Moses, David.

2. The Everlasting/New Covenant analyzed.
   a. The Everlasting/New Covenant will abide in contrast with that which was temporal (Heb. 13:20; cf. Isa. 55:3; Ezek. 37:24-28).
      (1) The temporal, Mosaic Covenant is finished; the Everlasting/New Covenant remains without end.
      (2) The temporal Mosaic Covenant concerns offerings that must be offered repeatedly; the Everlasting/New Covenant concerns one eternally efficacious offering (Heb. 9:26b-28).
      (1) The Old Covenant involved shadows, but the Everlasting/New Covenant has substance (Col. 2:16-17).
      (2) The Old Covenant involved patterns, but the Everlasting/New Covenant has acceptable, heavenly reality (Heb. 9:23-28).
   c. While the Old Testament presents a progression of covenants (Rom. 9:4; Eph. 2:12), yet they all find their fulfillment in the Everlasting/New Covenant.
      (1) The Adamic Covenant declares the necessity and promise of the Everlasting/New Covenant.
      (2) The Noahic Covenant declares provisional peace so as to pave the way for the Everlasting New Covenant.
      (3) The Abrahamic Covenant promises the benefits of the Everlasting/New Covenant.
      (4) The Mosaic Covenant magnifies the necessity of the Everlasting/New Covenant.
      (5) The Davidic Covenant focuses attention upon the King of the Everlasting/New Covenant.
      (6) The Everlasting/New Covenant is the climactic, only hope of Adam, Noah, Abraham, Moses, and David.
X THE NAMES OF GOD

A. Introduction.

1. Names are important since they reveal character, either actual or desired. They establish levels of intimacy.
   a. How few people are named Ichabod, Judas, Delilah, Jezebel, but many are named David, Paul, Ruth, Mary.
   b. Some Bible names are prophetic such as Abraham, “a father of many nations” (Gen. 17:5), Jacob, “supplanter” (Gen. 25:21-26), Nabal, “foolish” (I Sam. 25:2-38).
   c. Consider the remoteness of a generic name, the formality of a surname, and the intimacy of a Christian name.

2. Names are important to God in terms of His knowledge of man (John 10:3; I John 3:10), and also with regard to their knowledge of Him (Matt. 6:9; John 20:28). God is not anonymous; His names are appropriate to His being.
   a. God’s names are revelatory. He has named Himself distinctively, and especially through His attributes of being and doing.
   b. The names of God are especially helpful to creation, both angelic beings (sa. 6:3), and humanity (Ezek. 36:21-38), in glorifying God.
   c. The names of God are progressively revealed such as with El Shaddai to Abraham (Gen. 17:1), Jehovah to Israel (Exod. 6:3), and the triune names od Father, Son, and Holy Spirit (Matt. 3:16-17; 28:19).

B. The primary names of God in the Old Testament.

1. The name of God as Elohim.
   a. The essential meaning is, “the Exceedingly Strong and Mighty One,” being appropriate to Genesis 1:1. The plural form speaks of the majesty of the one true God. Being generic, this title can refer to pagan deities (I Sam. 5:7; Ps. 95:3), and human judges (Exod. 22:8-10; Ps. 82:1).
   b. The compound names using El includes El Shadai or “God Almighty” (Gen. 17:1-8), Elyon of “God Most High” (Gen. 14:18-22), El Olam or “God Everlasting” (Isa. 40:28).

2. The name of LORD or GOD as Jehovah or Yahweh.
   a. The essential meaning of Jehovah or Yahweh.
(1) It is God’s only personal name revealed to Israel that comprises four Hebrew consonants (Exod. 3:13-15). The sound of this proper name is not known, but commonly it is printed as LORD or GOD with capital letters, or as Jehovah or the more recent Yahweh.

(2) To avoid breaking the Third Commandment (Exod. 30:7), the Jews have used the substitute name of “Lord” for the unutterable name, that is Adonai in Hebrew.

(3) It is believed the root meaning of God’s personal name is the verb “to be” so that He is “the self-existing One.”

(4) Jehovah is also the “I will be” God who is committed to saving and sanctifying Israel (Exod. 6:2-6).

(5) This in prayer, the Hebrew used God’s covenant, personal name as a ground of intercession (I Kings 8:22-25; II Kings 19:14-16; Dan. 9:3-5; Hab. 3:1-2). So the Son of God teaches us to pray with regard for God’s holy name (Matt. 6:9).

b. The compound names using Jehovah or Yahweh.

(1) Jehovah Elohim, or LORD God (Neh. 9:7; Ps. 84:11).

(2) Jehovah Adonai, or Lord GOD (Gen. 15:2; Ps. 71:5).

(3) Jehovah Jireh, or “the LORD will provide” (Gen. 22:13-14).

(4) Jehovah Tsidkenu, or “the LORD our Righteousness” (Jer. 23:6).

3. The name of Lord or Adonai.

a. It is generic, meaning master or sovereign, expressing ownership. Note in Daniel 9:4 it is used with Jehovah and Elohim.

b. It is compounded in Psalm 38:15 as “O Lord my God,” and Deuteronomy 10:17 as “the Lord of lords.”


1. The names expressing unity.

a. God as Theos (Greek), is generic being equivalent to Elohim, though it is qualified with reference to the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit.

b. Lord or Kurios (Greek), is generic and often equates Jehovah. It can be a title of greeting at a human level (Matt. 13:27), or refer to angels (Acts 10:4), and kings (Acts 25:26).
c. Lord or Despotes (Greek), is generic and equivalent to Adonai, meaning God as absolute Master and Owner (Luke 2:29). It can also refer to human masters (I Tim. 6:1, 2).

2. The names expressing triunity.

a. The Father is God, but distinctively as His name suggests, He is the person of headship, ordination, generation, and gracious benefaction (Matt. 5:44-45; 6:26-33; John 15:26; I Cor. 11:3; 15:24).

(1) While Jehovah in the Old Testament is the Father of Israel Isa. 63:16; 64:8), yet as a doctrine the fatherhood of God is overwhelmingly a New Testament emphasis.

(2) However, there is a distinct difference between God the Father’s relationship with His Son, Jesus Christ, and His redeemed children. Jesus Christ never identifies with the sonship of his disciples.

(a) Children of God are such by grace rather than nature.

(b) Redeemed children of God partake of adoption (John 1:12), sonship (Gal. 3:26), likeness (I John 3:2), inheritance (Rom. 8:16-17), discipline (Heb. 12:5-7), unity (I John 1:3).

(c) The only-begotten Son partakes of eternal generation (John 1:1, 18; 17:5), a bosom relationship (John 1:1, 18), an inheritance (Ps. 2:7-8), the role of a judge (John 5:22), glory (John 17:1).

(3) How then is the Father to be honored? By the Son’s obedience (John 8:29), and the works of his adopted children (Matt. 5:16, 44-45).

b. The Son is God, but distinctively, as his name suggests, He is the person of precise representation, subordination, and implementation (John 4:34; 14:9; Col. 1:15; 2:9).

(1) As Jesus Christ, he takes names that identify him as the incarnate God of Israel.


(c) He takes the name of God (Elohim), the Creator (John 1:3, cf. Gen. 1:1).

(2) As Jesus Christ, he takes names that identify him with God’s saving word in the Old Testament.
(a) “Jesus” means “Savior” (Matt. 1:21), being equivalent to Joshua also meaning “Savior” (Num. 27: 15-23).

(b) “Christ” means “the anointed” of God. Or in the Old Testament “Messiah” (Ps. 2:2; Dan. 9:25-26).

c. The Holy Spirit is God, but distinctively, as His name suggests, He is the person of holy presence and dynamic enablement (Zech. 4:6; John 16:7-8; Act 1:8; 2:17).

(1) As “the Spirit, the holy One” (John 14:26), He is distinct from unholy spirits (Num. 5:14; Hos. 4:12; I John 4:3).

(2) As “the Helper, Comforter, Counselor” (John 14:26), He represents Christ for the Christian (John 14:16-16), while convicting the world (John 16:7-11).

D. The practical significance of the names of God.

1. For the Hebrew of the Old Testament, the personal name of God was a focal point of worship supplemented by other names (Deut. 10:17). Yet many Christians have a sub-Hebrew understanding of the only living and true God. Consider that:

a. God’s name is to be praised (Ps. 99:2-3).

b. God’s name is to be loved (Ps. 5:11).

c. God’s name is majestic in the universe (Ps. 8:1).

d. God’s name shall endure forever (Ps. 102:12).

e. God’s name is holy and awesome (Ps. 103:1).

f. God’s name is salvation (Ps. 20:1).

2. For the Christian, the New Testament presents an advance in emphasis, especially with regard to the triune names of God, and the supreme place that the name of Jesus occupies as the embodiment of the “I Am” Jehovah.

a. Jesus teaches that after his death believers will ask the Father through his name (John 14:13-14; 15:16; 16:23-24, 26).

b. For the early church, the name of Jesus was of basic importance (Acts 5:41-42).

c. While in the Old Testament Jehovah was “God of gods and the Lord of lords” (Deut. 10:17), yet in the New Testament Jesus Christ takes these titles (Rev. 19:16).

d. While in the Old Testament Jehovah was “the Name” (Isa. 42:8), now in the New Testament Jesus has “the name which is above every name, that is “Lord” (Phil. 2:9-11).
3. For the Christian, the name of the Lord Jesus Christ is no mere trite verbal nomination, but a badge of holiness (II Tim. 2:19).
XI Apologetic Considerations of Biblical Christianity

The Apostle Peter reassures those who “suffer for the sake of righteousness” that they are nevertheless “blessed.” Hence, “do not fear their intimidation [those who would bring harm], and do not be troubled, but sanctify/consecrate Christ as Lord in your hearts, always being ready to make a defense/apology [ἀπολογία, apologia] to everyone who asks you to give an account for the hope that is in you, yet with gentleness and reverence” (I Pet. 3:14-15). Surely the context incorporates the objective evidence of Scripture and the subjective evidence of Christian experience, in that order.

A. The Three Schools of apologetics.

1. The school of presuppositionalism.

Broadly speaking, in being assumed as true, Christianity is thus proclaimed as true quite apart from the necessity of evidential truth. Thus in confronting an unbeliever with the truth, it is presupposed that this person, in being dead to God in his soul (Eph. 2:1-3), is incapable of coming to an authentic persuasion of the objective truth of the gospel except God particularly, effectually leads him to the acknowledgment of the truth. Hence reasoned presentation of the truth cannot of itself lead to belief, by the unbeliever, in the gospel. Therefore our primary responsibility in witnessing is to present the gospel, irregardless of intellectual objections that are raised, praying and trusting that God will awaken the heart of the unbeliever to enlightenment of the truth and consequent personal saving embrace of Christ as Savior through faith alone.

2. The school of semi-rationalism.

Broadly speaking it is assumed that man, although a sinfully polluted child of Adam, nevertheless retains a degree of neutral rationality when confronted with divine truth and error. This belief is associated with either autonomous free-will or universal prevenient grace. In either case, when confronting an unbeliever with the truth, it is presupposed that although mankind is indeed universally dead to God (Eph. 2:1-3), yet there has been granted common grace whereby all men retain sufficient ability to be able to rationally acknowledge the truth of God and as a result savingly believe.

3. The school of Jesus Christ.

Granted that the Son of God acknowledged man’s inability to embrace saving truth apart from particular heavenly revelation (Matt. 16:16-17; Luke 10:22; John 6:44, 64-65), yet how did he confront such people? He addressed “unreasonable man” according to Isaiah’s injunction, “Come now, and let us reason together” (Isa. 1:18). Preeminently Jesus Christ employed the Old Testament that He presupposed to be absolutely authoritative (John 10:35). He did not resort to philosophic reasoning, though he was not against referencing natural phenomena such as “the lilies of the field” (Matt. 6:28-29), the significance in the morning of “the sky being red and threatening” (Matt. 16:1-3), even human physiology (Mark 7:18-19) to prove a point. However the major thrust was “going throughout Galilee, teaching in their synagogues and proclaiming the gospel of the kingdom, and healing every kind of disease and every kind of sickness among the people” (Matt. 4:23). In particular he expounded the
Word of God concerning Himself (Luke 4:14-22; 24:27). In Jerusalem we especially see Jesus confronting the learned leaders of Israel in such a masterful way that they are utterly confounded (Matt. 22:15-46), though not converted. Even Jesus’ chosen disciples are repeatedly upbraided for their lack of understanding (Matt. 15:16; 16:11; 17:14-17). Only following Jesus’ resurrection is divinely generated understanding granted (Luke 24:35, 44-48; John 7:38-39; 15:26-27; 16:7-11).

4. The significance of particular prevenient grace.

The tension that arises between the above schools of thought finds considerable resolution when we appreciate the particular prevenient/preventing grace of God. “Preventing” or “prevenient” grace is that which God uses to draw an unbeliever unto Himself, that is prior to conversion.

a. Universal prevenient grace. This is the belief that while on the one hand man is morally incapacitated on account of sin (Rom. 3:10-12), yet on the other hand God has, on the grounds of His Son’s atonement, granted sufficient grace to enable all men to believe (John 1:9), if they so will! John Wesley was noted for this refinement of Arminianism. However, in response, whatever universal light God sheds upon the fallen human race is external confrontation, not internal illumination (John 3:19; 8:12).

b. Particular prevenient grace. This is the belief that while on the one hand man is morally incapacitated on account of sin (Rom. 3:10-12), on the other hand His particular elective purpose involves His drawing of individuals, with cords of love, through the Holy Spirit, whereby they are led to saving faith in Christ (Acts 10:1-22; II Thess. 2:13; I Pet. 1:1-2). Thus in witnessing to men and women in general, without any confidence that man has natural ability to believe and be saved, yet there can be confidence that, in the mystery of God’s particular prevenient grace, truth may be used to persuade and convince with the end hope being the response of true saving faith. Thus, like Paul, we do not hesitate to “persuade men” (II Cor. 5:11; cf. Acts 17:4; 18:13).

B. Proofs of the Existence of God.

It is granted that the following proofs will not impress the natural man who “suppresses the truth in unrighteousness” (Rom. 1:18), who “exchanges the truth of God for a lie” (Rom. 1:25). Nevertheless this in no way alters the reality of this truth which God may be pleased to use, by means of general and special revelation, as He draws individuals toward His saving gospel. However, do not underestimate the power of decadent rationality. Even so, when all is said and done, rationality with regard to the following arguments at best arrives at a Supreme Being with indistinct characteristics. Only special revelation gives a comprehensive portrayal of God.

1. The cosmological argument. This is based on the undeniable reality that the present cosmos exists. Hence, this existence suggests a beginning that must have a cause, indeed a first cause that is termed God.

2. The teleological argument. A design requires a designer; order in a contrasting environment of disorder demands an agent who creates this particular order. Order
from randomness does not just happen; it requires intelligent purpose. That **intelligence** is God.

3. **The ontological argument.** Man commonly has an awareness that God exists. Therefore God must have placed this idea within man. Originating with Anselm, many apologists do not consider it of great worth.

4. **The anthropological argument.** The constitution of man, having intellect, will, emotions, self-awareness, moral consciousness, does not suggest a mere material origination, but rather a personal Being who originated our being. That Being is God.

5. **The moral argument.** Man’s innate moral sensitivity, especially concerning areas of common agreement with regard to what is right and wrong, suggests a common source. That **source** is God.

C. **Proofs of the Resurrection of Jesus Christ.**

Biblical Christianity rises or falls according to the historic reality of the bodily resurrection of Jesus Christ. This was an actual event, not the mere arising of an ideal, as the Apostle Paul makes clear (I Cor. 15:12-19). Not only was the tomb empty (Luke 24:1-4; John 20:1-9), but Jesus Christ came forth from the dead (Matt. 28:5-6), was seen by witnesses (I Cor. 15:3-8), and ascended to His Father (Acts 1:9-11).

1. **Scripture.**
   a. Direct declarations and indications.
   b. Indirect conclusions
      Consider the transformation of the first disciples, who would be unlikely to stake their lives upon either deception or a delusion. Consider the perseverance of the early church, its indefatigable resolve, in the face of severe persecution. Consider the witness of Paul, a converted Jewish Rabbi, who would be unlikely to stake his all on a fabulous tale.

2. **Refutation of objections.**
   a. **The swoon theory.** Due to extreme suffering and the loss of bodily strength, Jesus went into a deep state of unconsciousness with hardly any respiration. Only after being taken down from the cross and tended by the women did He recover. Such
an alleged recovery would have been in extreme weakness, it being hardly sufficient to convey the conquest of death as the Prince of Life, let alone walk out of the tomb under his own strength. Further, when and where then did Jesus eventually die? Were the experienced Roman soldiers so negligent in discerning whether Jesus was in fact dead (John 19:33)? The separation of blood and water is not symptomatic of unconsciousness (John 19:34).

b. *The theft theory.* At the urging of Pilate by the chief priests and Pharisees, the tomb was sealed and a guard placed outside the tomb to prohibit the possibility of theft (Matt. 27:62-66; cf. 28:11-15). Vain has been the provision of the ultimate proof, that is the discovery of the stolen body. Why is the testimony of the guard accepted without investigation? If the guard was sleeping, how could it report that the disciples stole the body? Would the cowardly and depressed disciples have carried out such a deception? The guard knew that to fall asleep naturally was to be subject to the death penalty. Would they have slept through the rolling away of the stone and transportation of Jesus’ body? Would robbers have left the grave clothes so neatly arranged (John 20:3-7)?

c. *The hallucination theory.* All of the various appearances of Jesus are based upon visionary accounts, not the evidence of an actual event according to eye-witnesses. Participants in this fantasy include the women and disciples at the tomb, the twelve, the two on the Emmaus road, “more than five hundred brethren,” James and Paul (I Cor. 15:5-7). This theory also requires that the actual body of Jesus be stolen or secretly discarded. Again, vain has been provision of the ultimate supporting proof, that is discovery of the objective dead body. Is the vision here, being the result of sanctified imaginations, worth upholding unto death? Concerning Christ’s post-resurrection appearances, what is it that accounts for so many people having hallucinations that yet are in substantial agreement?

d. *The wrong tomb theory.* Overcome and near blinded with grief, the women stumbled upon an empty tomb other than the one where the dead Jesus lay. But they had watched where the body was buried less than seventy-two hours before. However, after they told Peter and John, did these two disciples also run back to the wrong tomb? Further, did the angel, who sat on the stone that was rolled away, make a mistake? And what happened to the real tomb of Jesus?

3. Secular history. Josephus, *Antiquities*, XVIII, III, 3. “He was [the] Christ; and when Pilate, at the suggestion of the principal men amongst us, had condemned him to the cross, those that loved him at the first did not forsake him, for he appeared to them alive again the third day, as the divine prophets had foretold these and ten thousand other wonderful things concerning him.”

D. Evidence of the Authority of the Bible.

1. Introduction.

a. There is a fundamental crisis in the Christian Church today, let alone society in general, regarding authority.
(1) For some authority is vested in the church, its creeds and confessions, the rule of the bishop, episcopacy, and supremely the Pope.

(2) For others, authority is found in the declarations of various scholars, in spite of their disagreement and passing theories.

(3) Still many trust in inward experience, subjective opinion, extraordinary happenings, extra-biblical revelation.

(4) But not so many Christians are regularly exposed to the authority of the Bible as concrete, inerrant, truthful, above man.

b. For Christianity, biblical authority is not merely one important issue amongst many, but the foundational issue upon which all other doctrines rise or fall.

(1) By way of illustration, a country that falls from constitutional rule finds itself subject to anarchy. So a Church without an authoritative Bible finds itself torn apart with unbelief, strife, impotence, man-centeredness, and finally God’s judgment.

(2) At the fall of man in Genesis 3:1, Satan’s chief assault was upon the integrity of the Word of God with his doubt inducing enquiry, “Indeed, has God said?”

(3) If Satan can successfully introduce rot and decay into the foundation of the Christian Church, such as through liberal theological seminaries, then the superstructure cannot but be weak and prone to collapse ((Ps. 11:3; II Tim. 2:16-19).

(4) In past centuries, while mankind was continuously involved in grievous sin, ungodliness, and backsliding, yet an authoritative Bible was nevertheless feared even if disobeyed. But today, it is common for the Bible not to be regarded as authoritative by sinners in general. The comment is often heard: “But the Bible is full or errors, fables, and folklore.” From where did this skeptical attitude come from? Predominantly the Church in general!

c. Hence we now consider many substantial reasons why the Bible remains wholly true and man is in error.

2. The Bible is the Word of God because it consistently claims to be so.

a. It is true that in and of itself such a claim is not conclusive. But such a claim is necessary if man is to identify God’s Word. Such a claim is to be expected if the Bible is of God. Further, in a book of sixty-six books that involved forty human authors over 1600 years, it is to be expected that repeated claims would be made of divine authorship lest there be any misunderstanding on the reader’s part.

b. Hence, so numerous are the claims of the Bible to one divine authorship that a reader must conclude it to be either fact or an enormous fraud. There is no middle ground.
c. Consider just how many of the human authors of the Bible are yet aware of the fact that what they write or quote from is the very Word of God.

(1) Leviticus has twenty-seven chapters of which twenty commence with words similar to, “The LORD spoke again to Moses, saying.”

(2) David certainly wrote Psalm 2, yet Peter and John much later acknowledge this fact while also attributing this passage to the inspiration of the Holy Spirit (Acts 4:25-26).

(3) Jeremiah tells us plainly that what he wrote down was the exact Word of God (Jer. 36:1-2).

(4) Paul declares his epistles to be the Word of God (I Cor. 14:37), while Peter also agrees that Paul writes Scripture along with “the rest of the Scriptures” (II Pet. 3:15-16).

3. The Bible is the Word of God because of fulfilled predictive prophecy.

a. Humanistic, antisupernatural prejudice declares that precise history cannot be known in advance. Of course if God orders that history, in which man participates, then foreknowledge of it is to be expected, whether by direct or indirect prophetic revelation.

(1) Such a presupposition is naturalistic, implying that man and nature are the ultimate measure of truth. When imposed upon the Bible, this prejudice demands that all prophetic claims be rationalized.

(2) Liberal scholarship rejects the traditional date of the writing of Daniel (c. 530 B.C.) because predictive prophecy is ruled out as quite impossible. Hence, on account of precise references to Antiochus Epiphanes and Alexander the Great in chapters 7-8, a date of 165 B.C. is proposed. The messianic prophecy of Daniel 9:24-27 is denied and thought to refer to a more human prince.


c. The two comings of Jesus Christ are prophesied. One is accomplished while the other is yet to be fulfilled. But the exact fulfillment of Christ’s first coming gives credibility to the fulfillment of his second coming.


(2) Jesus Christ’s second coming (Matt. 24:29-31; Acts 1:9-11; II Thess. 1:6-10).

d. There are over 300 messianic prophecies in the Old Testament that find fulfillment in the first coming of Jesus Christ.
(1) Messiah will be born of a virgin (Isa. 7:14, cf. Matt. 1:18-25).

(2) Messiah will be born in Bethlehem (Mic. 5:2, cf. Matt. 2:1-8).

(3) Messiah will be announced by a messenger (Isa. 40:1-3; Mal. 3:1, cf. Matt. 3:1-3).

(4) Messiah will be a light to Galilee (Isa. 9:1-2, cf. Matt. 4:12-16).


(6) Messiah will be valued at thirty pieces of silver by Israel (Zech. 11:12-13, cf. Matt. 26:14-15; 27:3-5).

(7) Messiah will be despised, rejected, crucified, and resurrected from the dead (Ps. 22:1-31; Isa. 53:1-12; Zech. 13:1).

e. There are numerous prophecies in the Bible that have been precisely fulfilled concerning various cities such as Nineveh, Tyre and Sidon. However consider the prophesied demise of Babylon (Isa. 13:19-22; 14:23; Jer. 51:26, 43). Today ancient Babylon is identified only by ruins, while most of the kingdom is covered by silt.

4. The Bible is the Word of God because of its effect in time and space.

a. In time, specifically over the course of human history, the Bible has been the most influential of all books.

(1) This is not a wild claim since The Cambridge History Of The Bible declares:

No other book has known anything approaching this constant circulation, for it is broadly true to say that until recently it is only Christians who have cared enough about the propagation of their beliefs to circulate books in the way and on the scale that some States are now beginning to employ.\(^{33}\)

(2) Since the era of Moses 3,500 years ago, the Bible has survived persecution and ridicule as has no other book, yet it continues to prosper.

(3) It ought not to surprise us then that the manuscript evidence for the Bible is vastly larger than other religious books and works of classical literature.

(4) The Bible was written over a span of 1,600 years covering 40 generations using a variety of human authors in numerous places.

(5) The critical question then for any person today is, “Can I be so foolish, and consequently ignorant, as to ignore the most important book of human history?”

\(^{33}\) The Cambridge History Of The Bible, ed. S. L. Greenlade, III, p. 479.
b. In space, specifically this planet earth and even beyond, the Bible has been more widely circulated than any other book in human history.

(1) The Bible Society in Australia indicates that, throughout the world, the distribution of Bibles and Bible Portions during 1987 alone exceeded 500 million.

(2) The entire Bible has been translated into more than 200 languages, while Bible Portions have been translated into more than 1,000 languages and dialects.

(3) The Bible has not only been taken to the earth’s remotest ends, but also into outer space and to the moon!

(4) It is significant that Jesus Christ prophesied that such world-wide Bible distribution would take place (Matt. 24:14; 26:13).

5. The Bible is the Word of God because of its diversity in unity.

a. There is a diversity in its component parts.

(1) It is a collection of 66 books of various sizes that involve 40 human authors over a period of 1,600 years.

(a) The 66 books are comprised of history, poetry, prophecy, legislation, hymn, epistle.

(b) The 40 human authors range from king to commoner, scholar to fisherman, in addition to the merchant, drink-server, herdsman, doctor, tax-collector.

(c) The 1,600 years embrace the divergent kingdoms of Egypt, Assyria, Babylon, Media-Persia, Greece, Rome.

(2) The main languages are Hebrew, being oriental or eastern, and Greek, being occidental or western.

(3) The individual books vary with regard to length, style, and vocabulary. Some accounts are written singly, while others are written in duplicate, triplicate, and quadruplicate.

b. There is a unity that embraces its component parts.

(1) There is progress of revelation from promise to fulfillment (Luke 24:44; Acts 3:18).

(2) There is agreement between David, Isaiah, Peter, and Paul (Rom. 4:6-8; I Pet. 2:24).
(3) There is the unifying centrality of the person of Jesus Christ as the promised Seed, the Angel of Jehovah, and the Son of God (Gen. 3:15; 48:15-16; Judg. 13:1-12; Isa. 9:6-7; Luke 24:27; John 1:1, 18; 8:56-58).

(4) There is agreement as to the identity and nature of God as well as the uniqueness and condition of man (John 25:4-6; Isa. 6:1-4; Jer. 17:9; Rom. 3:9; I Pet. 1:15-16; I John 1:8).

(5) There is agreement as to the one biblical gospel, the only hope of fallen man (Gen. 3:15; 15:6; Hab. 2:4; Acts 4:12; Rom. 1:16-17; 4:1-5; Gal. 3:11; I Tim. 2:5; Heb. 10:38).

6. The Bible is the Word of God because of its unparalleled, historic influence over man.

a. Consider the following areas of human learning.

   (1) Art – Michelangelo, Rembrandt, Raphael, Durer, Doré

   (2) Literature – Milton, Bunyan, Dante, Shakespear, Donne, Defoe.

   (3) Music – Bach, Hayden, Handel.

   (4) Science – Galileo, Pascal, Kepler, Bacon, Newton, Faraday.

b. Consider the following institutions and movements.

   (1) Social – hospitals, orphanages, rescue missions, British unions.


   (3) Philanthropic – relief agencies, medical missions, LeTourneau.

   (4) Publishing – printing during the Reformation, Bible Societies, literacy.

c. Consider the transforming effect upon profligates, unbelievers.

   (1) Aurelius Augustine – immoral professor at Milan, becomes great theologian and defender of Christianity.

   (2) John Bunyan – foul mouthed tinker at Bedford becomes pastor and author of *The Pilgrim's Progress*.

   (3) John Newton – hard-hearted slave trader and sea captain becomes pastor and hymn writer.

   (4) Skeptics such as Frank Morrison, C. S. Lewis, political hatchet man, Charles Colson, as well as prostitutes, drunkards, gamblers, thieves, murderers, and “respectable” people, “nice” people!
d. Consider the transforming effect upon nations in proportion to their regard for the Bible, such as in the U.S.A, the United Kingdom, Canada, Europe, etc. As these countries have declined in their regard for the Bible, so in direct proportion their greatness, especially in the moral sphere, has steadily declined. This regression has included a loss of respect, even from amongst the more pagan nations of the world.

(1) One classic illustration of this national influence of the Bible would be the eighteenth century Evangelical Awakening in England under John Wesley and George Whitefield. Here a nation was delivered from raw depravity, while across the English Channel, France endured a bloody revolution. Refer to J. Wesley Bready, *England: Before And After Wesley*, p. 13.

(2) But where are the comparable humanist, atheist inspired rescue missions and humanitarian agencies such as have been spawned by Christianity? Amongst those nations of the world that are officially committed to atheism, how many are renowned for their sense of compassion and mercy?

7. The Bible is the Word of God because it correctly interprets history.

a. The Bible makes statements about the course and drift of this world, it history, and the outworking of world events is in precise agreement.

(1) The Bible describes a beginning, a pathway, and a climax of history that is evidently being fulfilled (Dan. 12:5-13).

(2) The Bible is realistic about history and not blindly idealistic (Matt. 24:6-14). Yet the Bible is ultimately utopian, that is it promises the triumph of God’s righteous kingdom (Isa. 11:1-9; Hab. 2:14).

(3) The Bible causes us to view the sweep of history and not just the narrow perspective of the present, for the nations are at God’s disposal (Ps. 86; Isa. 40:15; 52:10).

b. In spite of man’s blind, feverish, evolutionary optimism in a man-centered age, yet the Bible declares that the end of it all will be catastrophe, humiliation, and judgment (Matt. 24:37-39; I Thess. 5:1-3; Rev. 19:11-18).

(1) Will man’s present peace initiatives really work? It is impossible while man is at war in his own soul and with God.

(2) Will the great arms arsenals of today never be used? If man is left to his own devices, there is nothing more certain than that they will be used and as a result he will reap a whirlwind.

c. The Bible clearly describes the underlying cause of conflict amongst the nations, and history repeatedly bears this out (Ps. 2:1-3; Jas. 4:1-2). On the other hand, contrast the feeble, secular explanations that modern man proposes.
(1) Consider the rise and fall of tyrants. In the present they seem invincible, immortal, but the Bible proves their days to be as grass (Ps. 37:35-36; 103:15-16).

(2) Consider the righteousness factor rather than might in the rise and fall of nations (Prov. 14:34).

(3) Consider the vanity of man’s pursuit of the new, the novel, and the modern, which the world describes as progress. Yet the Bible describes these materialistic pursuits as ultimately futile (Eccles. 1:8-9; 2:1-12).

8. The Bible is the Word of God because it correctly knows man’s heart.

a. When man plays physician with himself, he is generally complementary or lenient with his diagnosis rather than frank.

(1) He believes his moral health is relatively good while his development is attractive when compared with the past.

(2) He assesses his behavior as reasonably good or tolerable, even if not perfect.

(3) Hence, when the Bible, with brutal honesty, like an honest physician, tells man that he is mortally sick in his soul, we conclude that the Bible is not of man’s devising. After all, proud man is not inclined to make such a diagnosis.

(4) Further, when we are faced with the choice as to which diagnosis is correct, the man who is honest concerning his own heart is bound to support the verdict of the Bible.

b. How do you account for sin and evil in the world? How do you account for the pervasiveness of sin? How does it arise even in children?

(1) So you deny the existence of sin? But surely not on account of a lack of evidence or the overwhelming predominance of virtue. Perhaps your only recourse is to redefine sin as righteousness, or darkness as light. Do social and environmental factors give adequate explanation in the light of history and especially the present?

(2) Alternatively, you admit the reality of evil and sin, even the mystery of this universal cancer. But by what standard do you assess this evil? Why does evil predominate? What of the secret of evil in my own heart? Does not the Bible have the answer?

(3) The Bible demonstrates its own origin when it ruthlessly unveils the root problem with man as an individual and race.

(a) Man is a sinner before God originally, that is from the historic Fall (Rom. 5:12).
(b) Man is a sinner before God extensively, totally, universally (Rom. 3:9-12).

(c) Man is a sinner before God intensely, profoundly, pervasively (Jer. 17:9; Rom. 3:13-18).

(d) Man is consequently a sinner before God actively, demonstrably (Matt. 7:17-18; 15:13-18).

(e) Hence, sin in the world about me, and especially within my own heart, bears witness to the truth of the Bible. But not only does the Word of God give the truest diagnosis of man’s diseased soul; it also declares the only remedy.

9. The Bible is the Word of God because of the uniqueness of the gospel.

a. Because of man’s pervasive depravity and consequent bondage to sin, he is blind to his need of salvation as well as his inability to save himself. Man may admit to needing a little assistance. But God’s remedy is regarded as foolishness, a stumbling-block, unworthy of consideration, too easy (I Cor. 1:23).

(1) In rejecting God’s diagnosis, of necessity the natural man directs himself along a wrong remedial path (Prov. 14:12).

(2) Being aware of his nakedness before God to a degree, man conceives of a way of covering his sin and shame. It is the work of his hands and alien to God’s provision (Gen. 3:6-7, 21).

(3) Thus man promotes his own righteousness being ignorant of God’s saving righteousness (Rom. 10:3; I Cor. 1:21; 2:6-7, 14). It is the way of Cain (Gen. 4:3), or works righteousness.

(4) Other than biblical Christianity, every world religion or human philosophy that aspires to reconciliation between God and man makes an offering of human works of one form or another. There is no conception of salvation by grace through faith apart from human works (Rom. 3:24; Gal. 2:16; Eph. 2:8-9). Indeed, salvation by grace alone is for man inconceivable in view of his addiction to works righteousness. From whence then does such a message of salvation by grace come from?

b. The biblical gospel is God’s gospel revealed by Jesus Christ, and its utterly righteous and gracious nature is beyond the ability of man to conceive (Rom. 1:1; 11:33-36; Gal. 1:11-12).

(1) Man does conceive of a form of salvation by human righteousness that is void of grace (Rom. 2:23). However, this imperfect righteousness only results in man’s condemnation (Gal. 3:10).

(2) Man does also conceive of a form of salvation by grace or tolerance that is void of righteousness (Rom. 6:1).
(3) But God’s unique gospel is a divine plan whereby He is both just and the justifier of the sinner who believes in the atonement of Jesus Christ (Rom. 3:26).

(4) God’s gospel has the stamp, the hallmark of divine origination that totally excludes human devising. Man would never admit to being so utterly lost and impotent that his only hope was to be found in pure, sovereign grace.

10. The Bible is the Word of God because of Saul who became Paul.

a. In recent years, rationalistic higher criticism has focused upon the four Gospels, but especially what is called, “the synoptic problem,” namely concerning the real origin, the transmission of accounts and traditions, and the relationship between the Gospels of Matthew, Mark, and Luke. The Gospel of John is usually not treated on the same historical level; rather it is understood as a theological treatise.

(1) We are encouraged to go behind the scenes, so to speak, so as to discover what is basically authentic in the Gospels and what is interpolation and editorial comment, what is history as distinct from early tradition, what is fact as opposed to fable. We are to treat the Gospels like an onion and peel away the outer skin and blemishes so as to discover the kernel of truth concerning Jesus Christ.

(2) Liberal scholarship has majored in this field and come up with a variety of conclusions. However, most appear to strip Jesus of his deity and leave behind a laudable but human Jew. Their Jesus of history turns out to be radically different from the Jesus of the Bible!

(3) But when this bewildering maze of conflicting, humanistic scholarship is encountered, and it will increasingly chill your soul, you are still faced with a giant sized problem. How do you explain Paul and his historicity, his conversion experience, his epistles, and his leading role as an apostle?

(4) The more you accept liberal views concerning the Gospels, the greater is your problem in explaining Saul who became Paul. Why is this so? Because Paul does not view Jesus simply as a laudable human Jew, but supremely as He in whom dwells in bodily form, “the fullness of Deity” (Col. 2:9).

b. Nobody questions the historicity of Paul, even the Jews who so detest his testimony as a former rabbi. Further, even liberal critics credit him with being the author of most of his epistles. Yet further still, he was a contemporary of Jesus Christ, and his epistles were written before the Gospels. So how do you account for:

(1) The conversion experience of Saul who became Paul, that is he who had sat at the feet of Gamaliel, a scholarly Pharisee (Acts 9:1-22; 22:1-21; 26:1-21; Gal. 1:13-17)?
(2) The apostolicity of Paul, acknowledged by Peter, James, and John (Gal. 2:9).

(3) The epistles of Paul, emphasizing not so much what Jesus said as what He did? The coming of Jesus was not so much for the purpose of him declaring the gospel as for him being the gospel.

(4) Supremely, the fact that Paul so exalts Jesus Christ’s deity (Eph. 6:23; Phil. 2:5-11; Col. 2:9)?

(5) By way of illustration, Dr. J. Gresham Machen well writes: “Explain the origin of the religion of Paul, and you have solved the problem of the origin of Christianity.”\(^\text{34}\) It is for this reason that we conclude that Paul and his epistles add great weight, not only to the reliability of the Gospels, but also to the divine origin of the Bible as a whole.

11. The Bible is the Word of God because of the reality of Jesus Christ.

a. The chorus of a popular hymn states the issue most plainly:

```
What will you do with Jesus?
Neutral you cannot be;
Some day your heart will be asking,
“What will He do with me?”
```

(1) You cannot avoid Jesus Christ since He confronts you daily.

(a) Our dating system is based upon His approximate date of birth. Hence, B.C./A.D. places Him at the very center of human history.

(b) Our custom of giving children a “Christian” name reminds us of an early church practice that identified conversion.

(c) Our major yearly seasons, namely Christmas and Easter, still focus attention, even if in perverted ways, upon this Jesus Christ of Scripture.

(d) Many governments in the West open their legislative sessions with “the Lord’s Prayer.”

(e) Our society continues to acknowledge the moral teaching of Jesus Christ, even if it is merely lip service and with a patronizing tone.

(f) Our work-force, whether white or blue collar, often speaks of Jesus Christ, even if most frequently by means of cursing and jesting!

(2) So you are not at liberty to claim ignorance. You are accountable for an opinion of Jesus Christ. You cannot wash your hands or responsibility as Pilate did (Matt. 27:24). You can, like an ostrich, foolishly ignore this

\(^{34}\) J. Gresham Machen, *The Origin Of Paul's Religion*, pp. 4-5.
incomparable Man of history. But one day you will be forced to take your head out of the sand and face Him (Acts 17:31)!

b. Ask yourself then, “How do I account for:”

(1) The historic claims of Christ? Read the Gospels. Do they strike you as a collection of myths? No, they throb with history, narrative, eye witness accounts, real events.

(2) The unique claims of Christ? How truly the temple officers declared: “Never did a man speak the way this man speaks” (John 7:46). Jesus speaks and acts like Deity; He is regarded as Deity (John 1:1; 8:24; 10:8, 30; 14:6; 20:28; Matt. 7:21-23).

(3) The supernatural claims of Christ? He has preexisted eternally in glory (John 17:5). He has dominion over death (John 11:25, 38-44). He has active equality with the Father (John 5:17).

(4) The holy claims of Christ? Never does He confess sin or seek forgiveness (John 8:46). Rather, He has come as God’s spotless Lamb so as to vanquish sin (John 1:29).

c. We must come to a conclusion concerning Jesus Christ. What will it be?

(1) Jesus Christ was a fictional phantom about whom we can be certain of nothing, other than being certain that he was not who the Gospels describe him to be. But consider the witness of Paul and the other Apostles (I John 1:1-3). Did they labor and die for a man who was an abstract mirage?

(2) Jesus Christ was a madman, demented, even possessed by a demon. But how could a man of unstable mind do such helpful works and speak such soul satisfying truth (John 10:19-21)?

(3) Jesus Christ was a deceiver, a false prophet, a messianic pretender, a liar. But what proof is there? Where is the clear unveiling of this deceit? We do know that He was able to unveil deceit in others, especially with regard to the religious leaders of his day (Matt. 23:13-36). Further, so liars promote holiness and do charlatans encourage virtue (Matt. 5:48)?

(4) Jesus Christ is Lord, that is sovereign Deity, exactly as the Gospels describe Him. If so, then we must bow down before Him, just as the apostles and early church did (John 9: 38; 20:28; Phil. 2:9-10).

12. The Bible is the Word of God because of the God it reveals.

a. The Bible describes many people and nations who were worshippers of manufactured and false gods.

(1) In Ur of the Chaldees, the early home of Abraham, there was Sin, the moon-god.
(2) In Egypt, there was Horus the hawk-god and Ra the sun-god.

(3) Laban, Jacob’s uncle, worshipped gods that could be stolen or sat upon (Gen. 31:30-32, 34).

(4) The Canaanites had many nature gods, while their worship reached the depths of immorality, including prostitution and child sacrifices.

(5) The many gods of Babylon included Bel, Nebo, and Merodach. Archaeology indicates that King Nebuchadnezzar thought that Merodach was having a long sleep and ought to awake!

(6) Besides these, during Bible times there were the numerous Greek and Roman gods, whose worldly characteristics implied human origination. They were often moody, fickle, immoral, and fighting amongst themselves!

c. But then the Bible presents one God only, or monotheism (Deut. 6:4). The contrast regarding His attributes and those of the gods of this world is astonishing.

(1) He is the living God (Dan. 6:25-27; I Tim. 3:15).

(2) He is the God of all creation (Gen. 1:1).

(3) He is the holy and righteous God, wholly light (Isa. 6:1-4; Jer. 12:1; I John 1:5).

(4) He is the Lord who is both transcendent and immanent (I Kings 8:27-28; II Kings 19:15-16).

(5) He is the God who is supremely good (Ps. 34:8).

   (a) Good in benevolence (Matt. 5:45).

   (b) Good in grace (Tit. 2:11).

   (c) Good in longsuffering (Rom. 2:4).

   (d) Good in mercy (II Sam. 24:14).

(6) He is the God who is sovereign in creation, providence, and redemption (Gen. 1:1; Matt. 6:25-34; John 2:9).

(7) He is the unchanging God, without mutation (Mal. 3:6).

(8) He is the eternal God, beyond time and space, infinite (Ps. 90:1-2; 102:11-12).

c. This God alone gives satisfaction to man’s deepest needs. Augustine was right when he declared: “Our hearts were made for Thee [the God of the Bible], and
they can find no rest until they find their rest in Thee.” There is a God-shaped vacuum in every human heart which the God of the Bible can alone fill.

13. The Bible is the Word of God because it best answers to sin and death.
   a. Scripture faces the issue of death seriously, realistically, not blindly.
      (1) The world in general attempts to ignore death, or give it a false dress, or fantasize about it, or even deny it.
      (2) But in spite of the fact that we don’t want to die, that death is ugly, an inescapable reality the Bible faces us with these matters with total honesty.
         (a) Death is universal (Rom. 5:12).
         (b) Death brings terror (Ps. 55:4-5).
         (c) Death has a sting (I Cor. 15:56).
         (d) Death is humbling (Isa. 40:6-8).
         (e) Death is a great enemy (I Cor. 15:26).
         (f) Death brings sorrow (Ps. 116:3).
   b. Scripture has a realistic explanation for death.
      (1) Death is not as the atheist must face it, a destiny void of meaning, senseless non-existence, unjust cessation of being.
      (2) Death is not as the agnostic must face it, the comfortless unknown, the uncertain future, the bridge to a nebulous something or an irrational nothing.
      (3) Death has come to all men on account of sin (Gen. 2:17; Rom. 5:12).
      (4) Death is God’s judicial punishment of sin (Rom. 1:32; 6:23).
   c. Scripture declares that death has been vanquished.
      (1) Jesus Christ raised the dead by command (John 11:43).
      (2) Jesus Christ was raised from death (Matt. 28:5-6; Rom. 1:1-4).
      (3) Jesus Christ as the first fruits is the guarantee of a future resurrection (John 10:17-18; 11:25; I Cor. 15:20-23).

14. The Bible is the Word of God because it promises justice to all.
   a. Within the heart of sinful man there is, to a degree, a comprehension of certain standards of justice. As an individual he expects society to uphold this justice,
while he himself is personally negligent in the maintenance of these very same standards! He is like a sick man who recognizes a beneficial standard of health that he yet personally ignores!

(1) When Nathan the prophet described to King David the light of a poor man whose one little ewe lamb was unlawfully seized by a rich man, the King erupted with full righteous indignation. But if David’s sense of justice was impeccable, it was also partial, for he was yet blind to his own unjust dealings with Uriah (II Sam. 12:1-9).

(2) The Jews declared the justice of God, especially with regard to the Gentiles. Yet in Romans 2:24 Paul tells how the Gentiles blaspheme the God of Israel because of the blindness of the Jews toward their own unjust ways.

b. Hence it is beyond dispute that justice is not presently fulfilled in this world.

(1) As described in Psalm 73:3-9, there is the present prosperity of the wicked along with their defiance of God and arrogance.

(2) This is not to deny that there is in sin a present, inbuilt recompense which is the result of God’s abandonment of the sinner (Rom. 1:24, 26-28).

(3) But surely all the tyrants of this world, especially those who are dead, have not received their full due. Nor have all criminals been caught and punished. Further, there is much righteousness that has not been vindicated. Will these matters then be eternally resolved? Our sense of justice exclaims, “No!”

c. The Bible declares that there is a future day of reckoning, a day of judgment when none shall escape God’s righteous scrutiny.

(1) It is this fact that caused Asaph to recover from his dismay as present injustice, that is the prosperity of the wicked (Ps. 73:3-9, 17-20).

(2) At the end of this age absolute justice will be dispensed through the agency of the Lord Jesus Christ (Matt. 16:27; John 5:22, 27-29; Acts 17:31; Rom. 2:5-6; Heb. 9:27; Rev. 20:11-15).

(3) My sense of justice then finds its satisfaction in the Bible because the Word of God promises justice for all. But it ought to sober me that universal justice means justice for myself! Unless I be perfect, how then shall I defend my case (Rom. 4:5; I John 1:8-9)?

15. The Bible is the Word of God because of the existence of the Jew.

a. By way of illustration, there is a famous story about the infidel King Frederick the Great of Prussia, a friend of the French infidel, Voltaire. He once confronted one of his generals, a Christian, with the challenge, “Defend your Christianity, if you can, in one word, General.” To this the General bowed low and replied, “Sire, Israel.” What exactly did the General mean?
(1) The Old Testament promises concerning Jesus Christ are all Jewish in origin.

(2) Jesus Christ Himself was Jewish, even perfectly so (Luke 2:41-52).

(3) The Apostles were all Jewish, including Paul.

(4) In the Bible, the New Testament is mostly Jewish in its human authorship.

(5) The first Christian church at Jerusalem was Jewish.

(6) The great Christian terms that relate to salvation have their origin in Judaism, such as “Jesus,” “Christ,” “lamb of God,” “atonement,” “righteousness,” “covenant,” “holiness,” “glory,” “sin,” “mediation,” “Pentecost,” etc.

b. But consider the insignificant beginning of Israel. Yet in spite of this and unparalleled persecution, Judaism flourishes, presently in unbelief.

(1) God chooses Israel because of its smallness rather than its greatness (Deut. 7:6-8). Abraham alone is called, and a small band of seventy males with their families finds refuge in Egypt.

(2) Then came captivity in Egypt, Assyria, Babylon, Persia; persecution from Antiochus Epiphanes, Rome; Gentile dominion of Jerusalem for 2,000+ years, along with continuous anti-Semitism and constant Arab hatred, until Hitler’s holocaust along with widespread European opposition.

(3) But in spite of this the Jew still has a distinct existence, even though his race as a whole is almost totally blinded with unbelief. How is this existence to be accounted for? It is because God made an irrevocable covenant with Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, which He is bound to keep (Deut. 4:25-31).

c. God today has still not discarded the Jew forever. As He has shown forbearance in the face of Israel’s unbelief in the past (Neh. 9:9-31), even so today that same forbearance continues. The present existence of the Jew is evidence of the integrity of the Bible.

(1) The great issue today for any sinner, whether Jew or Gentile, is saving faith in the Lord Jesus Christ. Yet this one gospel does not eliminate certain distinctions. Having become a Christian, yet I still remain either a male or female Christian, a Gentile Christian or a Hebrew Christian. The distinction of Jewishness has not been lost.

(a) Paul claims, in the present tense, to still be a racial Jew (Acts 22:3; Rom. 11:1).

(b) Within the Christian Church, yet Paul distinguishes “the Israel of God” (Gal. 6:16).
(2) By way of illustration, Dr. Martyn Lloyd-Jones made a most significant comment when he was interviewed by Dr. Carl Henry:

To me, 1967, the year that the Jews occupied all of Jerusalem, was very crucial. Luke 21:24 is one of the most significant prophetic verses: “Jerusalem shall be trodden down of the Gentiles until the time of the Gentiles is fulfilled.” It seems to me that that took place in 1967 – something crucially important that had not occurred in 2,000 years. Luke 21:24 is one fixed point. But I am equally impressed by Romans 11 which speaks of a great spiritual return among the Jews before the end time.35

(3) Thus Romans 11 is the great definitive statement about the present racial and national existence of the Jew, even in unbelief.36

(a) Israel has been temporarily cast aside, vs. 1-2a, 11-12, 15.

(b) There has always been a believing remnant, that is a small number of genuine Jewish Christians, vs. 2b-5.

(c) By way of illustration, the promise to Abraham (Gen. 12:1-3) is likened to the root of a cultivated olive tree, that is Israel. Some fruitless, that is unbelieving branches have been broken off while others from a wild olive tree, that is Gentiles, are grafted in. But God is able to graft back the former natural branches, vs. 17-24.

(d) Hence, the nation of Israel as a whole has been given over to unbelief “until the fullness of the Gentiles has come in [when] . . . the Deliverer will come from Zion,” vs. 25-27.

(e) The basis of God’s dealings with Israel at the end of this age will be His irrevocable promise given to “the fathers,” that is Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, vs. 28-29.

(f) As in the past God has shown mercy to Israel following periods of unbelief, so He will do it again and even include the Gentiles, vs. 30-32.

(g) Thus Paul concludes with a crescendo of praise to the incomparable wisdom and might of God’s salvation, vs. 33-36.

16. The Bible is the Word of God because Jesus Christ authoritatively endorsed it.

a. The massive authority which Jesus Christ attributes to the Scriptures is a matter of great interest to both evangelical and liberal students of the Bible, though for different reasons.


36 Especially refer to the commentaries on Romans by John Murray and Douglas J. Moo.
(1) The evangelical has a bulwark here in the attitude of Jesus Christ toward the Bible. It is a model for the right regard of the Word of God. Here one will find no skepticism or scholastic flights of fancy. Rather, Jesus Christ’s acceptance of the truthfulness of Scripture is absolute.

(2) The liberal, on the other hand, being forced to admit to Jesus Christ’s unquestioning acceptance of Scripture, must come up with an explanation designed to fit his naturalistic, humanistic, and skeptical frame of reference. This leads inevitably to an accommodating, “child of his time” Christ, who is certainly not as wise today as we are in matters of biblical criticism.

b. Consider these facts concerning Jesus Christ and the Scriptures.

(1) He has full trust in the writings of Moses (John 5:45-47). In addition, he accepts that Isaiah is the author of both divisions of that prophecy (Matt. 15:7-9; John 1:23), that Daniel does declare history in advance (Matt. 24:15), that Jonah was entombed within a great fish for three days and three nights (Matt. 12:40).

(2) He further accepts the historicity of events relating to Abel (Luke 11:51), Noah and the Flood (Matt. 24:37-39), Lot and the destruction of Sodom (Luke 17:28-29), etc.

(3) His threefold declaration when tempted by the devil, “It is written” (Matt. 4:1-11), has a particular note of authority and finality about it.

(4) His acceptance or predictive prophecy as proof of his earthly ministry is weighty (Luke 24:44-47).

(5) His anticipation of the writings of the New Testament confirms the supernatural nature of the Bible (Matt. 26:6-13).

c. Finally, Jesus Christ makes these claims concerning Scripture while at the same time declaring that he is himself not only the Son who has come from the Father (John 13:1-3), but also the Judge and Savior of the world (John 5:22-29).

(1) He declares that, “the Scripture cannot be broken” (John 10:35).

(2) He declares that, “Thy Word [that of the Father] is truth” (John 17:17).

(3) In other words, the living, incarnate Word of God declares, as would be expected, the total, unqualified authority of the written Word of God. We ignore it at our peril. We embrace it for eternal life (John 1:10-14)!
A. The doctrine of God.

1. He is the God who has revealed Himself.
   a. God is not discovered (I Cor. 1:21). He discloses Himself (Gal. 1:14-16; 4:9; Heb. 1:1-2). Man does not find God, rather he is found by God.
   b. God discloses Himself both generally and specially.
      (1) Generally, in nature, creation, human consciousness (Ps. 19:1-6; Rom. 1:19-20).
      (2) Specially, in the Word of God both written and incarnate in Jesus Christ (Ps. 19:7-14; John 1:14, 18).
   c. God is exclusively the God of Israel, the patriarchs, the prophets, the apostles (Gen. 1:1; Deut. 6:4-9; Rom. 11:28-29).

2. He is the God who is known by His attributes or perfections (I Pet. 2:9), which are either communicable or incommunicable.
   a. God is holy (Isa. 6:1-5).
      (1) Positively, God is righteous.
      (2) Negatively, God is separate from unrighteousness.
      (3) Holiness is God’s fundamental attribute.
   b. God is righteous, straight, upright (Ps. 129:4; 145:17), in being and doing. He is morally impeccable.
   c. God is good, attractive, admirable in His moral excellence. Central to His essential goodness is His active love within the triune Godhead and without concerning His creation Ps. 119:68).
      (1) His love with welfare as benevolence (Matt. 5:45).
      (2) His love with compassion for sinners as grace (Rom. 3:24; 5:1-2, 6-8).
      (3) His love with patience as longsuffering (I Pet. 3:20).
      (4) His love with relief as mercy (Ps. 86:3, 5, 7; II Cor. 1:3-4).
   d. God is sovereign, with absolute dominion, over all that comes to pass (Isa. 14:26-27; 46:9-11; Dan. 4:34-35; Eph. 1:11).
(1) In creation, or origination (Gen. 1:1).

(2) In providence, or preservation (Ps. 147:7-8).

(3) In redemption, or salvation (Eph. 1:3-14).

e. God is infinite, unbounded (Job 11:7-9; Isa. 40:28; Rom. 11:33).

   (1) He is perfect, morally complete (Rom. 12:2).

   (2) He is omnipotent, the Almighty (Gen. 17:1; Ps. 89:13).

   (3) He is eternal, transcending time (Isa. 57:15; Hab. 1:12).

   (4) He is omniscient, knowing all (Ps. 139:4; 147:5).

   (5) He is omnisapient, all-wise (Ps. 104:24; Eph. 3:7-10).

   (6) He is omnipresent, everywhere Ps. 139:7-12; Eph. 4:6).

   (7) He is immense, beyond space (I Kings 8:27).

   (8) He is transcendent, beyond all of creation (Isa. 55:8-9).

f. God is the original, personal being (Exod. 3:14; Deut. 6:4).

   (1) He is self-existent, underived (John 5:26; II Cor. 9:8).

   (2) He is spiritual, immaterial Isa. 31:3; John 4:24).

   (3) He is living, energetic, active (Dan. 6:26-27; John 5:26).

   (4) He is immutable, constant, invariable (Mal. 3:6; Jas. 1:17).

   (5) He is unitary, exclusively one (Deut. 6:4; I Tim. 2:5).

   (6) He is a triunity of persons (Matt. 3:16-17; 28:19; Eph. 4:4-6).

g. God is displayed through personality involving self-consciousness Exod. 3:14), self-determination (Exod. 6:2-8), and self-expression (Exod. 9:16; 16:6-10; 19:16-20).

   (1) The personality of God communicated.

      (a) The image of God in man (Gen. 1:26-27; 5:1-3).

      (b) The emotions of God toward man (Ps. 2:4-5; 5:5-6).
The personality of God designated.

(a) The Old Testament primary names of God (Deut. 10:17).


The personality of God radiated.

(a) The essential glory of God (Isa. 59:19).

(b) The reflected glory of God (Isa. 9:1-2; 60:1-3).

(c) The communicated glory of God (John 17:22).

(d) The attributed glory of God (Rom. 15:5-9).

B. The doctrine of Scripture.

1. The Bible is an objective, concrete, propositional, inscripturated revelation (John 17:17; Rom. 15:4-5).

   a. It is not a scratchy record, a subjective stew needing unraveling skill, but definitive truth, “the prophetic word made more sure, to which you do well to pay attention as to a lamp shining in a dark place” (II Pet. 1:19).

   b. It is not a fallible launching pad for existential encounter or scholarly pontification, but fixed, impeccable truth that is outside of and over man.

2. The Bible is complete, being the sixty-six books of the Old Testament and New Testament (see pages 17-21 re canonicity).

   a. Continuing revelation, such as the Mormons claim, is ejected (Jude 3).

   b. There is progression of revelation within the canon (Heb. 1:1-3), such as from shadow to substance (Col. 2:16-17), yet all that is progressively revealed is true (John 17:17).

3. The Bible is inspired of God, that is “men moved by the Holy Spirit spoke from God” (II Pet. 1:20-21). The same Greek word for “moved” is used of Paul in a boat being “driven along” by the wind (Acts 27:15, 17).

   a. It is God-breathed, exhaled, or better, expired (II Tim. 3:16).

   b. It is verbal, that is God has communicated specific words (Exod. 20:1; John 6:63; 17:8; I Cor. 2:10-13; I Thess. 2:13).

   c. It is plenary, that is completely inspired without degree, “all” of it (II Tim. 3:16). The Old Testament genealogies are as inspired as Romans in the New Testament. The words of Paul are inspired as those of Moses and Jesus Christ.
d. It is confluent, that is God speaking through human instrumentality, yet so as the message is preserved from error (II Pet. 1:20-21). Similarly, Jesus Christ, the God-man, was born of God through a woman, yet being preserved from sin (I John 3:5).

e. It applies only to the original manuscripts, not a particular version. Yet as the decree of a king translated into a foreign language remains the trustworthy and authoritative word of that king, so versions remain the reliable and authoritative Word of God insofar as they are an accurate translation.

4. The Bible is infallible, inerrant, wholly true, as a consequence of it being inspired since God does not have bad breath or stutter.

   a. Since it is impossible for God to lie (Tit. 1:2), He breathes forth pure truth (John 17:17).

   b. Jesus Christ declared, “the Scripture cannot be broken” (John 10:35), that is fractured with error.

   c. This infallibility includes history, science, geography, not merely doctrine and ethics. The doctrine and ethics related to the resurrection are inseparably based upon a historic, geographic resurrection event in time and space (I Cor. 15:17).

5. The Bible is authoritative, because the authoritative claims that it makes are true (Jer. 23:28-29; Matt. 4:4, 7, 10; I Thess. 2:13).

   a. This authority is based upon both plenary inspiration and resultant infallibility.

   b. Liberalism, destructive criticism, and arrogant scholasticism, never generate spiritual life when the Bible is in their hands.

6. The Bible is perspicuous, that is comprehensible in its central message. Hence, it is sufficient for man in itself.

   a. Man, although aided by spiritually gifted translators, does not need interpreting priests or scholars insofar as comprehending the essential gospel message.

   b. Man does need the Holy Spirit who is intrinsic to the Word of God (Mark 4:26-28; Heb. 4:12; II Tim. 3:15; I Pet. 1:23).


7. The Bible is authenticated by Jesus Christ (Matt. 5:17-18; 24:35; Luke 16:17; John 10:35; 17:17).
C. The doctrine of Jesus Christ.

1. Refer to pages 57-58, 67-68.

2. He is historically real in time and eternity.
   b. His personal, eternal existence (John 1:1; 8:58; 17:5).

3. He is the theanthropic God-man, with two natures in one person.
   a. He is absolute deity (John 1:1; 20:28; Col. 2:9).
   b. He is fully human (Gal. 4:4; I Tim. 2:5; Heb. 4:15).

4. He is impeccable, without sin (Heb. 4:15; I Pet. 2:22; I John 3:5).

5. He is the Father’s appointed Mediator (I Cor. 8:6; I Tim. 2:5).

6. He is virgin born (Matt. 1:23).

D. The doctrine of the Holy Spirit.

1. He is personal Deity (Acts 5:3-4; Eph. 4:30), being distinct from the persons of the Father and the Son.

2. He is holy, pure, in essence and activity.
   a. Essentially, He is distinct from unclean spirits (Acts 8:4-8, 14-15).
   b. Actively, He is productive of holiness (Gal. 5:22-23; I Thess. 4:7-8; Tit. 3:5).

3. He creates (Ps. 104:24-30), convicts (John 16:8), regenerates (Tit. 3:5), baptizes (I Cor. 12:13), seals (Eph. 1:13), indwells (I Cor. 3:16), fills (Eph. 5:18), illuminates (John 16:3; I Cor. 2:14).

4. He is the Father’s spotlight who focuses attention upon the Son (John 15:26; 16:13-14).
   a. He is “the Spirit of the truth” (John 16:13).
   b. He has come to illuminate Jesus Christ’s glorious atonement in particular (John 7:37-39; 16:7-14).

E. The doctrine of man.

1. He was created “ex nihilo” by a sovereign and immediate act of God (Gen. 1:26-27). Man did not evolve.
a. It was creation distinct from that of other created animals (Gen. 1:26a).

b. It was creation distinct from that of other created animals (Gen. 1:26b).

c. It was creation that provided man with a body, soul, and spirit (I Thess. 5:23).

2. He was created in the image of God (Gen. 1:26), becoming a holy person with dominion, though because of the Fall now partakes more of the image of Satan (John 8:44). A renewed image comes only through Jesus Christ (Col. 3:10-11). Christ (Col. 3:10-11). As an unregenerate sinner, yet man retains:

a. Self-consciousness, but unconscious of God with desire.

b. Self-determination, but as an unwilling rebel of God.

c. Self-manifestation, but seeking self-glory being hateful of God.

3. Man prior to the Fall possessed original righteousness while at the same time being in fellowship with God (Gen. 2:16; 3:8).

a. His holiness was untested, unconfirmed, being innocent.

b. He had no knowledge of the contrast between good and evil (Gen. 2:9).

4. Man after the Fall, having lost his original righteousness, possessed original sin.

a. His whole being was infected, intellect, emotions, will, that is pervasively (Rom. 3:13-18).

b. His sinful infection diseased the whole human race in total through inheritance (Rom. 3:10-12; 5:12).

c. His consequent status was spiritual and physical death, separation from fellowship with God, and subjection to Satan.

5. Man after redemption, having gained an imputed righteousness through initial faith (Rom. 3:21-22), and become a “new creature [species]” (II Cor. 5:17; Gal. 6:15), is progressively “transformed into the same image [of Christ] from glory to glory” (II Cor. 3:18; Gal. 4:19), to the end that he might glorify God in holy personal fellowship and worship (I John 1:3; Rev. 5:6-14).

F. The doctrine of salvation.

1. Basic presuppositions are:

a. An objective, inscripturated message of faultless integrity, the Bible, “the sacred writings which are able to give you the wisdom that leads to salvation through faith which is in Christ Jesus” (II Tim. 3:15).
b. The essential nature of the God of Israel as both holy and gracious (Is. 6:3, 7), as “just and the justifier” (Rom. 3:26).

c. The person of Jesus Christ as the God-man, the only mediator (I Tim. 2:5), concerning “salvation through faith which is in Christ Jesus” (II Tim. 3:15).

d. The predicament of man in his alienation from God because of pervasive sin and guilt that earns wrath and death. Thus man “walks according to the course of this world,” while yet being “dead in trespasses and sins” (Eph. 2:1-2).

e. The nature of true faith as nonmeritorious linkage between the sinner and his Savior, concerning “salvation through faith which is in Christ Jesus” (II Tim. 3:15).

2. The bad news, more specifically, is that man is depraved both extensively and intensively.

a. Romans 3:10-18 graphically portrays the universality of sin.

(1) The breadth of human depravity, vs. 10-12. None are righteous, understand God, seek for God, are profitable, do good.

(2) The depth of human depravity, vs. 13-18. Every part of man, his throat, tongue, lips, mouth, feet, paths, eyes, is corrupted.

b. Since man is guilty as judged by God’s righteous demands (Rom. 3:19; 5:18a), his only hope is:

(1) That he might justify himself as acceptably righteous. But the Bible declares the impossibility of this (Rom. 3:20; Gal. 2:16).

(2) That he might obtain an acceptable, qualified justifier and mediator. But what intercessor will God accept? (I Tim. 2:5). How can God save with integrity?

3. The good news, or Gospel, is that God has conceived of a righteous way of saving repentant sinners. He justly provides free grace.

a. He judges sin in His willing Son, not the sinner. He justly punishes sin in an acceptable substitute, even His beloved Son (Isa, 53:4-6; II Cor. 5:21; I Pet. 2:24).

b. Through faith alone in God’s sacrificial Lamb, the repentant sinner claims Christ’s perfect substitute righteousness as his new apparel since his old apparel of unrighteousness has been laid on Christ (John 1:29; Rom. 3:21-22; Phil. 3:8-9).

(1) Thus the guilty sinner is justified, that is legally declared to be acceptably righteous by the Father on account of Jesus Christ’s atonement (Rom. 3:20, 24, 28; 5:1; Gal. 2:16; 3:11).
(2) Hence, contrary to the reasoning of the natural man, salvation is God’s free and gracious gift of righteousness, “for all those who believe” (Rom. 3:22, 24; 5:17).

c. Three key gospel passages that declare both the bad news and the good news, that ought to be studied in details, are:

(1) John 3:14-16. Special attention should be given to the parallel passage of Numbers 21:4-9, and the meaning in the writings of John for the terms, “God,” “loved,” “world,” “eternal life.”

(2) Romans 3:21-26. This is probably the most concise and yet comprehensive passage in all of the Bible. Consider the context of Romans 3:9-20. Carefully study such key words as “law,” “righteousness,” “sin,” “glory,” “justification,” “grace,” “redemption,” “propitiation,” “blood,” etc.

(3) Ephesians 2:1-10. Note new aspects of sin compared with Romans. Consider the contrast of sin and death with resurrection and life, as well as the emphasis on grace, the role of faith, and the outworking of salvation.

4. Salvation in the present.

a. While the Christian may assuredly confess, “I have been saved,” and believe that this salvation is secure, yet it is also true that he can presently confess, “I am being saved.”

(1) God has begun a good work and will complete it (Phil. 1:6).

(2) God has commenced giving life and expects cultivation and growth (II Pet. 3:18).

b. While initial justification is wholly God’s work when the sinner first passively believes, yet having believed the new Christian is at the same time endowed with the sanctifying Spirit of God who enables him to grow in grace and fruitfulness (John 15:16; Rom. 8:23; Gal. 5:22-23; Eph. 3:16; II Pet. 3:18).

(1) Having the regeneration (John 1:12-13; 3:3-15; Tit. 3:5), indwelling (John 14:16-17; Rom. 8:9; I Cor. 6:19), baptism (Rom. 6:4-11; I Cor. 12:13), and sealing (II Cor. 1:22; Eph. 1:13; 4:30, of the Holy Spirit, the believer has God’s dynamic enabling him to perform God’s ethic.

(2) Thus, “to us who are being saved it [the word of the cross] is the power of God” (I Cor. 1:18).

c. Yet the believer who has been passively saved through justifying faith, is also actively saved in the present by way of obedience and the responsible use of the means of grace.

(1) He is called upon “to walk by the Spirit” (Gal. 5:16), to “grieve no the Spirit” (Eph. 4:30), and to “quench not the Spirit” (I Thess. 5:19).
(2) His earthly pilgrimage involves fleeing and pursuing (I Tim. 6:11), fighting (I Tim. 6:12), struggling and wrestling (Eph. 6:12), running (I Cor. 9:24-26; Heb. 12:1).

(3) He is to study God’s Word (II Tim. 2:15), pray (I Thess. 5:17; I Tim. 2:8), attend Christian fellowship (Heb. 10:25), participate in the ordinances (Acts 2:42), kindle spiritual gifts (II Tim. 1:6), and do good to all men (Gal. 6:10).

5. Salvation in the future.

a. While the Christian may declare, “I have been saved,” and “I am being saved,” yet he can also confidently assert, “and I will be saved,” that is consummately, perfectly, completely.

   (1) It is the completion of what was planned in eternity past for the believing sinner (Rom. 8:29; Eph. 1:3-6).

   (2) It is the completion of what Christ purchased for believing sinners by means of his atonement (Col. 1:20-22; Jude 24-25).

   (3) It is the completion of that which every believing sinner presently groans for (Rom. 8:22-23; II Cor. 5:2-4).

   (4) It is the completion of the believing sinner’s sanctification at the return of Christ in glory (I Thess. 5:23-24).

b. The believer in the present has only begun, “to taste and see that the Lord is good” (Ps. 34:8; cf. Rom. 13:11; Heb. 9:28; I Pet. 1:5).

G. The doctrine of Christian growth.

The Bible presents a number of pictures of advancement in the life of a Child of God, such as the growth of a plant and tree (Ps. 92:12-14; Jer. 17:7-8), the process of maturity from childhood to adulthood to seniority (I Cor. 3:1-3; Heb. 5:11-14; I Pet. 2:2; John 2:12-14), progress in an athletic race (I Cor. 9:24-27), and advancement on a pilgrimage (Ps. 23:1-4; Heb. 11:8-10). However, the biblical presupposition here is that authentic starters will, by means of a process, be finishers (Phil. 1:6); in other words, while a child is secure in its birth and family status, yet there is the necessity of growth, through the employment of various means, that leads to parental likeness and stature.

1. Sanctification defined.

“Sanctification” describes the Christian’s definitive “set-apartness” by God (Heb. 10:10) that results in gradual conformity to the likeness of God, especially with regard to His characteristic of holiness (I Thess. 4:3-4). Thus the believer, set apart by election, regeneration, redemption and adoption, yet grows in this “set-apartness,” that is in evident consecration from unrighteousness unto the righteousness of God.
2. Sanctification as definitive.

This is God’s setting apart that is definitive, an act that is complete and final, that is not obtained by degrees. It is God’s once-and-for-all declaration that is directed toward His elect, especially with a judicial perspective that concern’s God’s regard for the chosen sinner, His calling that is complete and final (I Cor. 1:2; 6:11).

3. Sanctification as progressive.

Here sanctification involves a process whereby the Christian “grows in the grace and knowledge of our Lord and Savior Jesus Christ” (II Pet. 3:18). Thus the Christian is to “sanctify Christ as Lord in your hearts, always being ready to make a defense to everyone who asks you to give an account for the hope that is in you, yet with gentleness and reverence” (I Pet. 3:15).

a. The dynamic.

There is the assumption of regeneration by the Holy Spirit (II Thess. 2:13; I Pet. 1:2) with whom there is to be responsible interaction (Gal. 5:16, 25; Eph. 4:30; 6:18; I Thess. 5:19).

b. The means.

These are the Word of God (II Tim. 2:15), prayer (I Thess. 5:17; I Tim. 2:8), Christian fellowship (Heb. 10:25), participation in the ordinances (Acts 2:42), the employment of spiritual gifts (II Tim. 1:6), and good works to all men (Gal. 6:10).

c. The interest.

This is that motivation, that inclination, that affection by which the authentic believer has a personal desire to be holy because God is holy (I Pet. 1:15-16). It is born of the indwelling life of God (Rom. 6:17; 8:10, not mere external mandate.

d. The consummation.

Complete sanctification is promised, yet it will only be fulfilled “Now may the God of peace Himself sanctify you entirely; and may your spirit and soul and body be preserved complete, without blame at the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ. Faithful is He who calls you, and He also will bring it to pass” (I Thess. 5:23-34; cf. 1:5-6; 4:7-8).

H. The doctrine of the Church.


a. It is defined as, “that divinely constituted assembly of authentic New Testament believers that associates to the glory of God through the fulfillment of the great commission (Matt. 28:18-20) and the observance of Jesus Christ’s ordinances (I Cor. 11:23-29) under the direction of spiritually gifted leadership (Eph. 4:11-13; Heb. 13:17).”
(1) The word ἐκκλησία, ekklēsia, church/assembly is basically secular in meaning, and can even refer to a heathen gathering (Acts 19:32).

(2) While “church” in the New Testament can refer to a Jewish gathering (Acts 7:38), yet it most frequently refers to “My church” (Matt. 16:18), that is the church of Jesus Christ.

b. It was born at Pentecost (Matt. 16:18; Acts 1:8; 2:1-4; 11:15; I Cor. 12:13).

c. It is comprised, as the people of God, of both the “natural olive branches” (Rom. 11:17-26) or “Israel of God” (Gal. 6:16), and the “wild olive branches” (Rom. 11:17-26) or “the Gentiles to glorify God for His mercy” (Rom. 15:9-12). Such an incorporation was a mystery previously hidden (Eph. 3:8-10).

d. It is constituted upon the person of Jesus Christ who is its builder (Matt. 16:18; I Cor. 3:11) and cornerstone (Eph. 2:19-20).

e. It is commissioned as God’s gospel agency which is intended to display His glory (Eph. 3:8, 20-21).


a. It is the body of which Christ is the head (I Cor. 12:12-27; Eph. 1:22-23; 4:12, 15; Col. 1:18).

b. It is a bride destined to be wedded to Christ as her Bridegroom (II Cor. 11:2; Eph. 5:23-32; Rev. 21:9).

c. It is a building comprised of living stones (Matt. 16:18; Eph. 2:19-22; I Pet. 2:4-7).

d. It is a priesthood, a holy nation (I Pet. 2:9-10).

e. It is a flock under the shepherding of Jesus Christ (Luke 12:32; John 10:1-16; Acts 20:28; I Pet. 5:3-4).

f. It is branches engrafted into “the true vine” (John 15:1-8).

3. The Church as a universal association.

a. It is one, singular, all-encompassing macrocosm (Matt. 16:18; Eph. 3:10; Col. 1:18).

b. It includes all of the redeemed (Acts 20:28), yet only the redeemed (Eph. 5:27).

4. The Church as a local association.

a. It is a geographic microcosm of the universal macrocosm. Most of the New Testament references to the Church of Jesus Christ are regional (Acts 1:8; I Cor. 1:2; Gal. 1:2; I Thess. 1:1; 2:14; Jas. 5:14; Rev. 2-3).
b. It is a great commission agency (Matt. 28:19-20).
   (1) Proclaiming the gospel unto conversion, v. 19.
   (2) Proclaiming the word of Christ unto sanctification, v. 20.

c. It is a forum for the administration of Christ’s ordinances.
   (1) Baptism, as initial identification with Christ (Matt. 28:19).
   (2) The Lord’s Supper, as ongoing identification with Christ (Acts 2:42; I Cor. 11:23-29).

I. The doctrine of future events.

   1. The times of the Gentiles.
      a. The declaration of Jesus Christ, “Jerusalem will be trampled under foot by the Gentiles until the times of the Gentiles be fulfilled” (Luke 21:24-27) is of crucial significance with regard to subsequent events and the return of the Savior.
      b. Daniel 2:1-49 describes “the times of the Gentiles” as well as the subsequent return of Christ by means of Nebuchadnezzar’s dream of a great multi-metalic and clay image.
         (1) The image describes the four major Gentile world empires that shall follow from Daniel till Messiah’s reign, vs. 31-33, 36-43.
            (a) The head of gold represents the Babylonian kingdom under Nebuchadnezzar.
            (b) The breast of silver represents the Media-Persia kingdom under Cyrus.
            (c) The belly and thighs of bronze represent the Graeco-Macedonian kingdom under Alexander.
            (d) The legs of iron and feet of iron and clay represent the extended Roman Empire that eventually becomes weak.
         (2) The image is catastrophically demolished by the kingdom of God (Christ) that fills the whole earth, vs. 34-35, 44-45. Note that this sudden event describes Christ’s second coming rather than the gradualism following Christ’s first coming.
      c. Daniel 7:7-8, 19-28, in which a dreadful beast parallels the Roman Empire of iron and clay, gives greater detail as to the latter days of this extensive kingdom, and especially the reign of a distinctive godless tyrant, the Antichrist (II Thess. 2:1-4).
2. The future glory of Israel.

a. Daniel 7:27-28 indicates that following the times of the Gentiles, “the kingdoms under the whole heaven will be given to the people of the Saints [Israel] of the Highest One; His kingdom will be an everlasting kingdom, and all the dominions will serve and obey Him.”

1) The similar implication of Micah 5:15-17; Zechariah 8:20-23; Luke 21:24-27, is that Israel will experience a thoroughgoing resurgence such as has not been seen to date.

2) This Jewish awakening commences with a return of God’s scattered people to their land from the east and the west (Ezek. 37:21-23; Zech. 8:1-8; 10:9-10).


1) The blessed restoration of the favor of Israel. 36:1-21.

(a) The recovery of blessing from God, vs. 1-15.

(b) The restraint of the wrath of God, vs. 16-21.

2) The blessed regeneration of the house of Israel, 36:22-38.

(a) God will vindicate His holy name, vs. 22-23.

(b) God will transplant a new spiritual heart, vs. 24-27.

(c) God will restore the land with blessing, vs. 28-38.


(a) The vision of the valley of dry bones described, vs. 1-10.

1) The revelation to Ezekiel of national desolation and resurrection, vs. 1-6.

(a) The divine unfolding of Israel as lifeless, vs. 1-3.

(b) The divine calling of Israel to life, vs. 4-6.

2) The proclamation by Ezekiel of national resurrection from desolation, vs. 7-10.

(a) The recovery of bodily substance, vs. 7-8.

(b) The recovery of bodily life, vs. 9-10.
(b) The vision of the valley of dry bones explained, vs. 11-14.
   1) There will be restoration to the land, vs. 11-12.
   2) There will be restoration of the knowledge of God, vs. 13-14.

(4) The blessed reunion of Judah and Israel, 37:15-23.
   (a) The illustration of the two sticks, vs. 15-20.
   (b) The interpretation of Israel as one nation, vs. 21-23.

   (a) The establishment of the Davidic kingdom, vs. 24-25.
      1) There will be one shepherd, v. 24.
      2) There will be residence in the land of the fathers, v. 25.
   (b) There establishment of the everlasting covenant, vs. 26-28.
      1) It will be a covenant of peace, v. 26.
      2) It will be between God and Israel, v. 27.
      3) It will incorporate the Gentiles, v. 28.

c. Romans 11:17-32 gives the most comprehensive New Testament description of
   the regeneration of national Israel.
   (1) The parable of the cultivated olive tree, vs. 17-24.
      (a) The severance of natural olive branches, v. 17a.
      (b) The engrafting of wild olive branches, vs. 17b-22.
         1) The warning concerning arrogance, v. 18-21.
         2) The encouragement to continuance, v. 22.
      (c) The re-engrafting of natural olive branches, vs. 23-24.
   (2) The end of the fullness of the Gentiles, v. 25.
   (3) The salvation of Israel commences, vs. 26-27.
      (a) Through the return of the Deliverer, v. 26.
      (b) Through the cleansing New Covenant, v. 27.
(4) The salvation of Israel guaranteed, vs. 28-32.
   (a) By God’s irrevocable Abrahamic covenant, vs. 28-29.
   (b) By God’s sovereign mercy, vs. 30-32.

3. The second coming of Jesus Christ.

   a. The basic facts of Jesus Christ’s future return.

      (1) He will come again personally, visibly, gloriously, historically (Acts 1:9-11; Tit. 2:13; Rev. 1:7).

      (2) He will come as a vanquishing warrior (Rev. 19:11-21).

      (3) He will come as a judge (II Thess. 1:7-9; II Tim. 4:1).

      (4) He will come as the Lamb/Bridegroom for his bride, the Church (Matt. 25:1-13; Rev. 19:7-9).

      (5) He will come as King over all the earth (Zech. 14:1-9).

   b. The prelude of the great tribulation.

      (1) While the whole of the Church age is to be characterized by wars and rumors of wars, persecution and tribulation, yet it is to end in the display of man in the lowest depths of godlessness and depravity (Luke 18:7-8), and especially as the times of the Gentiles give way to the resurgence of Israel as a nation.

         (a) Daniel 9:26-27 suggests this period will climax during the latter half of Daniel’s seventieth week, at which time Antichrist will, with unexpected cruelty, break a seven year pact with Israel.

         (b) Daniel 12:1-4 describes this period as being unsurpassed in distress for the sons of Israel.


      (3) This unique tribulation period ends with the nations gathered at the Valley of Megiddo to “wage war against the Lamb” (Rev. 17:14; 19:19) at the Battle of Armageddon (Rev. 16:12-16; 19:11-21), when He comes.

   c. The deliverance by means of rapture, resurrection judgment.

      (1) Since Jesus Christ returns in glory at the end of the great tribulation, then what will be the status of true believers who are alive and immediately face this period of unparalleled earthly conflict? The general answer is the rapture
together or “snatching up together” of both the living and dead saints “to meet the Lord in the air” (I Thess. 4:15-17). But more specifically, four variant answers are given by evangelical Christians as to the precise relationship of the rapture to believers confronting the great tribulation.

(a) The post-tribulation rapture. True believers shall pass through the whole of the great tribulation. Thus the rapture and the resurrection will be coterminous. But will true believers in the Lamb suffer “the wrath of the Lamb” (Rev. 6:14-17)?

(b) The mid-tribulation rapture. True believers are raptured before the second half of Daniel’s seventieth week since only this latter period will involve the direct wrath of God. But is the first half of this week identical with the Church age, and void of the wrath of the Lamb?

(c) The partial pre-tribulation rapture. Only true believers who are zealous, faithful, and watching will be raptured before the great tribulation. Other believers who are less faithful will be refined in the tribulation and subsequently raptured. But is participation in the rapture in the rapture based upon grace or works?

(d) The full pre-tribulation rapture. True believers are raptured before Daniel’s seventieth week commences according to the principle whereby Noah and his family escaped the flood and Lot was delivered from Sodom (II Pet. 2:4-9; cf. Gen. 19:22; Ezek. 9:3-11; I Thess. 5:4-9; Rev. 3:10-11).

(2) For Israel, Jesus Christ’s triumph in battle at Armageddon leads to the conversion of this distinctive nation (Zech. 3:9; 12:10; Rom. 11:25-27). At the same time, this judgment leads to Satan’s consignment to the lake of fire, as well as the beast and the false prophet (Rev. 19:19-20:3).

4. The resurrections.

Resurrection of the dead is rooted in the resurrection of Jesus Christ as “the first fruits of those who are asleep, . . . after that those who are Christ’s at His coming” (I Cor. 15:20-23). It is the vindication of His atonement (Rom. 1:4) and establishes the truthfulness of His promise to raise the dead (John 11:25-26).

a. Of the righteous, for “the dead in Christ will rise” (I Thess. 4:16), so that “we shall all be changed, in a moment, in the twinkling of an eye, . . . and the dead will be raised imperishable, . . . and this mortal will put on immortality” (I Cor. 15:51-53). Thus, “we ourselves groan within ourselves, waiting eagerly for our adoption as sons, the redemption of our body” (Rom. 8:23).

b. Of the wicked, so that “many of those who sleep in the dust of the ground will awake, these to everlasting life, but the others to disgrace and everlasting contempt (Dan. 12:2; cf. John 5:28-29; Acts 24:15; Rev. 20:12-15).
5. The millennial reign of Jesus Christ.

a. The Old Testament in many places describes a glorious, earthly, paradise state that can only have future fulfillment.

(1) Earthly conditions will be peaceful and pervaded with God’s glory, longevity, civil bliss, abundant produce, tamed animals, and above all righteousness (Isa. 11:6-9; 35:1-10; 65:17-25; Mic. 4:3-4; Hab. 2:14; Zech. 9:10).

(2) Israel and Jerusalem (Zion) will be at the center of God’s earthly kingdom (Isa. 62:1-3; Ezek. 28:25-26; 34:25-32; Joel 3:18-21; Amos 9:13-15; Zech. 8:1-8; 14:1-8).

(3) A universal king from the stem of Jesse will reign over Israel and the earth (Ps. 72:1-20; Isa. 11:1-5; Jer. 23:5-8; 33:14-17; Ezek. 37:19-28; Zeph. 3:14-20; Zech. 14:9-11).

(4) The nations of the world will, in subjection, seek light, favor and blessing from Jerusalem and its universal king (Isa. 2:2-4; 11:10; 45:14-17; 60:14; Mic. 4:1-5; Zech. 8:22-23).


(1) Amongst evangelical Christians there are three main interpretations concerning the millennium, with reference to Revelation 20:1-10, as it relates to the second coming of Jesus Christ.

(a) Postmillennialism affirms that the second coming of Jesus Christ is to be post- or following the millennial age. The gradual Christianization of the world by means of an advancing Christian Church will usher in a period of one thousand years of peace and righteousness on the earth with Christ reigning in heaven. Classic postmillennialism is distinguished from that of the more recent variation of reconstructionism.

(b) Amillennialism affirms that the second coming of Jesus Christ is to follow a figurative or spiritual millennium on earth during the Church age while Christ reigns from heaven. Thus is negates a future earthly millennium after the Church age. The classic form of amillennialism is Augustinian although there are variations concerning the subsequent eternal state.

(c) Premillennialism affirms that the second coming of Jesus Christ is to precede a literal thousand year earthly, spiritual/material reign of Christ on earth with peace and righteousness. The historic form of premillennialism has more recently brought forth a distinctive extension known dispensationalism.
Revelation 20:1-10 is the crucial passage in the New Testament with regard to timing and sequence, although much of the Bible as a whole is concerned with the essential character of the Millennium.

(a) Postmillennialism has no continuity with Revelation 19:11-21 which clearly describes Christ’s return. Rather Revelation 20:1-10 reverts to the expanding Church age. Hence, we look for the introduction of millennium rather than Christ’s second coming. The first resurrection is conversion, the second is that of the body.

(b) Amillennialism has no continuity with Revelation 19:11-21 which clearly describes Christ’s return. Rather, Revelation 20:1-10 recapitulates from the commencement of the Church age which figuratively represents one thousand years. Satan is the bound strong man (Matt. 12:29) with partial restriction, while the saints reign spiritually on earth under Christ in heaven. The first resurrection is conversion, the second that of the body.

(c) Premillennialism sees continuity in Revelation 20:1-10 naturally following on from Revelation 19:11-21. The earthly reign of one thousand years agrees with Revelation 5:5-14, cf. v. 10. Satan will truly be bound so that righteousness is universal. Both the first and second resurrections are understood as being consistently bodily. This reign is with Christ on earth, and not as the alternative views, apart from Christ in heaven, v. 4.

6. The judgments.

a. Of true believers. Their works will be tried with the result that commensurate rewards will be given (I Cor. 3:10-15; II Cor. 5:10). The time will most likely be immediately following the rapture/resurrection preceding the millennium, from a premillennial perspective, so that the saints might be qualified judges (I Cor. 6:2).

b. Of the wicked dead. This great white throne judgment before the Lord Jesus Christ is according to recorded works (Rev. 20:11-15). The time will be following the millennium, from a premillennial perspective, and prior to consignment to the lake of fire with Satan, the beast, and the false prophet (Rev. 20:10-15).

7. The eternal state.

a. The eternal life and bliss of the redeemed.

(1) The gift of eternal life which the believer receives at his justification (John 3:36; 6:47; I John 5:11-13), yet has its distinctive consummate outworking in the millennium (Rev. 20:1-4) and beyond into the continuing eternal realm (Rev. 22:1-4).

(2) While many present premillennialists have made a sharp distinction between the end of the millennium and the ensuing eternal state, this writer sees greater continuity with the little season of Satan and his following judgment
(Rev. 20:7-15) as a distinctive interlude during which saints continue to reign (Dan. 7:14, 18). Note that the “new heavens and new earth” of II Peter 3:10, 13, are rooted in the millennial setting of Isaiah 65:17-23.

(3) Nevertheless, following the millennium, two aspects of the eternal state are emphasized in Revelation 21-22.

(a) The new Jerusalem manifesting itself upon the new heavens and new earth, with Christ as king of this glorious city (Rev. 21:1-3, 9-26).

(b) The glorious bliss of the saints who reign with Christ in the holy society of the redeemed (Rev. 21:4, 6-8, 27; 22:1-5).

b. The eternal punishment and misery of the reprobate.

(1) Following Satan’s little season of deception and warfare, he is consigned to, “the lake of fire and brimstone, where the beast and the false prophet are also; and they will be tormented day and night forever and ever” Rev. 20:10).

(2) Immediately following this, those whose names are not found in the book of life are “thrown into the [same] lake of fire” (Rev. 20:11-15; cf. Matt. 25:46; Mark 9:47-48; Luke 16:19-31).